

On Intuitionist Fuzzy P-Ideals and H-Ideals in Bci-Algebras

Dr.R.Jayasudha & Dr.N.Uthirasamy

Professor, Department Of Mathematics, K.S.Rangasamy College Of Technology, Tiruchengode-637215,
 Tamilnadu, India.

Professor, Department of Mathematics, K.S.Rangasamy College Of Technology,
 Tiruchengode-637215, Tamilnadu, India.

Corresponding author: Dr.R.Jayasudha

Abstract: The Aim Of This Paper Is To Introduce The Notion Of Intuitionistic Fuzzy P-Ideals And H-Ideals In Bci-Algebras And To Investigate Some Of Their Properties..

Keywords: Bci-Algebra, Fuzzy Set ,Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set , Intuitionistic Fuzzy P-Ideal . Intuitionistic Fuzzy H-Ideal
 Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) 06f35, 03g25 , 06b99

Date of Submission: 01-03-2018

Date of acceptance 23-03-2018

I. Introduction

The Notion Of Bck-Algebras Was Introduced By Imai And Iseki In 1966. In The Same Year ,Iseki[3] Introduced The Notion Of A Bci-Algebra Which Is A Generalization Of A Bck-Algebra. . After The Introduction Of The Concept Of Fuzzy Sets By L.A. Zadeh [11] ,Several Researches Were Conducted On The Generalization Of The Fuzzy Sets. Y. B. Jun And J. Meng [6] Introduced Fuzzy P-Ideals In Bci-Algebras And Studied Several Properties. H.M.Khalid And B.Ahmad [8] Introduced Fuzzy H-Ideals In Bci-Algebras. The Idea Of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set Was First Introduced By K.T.Atanassov [1,2], As A Generalization Of The Notion Of Fuzzy Set. In This Paper Using Atanassov's Idea ,We Establish The Intuitionistic Fuzzification Of The Concept Of P-Ideals And H-Ideals In Bci-Algebras And Investigate Some Of Their Properties .

Preliminaries

In This Section We Include Some Elementary Definitions That Are Necessary For This Paper.

Definition 2.1 [3] An Algebra $(X, *, 0)$ Of Type $(2,0)$ Is Called A Bci-Algebra If It Satisfies The Following Axioms:

- (1) $((X*Y)*(X*Z))*(Z*Y) = 0$,
- (2) $(X*(X*Y))*Y = 0$,
- (3) $X * X = 0$,
- (4) $X * Y = 0$ And $Y * X = 0$ Imply $X = Y$, For All $X, Y, Z \in X$.

In A Bci-Algebra X, We Can Define A Partial Ordering “ \leq ” By Putting $X \leq Y$ If And Only If $X*Y=0$.

In A Bci- Algebra X ,The Following Hold :

- (5) $(X*Y)*Z = (X*Z)*Y$
- (6) $X*0 = X$,
- (7) $0*(X*Y) = (0*X)*(0*Y)$,
- (8) $0*(0*(X*Y)) = 0*(Y*X)$
- (9) $(X*Z)*(Y*Z) \leq X*Y$,
- (10) $X \leq Y$ Implies $X*Z \leq Y*Z$ And $Z*Y \leq Z*X$, For All $X, Y, Z \in X$.

Example 2.2 The Set $X = \{ 0, 1, 2, 3 \}$ With The Following Cayley Table Is A Bci - Algebra .

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	3
1	1	0	0	3
2	2	2	0	3
3	3	3	3	0

Throughout This Paper X Always Means A Bci-Algebra Without Any Specification.

Definition 2.3 A Non- Empty Subset A Of X Is Called An Ideal Of X If

- (1) $0 \in A$,
- (2) $X * Y \in A$ And $Y \in A$ Imply $X \in A$.

Definition 2.4 [4] An Ideal A Of X Is Said To Be Closed If For All $X \in X$
 $0 * X \in A$ Implies $X \in A$.

Definition 2.5[12] A Non- Empty Subset A Of X Is Called A P-Ideal Of X If

- (1) $0 \in A$,
- (2) If For All $X, Y, Z \in X$, $(X * Z) * (Y * Z) \in A$ And $Y \in A$ Imply $X \in A$.

If We Put $Z=0$, Then It Follows That A Is An Ideal. Thus Every P-Ideal Is An Ideal.

Proposition 2.6[12] An Ideal Of A Bci-Algebra X Is A P-Ideal If And Only If $0 * (0 * X) Y \in A$

Implies $X \in A$, Where $X \in X$.

Definition 2.7 [8] A Non- Empty Subset A Of X Is Called An H-Ideal Of X If

- (1) $0 \in A$,
- (2) $X * (Y * Z) \in A$ And $Y \in A$ Imply $X * Z \in A$.

If We Put $Z=0$, Then It Follows That A Is An Ideal. Thus Every H-Ideal Is An Ideal.

Definition 2.8 [11] Let X Be A Non-Empty Set. A Fuzzy Set μ In X Is A Function

$$\mu : X \rightarrow [0, 1] .$$

Definition 2.9 [6] Let μ Be A Fuzzy Set In X . For $T \in [0,1]$, The Set $\mu_T = \{ X \in X \mid \mu(X) \geq T \}$ Is Called A Level Subset Of μ .

Definition 2.10 [6] A Fuzzy Set μ In X Is Called A Fuzzy Ideal Of X If For All $X, Y \in X$ We Have

- (1) $\mu(0) \geq \mu(X)$,
- (2) $\mu(X) \geq \text{Min}\{ \mu(X * Y), \mu(Y) \}$.

Definition 2.11 [6] A Fuzzy Ideal μ In X Is Said To Be Closed If For All $X \in X$,

$$\mu(0 * X) \geq \mu(X) ,$$

Definition 2.12 [6] A Fuzzy Set μ In X Is Called A Fuzzy P-Ideal Of X If For All $X, Y, Z \in X$ We Have

- (1) $\mu(0) \geq \mu(X)$,
- (2) $\mu(X) \geq \text{Min}\{ \mu((X * Z) * (Y * Z)), \mu(Y) \}$.

Definition 2.13 [6] A Fuzzy Set μ In X Is Called A Fuzzy H-Ideal Of X If For All $X, Y, Z \in X$,

- (1) $\mu(0) \geq \mu(X)$,
- (2) $\mu(X * Z) \geq \text{Min}\{ \mu(X * (Y * Z)), \mu(Y) \}$.

Clearly $Z=0$ Gives μ Is A Fuzzy Ideal.

Definition 2.14 [2] An Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set (Ifs) A In A Non Empty Set X Is An Object Having The Form $A = \{ \langle X, \mu_A(X), \nu_A(X) \rangle / X \in X \}$, Where The Functions $\mu_A : X \rightarrow [0,1]$ And $\nu_A : