

Existence of Solutions of Fractional Neutral Integrodifferential Equations with Infinite Delay

B.Gayathiri¹ and R.Murugesu²

¹Department of Science & Humanities, SreeSakthi Engineering College,
 Karamadai – 641 104

¹vinugayathiri08@gmail.com (Corresponding Author)

²Department of Mathematics, Sri Ramakrishna Mission Vidyalya
 College of Arts & Science, Coimbatore – 641 020,

ABSTRACT : In this paper, we study the existence of mild solutions for nonlocal Cauchy problem for fractional neutral nonlinear integrodifferential equations with infinite delay. The results are obtained by using the Banach contraction principle. Finally, an application is given to illustrate the theory.

KEYWORDS : Fractional neutral evolution equations, nonlocal Cauchy problem, mild solutions, analytic semigroup, Laplace transform probability density.

I. INTRODUCTION

In this article, we study the existence of mild solutions for nonlocal Cauchy problem for fractional neutral integro evolution equations with infinite delay in the form

$${}^C D_t^q (x(t) + f(t, x_t, \int_0^t h(s, x(s)) ds)) = Ax(t) + g(t, x_t, \int_0^t h(s, x(s)) ds), t \in [0, b] \text{ -----} \rightarrow (1)$$

$$x_0 = \varphi + q(x_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, \dots, x_{t_n}) \in B \text{ -----} \rightarrow (2)$$

${}^C D_t^q$ is the Caputo fractional derivative of order $0 < q < 1$, A is the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup of bounded linear operators $T(t)$ on a Banach space X . The history $x_t : (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow X$ given by $x_t(\theta) = x(t + \theta)$ belongs to some abstract phase space \mathbf{B} defined axiomatically, $0 < t_1 < t_2 \dots < t_n \leq b, q : B \rightarrow B$ and $f, g : [0, b] \times B \rightarrow X$ are appropriate functions.

Fractional differential equations is a generalization of ordinary differential equations and integration to arbitrary non – integer orders. Recently, fractional differential equations is emerging as an important area of investigation in comparison with corresponding theory of classical differential equations. It is an alternative model to the classical nonlinear differential models. It is widely and efficiently used to describe many phenomena in various fields of engineering and scientific disciplines as the mathematical modeling of systems and processes in many fields, for instance, physics, chemistry, aerodynamic, electrodynamics of complex medium, polymer rheology, viscoelasticity, porous media and so on. There has been a significant development in fractional differential and partial differential equations in recent years; see the monographs of Kilbas et al[13], Miller and Ross[16], Podlubny[20], Lakshmikanthan et al[14]. Recently, some authors focused on fractional functional differential equations in Banach spaces [3,5 - 9, 15,17,18,21 – 23,25 – 32].

There exist an extensive literature of differential equations with nonlocal conditions. Byszewski [1,2] was first formulated and proved the result concerning the existence and uniqueness of mild solutions to abstract Cauchy problems with nonlocal initial conditions. Hernandez [10,11] study the existence of mild, strong and classical solutions for the nonlocal neutral partial functional differential equation with unbounded delay. In [9], Guerekatadiscussed the existence, uniqueness and continuous dependence on initial data of solutions to the nonlocal Cauchy problem

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}(t) &= Ax(t) + g(t, x_t), t \in (\sigma, T] \\ x_0 &= \varphi + q(x_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, \dots, x_{t_n}) \end{aligned}$$

where A is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 - semigroup of linear operators; $t_i \in [\sigma, T]$; $x_i \in C([-r, 0]: X)$ and $q: C([-r, 0]: X)^n \rightarrow X$; $f: [\sigma, T] \times C([-r, 0]: X) \rightarrow X$ are appropriate functions. Recently, Zhou [31] studied the nonlocal Cauchy problem of the following form

$${}^c D_t^q (x(t) - h(t, x_t)) + Ax(t) = f(t, x_t), t \in [0, b]$$

$$x_0(v) + g(x_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, \dots, x_{t_n})(v) = \varphi(v), v \in [-r, 0],$$

${}^c D^q$ is the Caputo fractional derivative of order $0 < q < 1, 0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n < a, a > 0$.

A is the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup $T(t)_{t \geq 0}$ of operators on $E, f, h: [0, \infty) \times C \rightarrow E$ and $g: C^n \rightarrow C$ are given functions satisfying some assumptions, $\varphi \in C$ and define x_t by $x_t(v) = x(t+v)$, for $v \in [-r, 0]$.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we recall recent results in the theory of fractional differential equations and introduce some notations, definitions and lemmas which will be used throughout the papers [31,32]. In section 3, we study the existence result for the IVP (1) - (2). The last section is devoted to an example to illustrate the theory.

II. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper, let A be the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup of bounded linear operators $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ of uniformly bounded linear operators on X . Let $0 \in \rho(A)$, where $\rho(A)$ is the resolvent set of A . Then for $0 < \eta \leq 1$, it is possible to define the fractional power A^η as a closed linear operator on its domain $D(A^\eta)$. For analytic semigroup $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$, the following properties will be used.

(i) There is a $M \geq 1$, such that

$$M = \sup_{t \in (0, +\infty)} |T(t)| < \infty$$

(ii) For any $\eta \in (0, 1]$, there exists a positive constant C_η such that

$$|A^\eta T(t)| \leq \frac{C_\eta}{t^\eta}, 0 < t \leq b.$$

We need some basic definitions and properties of the fractional calculus theory which will be used for throughout this paper.

Definition 2.1. The fractional integral of order γ with the lower limit zero for a function f is defined as

$$I^\gamma f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^t \frac{f(s)}{(t-s)^{1-\gamma}} ds, t > 0, \gamma > 0,$$

provided the right side is point-wise defined on $[0, \infty)$, where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the gamma function.

Definition 2.2. The Riemann - Liouville derivative of order γ with the lower limit zero for a function $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ can be written as

$${}^L D^\gamma f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\gamma)} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \int_0^t \frac{f(s)}{(t-s)^{\gamma+1-n}} ds, t > 0, n-1 < \gamma < n,$$

Definition 2.3. The Caputo derivative of order γ for a function $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ can be written as

$${}^L D^\gamma f(t) = {}^L D^\gamma \left(f(t) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{t^k}{k!} f^{(k)}(0) \right), t > 0, n-1 < \gamma < n,$$

Remark 2.4. (i) If $f(t) \in C^n[0, \infty)$, then

$${}^L D^\gamma f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\gamma)} \int_0^t \frac{f^{(n)}(s)}{(t-s)^{\gamma+1-n}} ds = I^{n-\gamma} f^{(n)}(t), t > 0, n-1 < \gamma < n,$$

(ii) The Caputo derivative of a constant is equal to zero.

(iii) If f is an abstract function with values in X , then integrals which appear in Definition 2.2 and 2.3 are taken in Bochner's sense.

We will herein define the phase space Baxiomatically, using ideas and notation developed in [12]. More precisely, B will denote the vector space of functions defined from $(-\infty, 0]$ into X endowed with a seminorm denoted as $\|\cdot\|_B$ and such that the following axioms hold:

(A) If $x : (-\infty, b) \rightarrow X$ is continuous on $[0, b]$ and $x_0 \in B$, then for every $t \in [0, b]$ the following conditions hold:

(i) x_t is in B .

(ii) $\|x(t)\| \leq H \|x_t\|_B$

(iii) $\|x_t\|_B \leq K(t) \sup\{\|x(s)\| : 0 \leq s \leq t\} + M(t) \|x_0\|_B$,

Where $H > 0$ is a constant; $K, M: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [1, \infty)$, $K(\cdot)$ is continuous, $M(\cdot)$ is locally bounded, and $H, K(\cdot), M(\cdot)$ are independent of $x(\cdot)$.

(A1) For the function $x(\cdot)$ in (A), x_t is a B -valued continuous function on $[0, b]$.

(B) The space B is complete.

Example 2.5. The Phase Space $C_r, X L^p(h, X)$.

Let $r \geq 0$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $h : (-\infty, -r] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative, measurable function which satisfies the conditions (g-5) – (g-6) in the terminology of [12]. Briefly, this means that g is locally integrable and there exists a non-integer, locally bounded function $\eta(\cdot)$ on $(-\infty, 0]$ such that $h(\xi + \theta) \leq \eta(\xi)h(\theta)$ for all $\xi \leq 0$ and $\theta \in (-\infty, -r) \setminus N_\xi$, where $N_\xi \subseteq (-\infty, -r)$ is a set with Lebesgue measure zero. The space $C_r, X L^p(h, X)$ consists of all classes of functions $\varphi : (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow X$ such that φ is continuous on $[-r, 0]$ and is Lebesgue measurable, and $h\|\varphi\|^p$ is Lebesgue integrable on $(-\infty, -r)$. The seminorm in $C_r, X L^p(h, X)$ defined by

$$\|\varphi\|_B := \sup\{\|\varphi(\theta)\| : -r \leq \theta \leq 0\} + \left(\int_{-\infty}^{-r} h(\theta) \|\varphi(\theta)\|^p d\theta \right)^{1/p}$$

The space $C_r, X L^p(h, X)$ satisfies the axioms (A), (A1) and (B). Moreover, when $r=0$ and $p=2$, we can take

$$H=1, K(t) = 1 + \left(\int_{-t}^0 h(\theta) d\theta \right)^{1/2} \text{ and } M(t) = \eta(-t)^{1/2}, \text{ for } t \geq 0 \text{ (see [12, Theorem 1.3.8] for details).}$$

For additional details concerning phase space we refer the reader to [12].

The following lemma will be used in the proof of our main results.

Lemma 2.6. [31, 32] The operators \mathfrak{I} and ζ have the following properties:

(i) For any fixed $t \geq 0$, $\mathfrak{I}(t)$ and $\zeta(t)$ are linear and bounded operators, i.e., for any $x \in X$,

$$\|\mathfrak{I}(t)x\| \leq M \|x\| \text{ and } \|\zeta(t)x\| \leq \frac{qM}{\Gamma(1+q)} \|x\|.$$

(ii) $\{\mathfrak{I}(t), t \geq 0\}$ and $\{\zeta(t), t \geq 0\}$ are strongly continuous.

(iii) For every $t > 0$, $\mathfrak{I}(t)$ and $\zeta(t)$ are also compact operators if $T(t), t > 0$ is compact.

III. EXISTENCE RESULTS

In order to define the concept of mild solution for the system (1.1) - (1.2), by comparison with the fractional differential equations given in [31,32], we associate system (1.1) - (1.2) to the integral equation

$$x(t) = \mathfrak{I}(t)(\varphi(0) + f(0, \varphi) + q(x_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, \dots, x_{t_n})(0)) - f(t, x_t, \int_0^t h(s, x(s))ds) - \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} A \zeta(t-s) f(s, x_s, \int_0^s h(t, x(t))dt)ds + \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} A \zeta(t-s) g(s, x_s, \int_0^s k(t, x(t))dt)ds \quad \rightarrow (3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{I}(t) &= \int_0^\infty \xi_q(\theta) T(t^q \theta) d\theta, \quad \zeta(t) = q \int_0^\infty \theta \xi_q(\theta) T(t^q \theta) d\theta, \\ \xi_q(\theta) &= \frac{1}{q} \theta^{-1-1/q} \varpi_q(\theta^{-1/q}) \geq 0 \\ \varpi_q(\theta) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^\infty (-1)^{n-1} \theta^{-qn-1} \frac{\Gamma(nq+1)}{n!} \sin(n\pi q), \quad \theta \in (0, \infty), \end{aligned}$$

and ξ_q is a probability density function defined on $(0, \infty)$, that is

$$\xi_q(\theta) \geq 0 \quad \theta \in (0, \infty) \text{ and } \int_0^\infty \xi_q(\theta) d\theta = 1$$

In the sequel we introduce the following assumptions.

(H₁) $q: B^n \rightarrow B$ is continuous and exist positive constants $L_i(q)$ such that

$$\|q(\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_n) - q(\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n)\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n L_i(q) \|\psi_i - \phi_i\|_B,$$

for every $\psi_i, \phi_i \in B_r[0, B]$.

(H₂) The function $f(\cdot)$ is $(-A)^q$ -valued, $f: I \times B \times B \rightarrow [D((-A)^q)]$, the functions $g(\cdot)$ is defined on $g: I \times B \times B \rightarrow X$ and there exist positive constants L_f and L_g such that for all $t_i, \psi_i, \phi_i \in I \times B \times B$

$$\begin{aligned} \|(-A)^q f(t_1, \psi_1, \phi_1) - (-A)^q f(t_2, \psi_2, \phi_2)\| &\leq L_f (|t_1 - t_2| + \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_B + \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_B), \\ \|g(t_1, \psi_1, \phi_1) - g(t_2, \psi_2, \phi_2)\| &\leq L_g (|t_1 - t_2| + \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_B + \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_B) \end{aligned}$$

(H₃) The function h, k is defined on $h, k: I \times B \rightarrow X$ and there exist positive constants L_h and L_k such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|h(t_1, \phi_1) - h(t_2, \phi_2)\| &\leq L_h \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\| \\ \|k(t_1, \phi_1) - k(t_2, \phi_2)\| &\leq L_k \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\| \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.1. Throughout this section, M_b and K_b are the constants $M_b = \sup_{s \in [0, b]} M(s)$, $K_b = \sup_{s \in [0, b]} K(s)$ and $N_{(-A)^q f}$, N_f , N_g , N_h and N_k represent the supreme of the functions $(-A)^q f$, f and g on $[0, b] \times B_r[0, B] \times X$ and h, k on $[0, b] \times B_r[0, B]$.

Theorem 3.2. Let conditions (H₁) - (H₃) be hold. If

$$\rho = ((M_b + K_b MH) \|\varphi\|_B + (M_b + K_b M)N_q + (M + N_h)K_b N_f + \frac{K_b N_{(-A)^{\beta f}} \Gamma(1 + \beta) C_{1-\beta} b^{q\beta}}{\beta \Gamma(1 + \beta q)} + \frac{K_b N_g N_k M_q}{\Gamma(1 + q)(1 + a)^{1-q_1}} b^{(1+a)(1-q_1)}) < r \quad \text{and}$$

$$A = \max \left\{ M_b \left(M_b \sum_{i=1}^n L_i(q) + K_b \theta \right), K_b \left(M_b \sum_{i=1}^n L_i(q) + K_b \theta \right) \right\} < 1$$

where

$$\theta = \left[M \sum_{i=1}^n L_i(q) + L_f \left((M + 1) \|(-A)^{-\beta}\| + \frac{\Gamma(1 + \beta) C_{1-\beta} b^{q\beta}}{\beta \Gamma(1 + \beta q)} \right) + \frac{Mq}{\Gamma(1 + q)(1 + a)^{1-q_1}} b^{(1+a)(1-q_1)} \right]$$

Then there exists a mild solution of the system (1) – (2) on I.

Proof. Consider the space $S(b) = \{x : (-\infty, b] \rightarrow X : x_0 \in B; x \in C([0, b] : X)\}$ endowed with the norm $\|x\|_{S(b)} := M_b \|x_0\|_B + K_b \|x\|_b$

Let $Y = B_r[0, S(b)]$, we define the operator $\Gamma : Y \rightarrow S(b)$ by

$$\Gamma x(t) = \mathfrak{I}(t)(\varphi(0) + f(0, \varphi) + q(x_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, \dots, x_{t_n})(0)) - f(t, x_t, \int_0^t h(s, x(s)) ds) - \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} A \zeta(t-s) f(s, x_s, \int_0^s h(t, x(t)) dt) ds + \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \zeta(t-s) g(s, x_s, \int_0^s k(t, x(t)) dt) ds,$$

$$(\Gamma u)_0 = \varphi + q(x_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, \dots, x_{t_n}), \text{ for } t \in [0, b].$$

Using an similar argument on the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [10], we will prove that the Γ is continuous. Next we will prove that $\Gamma(Y) \subset Y$.

Direct calculation gives that $(t-s)^{q-1} \in L^{1-q_1}[0, t]$, for $t \in J$ and $q_1 \in [0, q)$. Let $a = \frac{q-1}{1-q_1} \in (-1, 0)$ By using Holder's inequality, and (H₂), according to [31,32], we have

$$\int_0^t |(t-s)^{q-1} g(s, x_s, \int_0^s k(t, x(t)) dt)| ds \leq \left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{q-1}{1-q_1}} ds \right)^{1-q_1} N_g N_k$$

$$\leq \frac{N_g N_k}{(1+a)^{1-q_1}} b^{(1+a)(1-q_1)} \rightarrow (4)$$

From the inequality (4) and Lemma 2.6 [31,32], we obtain the following inequality

$$\int_0^t |(t-s)^{q-1} \zeta(t-s) g(s, x_s, \int_0^s k(t, x(t)) dt)| ds \leq \frac{Mq}{\Gamma(1+q)} \int_0^t |(t-s)^{q-1} g(s, x_s, \int_0^s k(t, x(t)) dt)| ds$$

$$\leq \frac{Mq N_g N_k}{\Gamma(1+q)(1+a)^{1-q_1}} b^{(1+a)(1-q_1)} \rightarrow (5)$$

According to [32], we obtain the following relation:

$$\int_0^t |(t-s)^{q-1} A \zeta(t-s) f(s, x_s, \int_0^s h(t, x(t)) dt)| ds \leq \int_0^t |(t-s)^{q-1} A^{1-\beta} A^\beta \zeta(t-s) f(s, x_s, \int_0^s h(t, x(t)) dt)| ds$$

$$\leq \frac{N_{(-A)^{\beta f}} \Gamma(1 + \beta) C_{1-\beta} b^{q\beta} N_h}{\beta \Gamma(1 + \beta q)} \rightarrow (6)$$

Let $x \in Y$ and $t \in [0, b]$, we observe from axiom (A) of the phase spaces, we obtain that

$\|x_t\|_B \leq K_b \|x\|_b + M_b \|x_0\|_B \leq r$ this implies that $x_t \in B_r[0, B]$, and this case

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Gamma x(t)\| \leq \|\mathfrak{I}(t)\| (\|\varphi(0)\| + \|f(0, \varphi)\| + \|q(x_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, \dots, x_{t_n})(0)\| + \|f(t, x_t, \int_0^t h(s, x(s))ds)\| \\ & + \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \|A\zeta(t-s)f(s, x_s, \int_0^s h(t, x(t))dt)\| ds + \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \|\zeta(t-s)g(s, x_s, \int_0^s k(t, x(t))dt)\| ds \\ & \leq M(H\|\varphi\|_B + N_f + N_q) + N_f N_h + \frac{N_{(-A)^{\beta f}} \Gamma(1+\beta) C_{1-\beta} b^{q\beta}}{\beta \Gamma(1+\beta q)} + \frac{N_g N_k M q}{\Gamma(1+q)(1+a)^{1-q_1}} b^{(1+a)(1-q_1)} \rightarrow (7) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\Gamma u)_0\| \leq \|\varphi\| + \|q(x_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, \dots, x_{t_n})\|, \\ & \leq H\|\varphi\|_B + N_q \rightarrow (8) \end{aligned}$$

From (7) – (8), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Gamma x(t)\|_{S(b)} \leq M_b \|(\Gamma x)_0\|_B + K_b \|x\|_b \\ & \leq M_b [\|\varphi\|_B + N_q] + K_b \left[MH\|\varphi\|_B + MN_f + MN_q + N_f N \right] \\ & + \frac{K_b N_{(-A)^{\beta f}} \Gamma(1+\beta) C_{1-\beta} b^{q\beta}}{\beta \Gamma(1+\beta q)} + \frac{K_b N_g N_k M q}{\Gamma(1+q)(1+a)^{1-q_1}} b^{(1+a)(1-q_1)} \\ & = \rho < r \rightarrow (9) \end{aligned}$$

which proves that $\Gamma(x) \in Y$.

In order to prove that Γ satisfies a Lipschitz condition, $u, v \in Y$. If $t \in [0, b]$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Gamma u(t) - \Gamma v(t)\| \leq \|\mathfrak{I}(t)(q(u_{t_1}, u_{t_2}, \dots, u_{t_n})(0) - q(v_{t_1}, v_{t_2}, \dots, v_{t_n})(0))\| + \|(-A)^{-\vartheta}\| \\ & \|(-A)^{\vartheta} f(t, u_t, \int_0^t h(s, u(s))ds) - (-A)^{\vartheta} f(t, v_t, \int_0^t h(s, v(s))ds)\| + \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \|(-A)^{1-\vartheta} \zeta(t-s)\| \\ & \|(-A)^{\vartheta} f(s, u_s, \int_0^s h(t, u(t))dt) - (-A)^{\vartheta} f(s, v_s, \int_0^s h(t, v(t))dt)\| ds + \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \|\zeta(t-s)\| \\ & \|g(s, u_s, \int_0^s h(t, u(t))dt) - g(s, v_s, \int_0^s h(t, v(t))dt)\| ds \\ & \leq M \sum_{i=1}^n L_i(q) \|u_{t_i} - v_{t_i}\|_B + \|(-A)^{-\vartheta}\| [L_f [\|u_t - v_t\|_B + L_h \|u(s) - v(s)\|_B] + L_f [\|u_s - v_s\|_B \\ & + L_h \|u(s) - v(s)\|_B] \frac{\Gamma(1+\beta) C_{1-\beta} b^{q\beta}}{\beta \Gamma(1+\beta q)} + L_g [\|u_s - v_s\|_B + L_h \|u(t) - v(t)\|_B] \frac{M q}{\Gamma(1+q)(1+a)^{1-q_1}} b^{(1+a)(1-q_1)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq M_b \left[M \sum_{i=1}^n L_i(q) + \|(-A)^{-g}\| L_f \right] \|u_0 - v_0\|_B + K_b \left[M \sum_{i=1}^n L_i(q) + L_f \|(-A)^{-g}\| \right] \|u - v\|_b \\ &+ M_b \left[L_f \frac{\Gamma(1+\beta)C_{1-\beta}b^{q\beta}}{\beta\Gamma(1+\beta q)} + L_g \frac{Mq}{\Gamma(1+q)(1+a)^{1-q_1}} b^{(1+a)(1-q_1)} \right] \|u_0 - v_0\|_B \\ &+ K_b \left[L_f \frac{\Gamma(1+\beta)C_{1-\beta}b^{q\beta}}{\beta\Gamma(1+\beta q)} + L_g \frac{Mq}{\Gamma(1+q)(1+a)^{1-q_1}} b^{(1+a)(1-q_1)} \right] \|u - v\|_b + \|(-A)^{-g}\| L_h \|u(s) - v(s)\|_B \\ &+ L_f L_h \|u(s) - v(s)\|_B \frac{\Gamma(1+\beta)C_{1-\beta}b^{q\beta}}{\beta\Gamma(1+\beta q)} + L_g L_h \|u(t) - v(t)\|_B \frac{Mq}{\Gamma(1+q)(1+a)^{1-q_1}} b^{(1+a)(1-q_1)} \\ &\leq M_b \theta \|u_0 - v_0\|_B + K_b \theta \|u - v\|_b + \theta_1 \|u(s) - v(s)\|_B \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, a simple calculus prove that

$$\|(\Gamma u)_0 - (\Gamma v)_0\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n L_i(q) [K_b \|u - v\|_b + M_b \|u_0 - v_0\|_B]$$

Finally we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(\Gamma u) - (\Gamma v)\|_{S(b)} \leq M_b \|(\Gamma u)_0 - (\Gamma v)_0\|_B + K_b \|(\Gamma u) - (\Gamma v)\|_b \\ &\leq M_b \left[\sum_{i=1}^n L_i(q) + K_b \theta \right] \|u_0 - v_0\|_B + K_b \left[M_b \sum_{i=1}^n L_i(q) + K_b \theta \right] \|u - v\|_b + K_b \theta_1 \|u(s) - v(s)\|_B \\ &\leq \Lambda \|u - v\|_{S(b)} \rightarrow (10) \end{aligned}$$

which infer that Γ is a contraction on Y . Clearly, a fixed point of Γ is the unique mild solution of the nonlocal problem (1) – (2). Hence the proof is complete.

IV. EXAMPLE

In this section, we consider an application of our abstract results. We introduce some of the required technical framework. Here, let $X = L^2([0, \pi])$, $B = C_0 \times L^p(g, X)$ is the space introduced in Example 2.5 and $A : D(A) \subset X \times X$ is the operator defined by $Ax = x''$, with $D(A) = \{x \in X : x'' \in X, x(0) = x(\pi) = 0\}$. The operator A is the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup on X . Then

$$A = -\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} n^2 \langle x, e_n \rangle e_n, x \in D(A),$$

where $e_n(\xi) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \sin(n\xi), 0 \leq \xi \leq \pi, n = 1, 2, \dots$. Clearly, A generates a compact semigroup $T(t)$,

$t > 0$ in X and is given by

$$T(t)x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e^{-n^2 t} \langle x, e_n \rangle e_n, \text{ for every } x \in X.$$

Consider the following fractional partial differential system

$$\frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial t^\alpha} \left(u(t, \xi) + \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{-\infty}^{\pi} b(t-s, \eta, \xi) u(s, \eta) d\eta ds \right) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi^2} u(t, \xi) + \int_{-\infty}^t a_0(s-t) u(s, \xi) ds, (t, \xi) \in I \times [0, \pi] \rightarrow (11)$$

$$u(t, 0) = u(t, \pi) = 0, t \in [0, b], \rightarrow (12)$$

$$\Theta \leq 0, \xi \in [0, \pi] \rightarrow (13) \quad u(\Theta, \xi) = \phi(\Theta, \xi) + \sum_{i=0}^n L_i u(t_i + \xi),$$

where $\frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial t^\alpha}$ is a Caputo fractional partial derivative of order $0 < \alpha < 1$, n is a positive integer, $0 < t_i < a$,

L_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, are fixed numbers.

In the sequel, we assume that $\varphi(\theta)(\xi) = \Phi(\theta, \xi)$ is a function in B and that the following conditions are verified.

- (i) The functions $a_0 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous and $L_g := \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{(a_0(s))^2}{g(s)} ds \right)^{1/2} < \infty$
- (ii) The functions $b(s, \eta, \xi)$, $\frac{\partial b(s, \eta, \xi)}{\partial \xi}$ are measurable, $b(s, \eta, \pi) = b(s, \eta, 0) = 0$ for all (s, η) and

$$L_f := \max \left\{ \left(\int_0^\pi \int_{-\infty}^0 \int_0^\pi g^{-1}(\theta) \left(\frac{\partial^i}{\partial \xi^i} b(\theta, \eta, \xi) \right)^2 d\eta d\theta d\xi \right)^{1/2} : i = 0, 1 \right\} < \infty.$$

Defining the operators $f, g : I \times B \rightarrow X$ by

$$f(\psi)(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^0 \int_0^\pi b(s, \eta, \xi) \psi(s, \eta) d\eta ds,$$

$$g(\psi)(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^0 a_0(s) \psi(s, \xi) ds.$$

Under the above conditions we can represent the system (11) - (13) into the abstract system (1) - (2). Moreover, f, g are bounded linear operators with $\|f(\cdot)\|_{L(B, X)} \leq L_f$, $\|g(\cdot)\|_{L(B, X)} \leq L_g$. Therefore, (H_1) and (H_2) are fulfilled. Therefore all the conditions of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied. The following result is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.2.

Proposition 4.1. For b sufficiently small there exist a mild solutions of (11) - (13).

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Byszewski and H. Akca, On a mild solution of a semilinear functional differential evolution nonlocal problem, *J. Appl. Math. Stochastic Anal.* 10(3)(1997), 265-271, MR1468121(98i:34118), Zbl1043.34504.
- [2] L. Byszewski, Theorems about the existence and uniqueness of solutions of a semilinear evolution nonlocal Cauchy problem, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 162(1991), 494-505. MR1137634(92m:35005), Zbl0748.34040.
- [3] R.C. Cascaval, E.C. Eckstein, C.L. Frota and J.A. Goldstein, Fractional telegraph equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 276 (2002), 145-159. MR1944342(2003 k:35239), Zbl1038.35142.
- [4] Y.K. Chang, J.J. Nieto and W.S. Li, Controllability of semilinear differential systems with nonlocal initial conditions in Banach spaces, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* 142(2009), 267-273. MR2525790(2010h:93006), Zbl1178.93029.
- [5] J.P.C. Dos Santos, C. Cuevas and B. De Andrade, Existence results for a fractional equation with state-dependent delay, *Adv. Diff. Equ.* 2011 (2011), 1-15. Article ID 642013. MR2780667(2012a:34197), Zbl1216.45003.
- [6] J.P.C. Dos Santos and C. Cuevas, Asymptotically almost automorphic solutions of abstract fractional integro-differential neutral equations, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 23 (2010), 960-965. MR2659119, Zbl1198.45014.
- [7] J.P.C. Dos Santos, V. Vijayakumar and R. Murugesu, Existence of mild solutions for nonlocal Cauchy problem for fractional neutral integro-differential equation with unbounded delay, *Commun. Math. Anal.* 14(1) (2013), 59-71, MR3040881, Zbl06226991. M.M. El-Borai, Semigroups and some nonlinear fractional differential equations, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 149 (2004) 823-831. MR2033165(2004m:26004), Zbl1046.34079.
- [8] G.M. N'Guérékata, A Cauchy problem for some fractional abstract differential equation with nonlocal conditions, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA* 70(5)(2009), 1873-1876. MR2492125(2010d:34008), Zbl1166.34320.
- [9] E. Hernandez and H.R. Henriquez, Existence results for partial neutral functional differential equations with unbounded delay, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 221(2)(1998), 452-475. MR1621730(99b:34127), Zbl0915.35110.
- [10] E. Hernandez, J.S. Santos and K.A.G. Azevedo, Existence of solutions for a class of abstract differential equations with nonlocal conditions, *Nonlinear Anal.* 74(2011) 2624-2634. MR2776514, Zbl1221.47079.
- [11] Y. Hino, S. Murakami and T. Naito, Functional-differential equations with infinite delay, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 1473. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991. MR1122588(92g:34088), Zbl0732.34051.
- [12] A.A. Kilbas, H.M. Srivastava and J.J. Trujillo, Theory and applications of fractional differential equations. In: *North-Holland Mathematics Studies*, vol. 206, Elsevier Science, Amsterdam (2006). MR2218073(2007a:34002), Zbl1092.45003.
- [13] V. Lakshmikantham, S. Leela and J.V. Devi, *Theory of Fractional Dynamics Systems*, Scientific Publishers Cambridge, Cambridge (2009). Zbl1188.37002.
- [14] J.A. Machado, C. Ravichandran, M. Rivero and J.J. Trujillo, Controllability results for impulsive mixed-type functional integro-differential evolution equations with nonlocal conditions, *Fixed Point Theo. Appl.* 66, (2013), 1-16.
- [15] K.S. Miller, B. Ross, *An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Differential*

- [16] Equations, Wiley, New York (1993), MR1219954(94e:26013), Zbl0789.26002.
- [17] M. Mophou and G.M. N'Guerekata, Existence of mild solution for some fractional differential equations with nonlocal conditions, *Semigroup Forum* 79(2) (2009), 322-335. MR2538728(2010i:34006), Zbl1180.34006.
- [18] G.M. Mophou, Existence and uniqueness of mild solution to impulsive fractional differential, *Nonlinear Anal.* 72(2010), 1604-1615. MR2577561, Zbl1187.34108.
- [19] A. Pazy, *Semigroups of Linear operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983. MR0710486(85g:47061), Zbl0516.47023.
- [20] I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations. An introduction to fractional derivatives, fractional differential equations, to methods of their applications*, Academic Press, San Diego (1999). MR1658022(99m:26009), Zbl0924.34008.
- [21] C. Ravichandran and D. Baleanu, Existence results for fractional neutral functional integrodifferential evolution equations with infinite delay in Banach spaces, *Adv. Diff. Equ.* 2013(1), 1-12.
- [22] C. Ravichandran and J.J. Trujillo, Controllability of impulsive fractional functional integrodifferential equations in Banach spaces, *J. Funct. Space Appl.* 2013, (2013), 1-8, Article ID-812501.
- [23] R. Sakthivel, N.I. Mahmudov and Juan. J. Nieto, Controllability for a class of fractional-order neutral evolution control systems, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 218 (2012), 10334-10340, MR2921786, Zbl1245.93022.
- [24] S. Sivasankaran, M. Mallika Arjunan and V. Vijayakumar, Existence of global solutions for impulsive functional differential equations with nonlocal conditions, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* 4(2)(2011), 102-114, MR2783836. Zblpre 05902645.
- [25] V. Vijayakumar, C. Ravichandran and R. Murugesu, Nonlocal Controllability of mixed Volterra-Fredholm type fractional semilinear integrodifferential inclusions in Banach spaces, *Dyn. Contin. Discrete Impuls. Syst., Series B: Applications and Algorithms*, 20(4)(2013), 485-502, MR3135009, Zbl1278.34089.
- [26] V. Vijayakumar, C. Ravichandran and R. Murugesu, Approximate Controllability for a class of fractional integrodifferential inclusions with state-dependent delay, *Nonlinear Stud.* 20(4)(2013), 511-530. MR3154619.
- [27] V. Vijayakumar, C. Ravichandran and R. Murugesu, Controllability for a class of fractional neutral integrodifferential equations with unbounded delay, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 232(2014), 303-312.
- [28] V. Vijayakumar, C. Ravichandran and R. Murugesu, Existence of mild solutions for nonlocal Cauchy problem for fractional neutral evolution equations with infinite delay, *Surveys in Mathematics and its Applications*, 5(2010).
- [28] J. Wang and Y. Zhou, Mittag-Leffler-Ulam stabilities of fractional evolution equations, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 25(2012), 723-728. MR2875807, Zbl1246.34012.
- [29] Z. Yan, Approximate controllability of partial neutral functional differential systems of fractional order with state-dependent delay, *Intern. J. Cont.* 85(8)(2012), 1051-1062. MR2943689, Zbl06252485.
- [30] Y. Zhou and F. Jiao, Existence of mild solutions for fractional neutral evolution equations, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 59(2010), 1063-1077. MR2579471(2011b:34239), Zbl1189.34154.
- [31] Y. Zhou, F. Jiao, Nonlocal Cauchy problem for fractional evolution equations, *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.* 11(2010), 4465-4475. MR2683890(2011i:34007), Zbl1260.34017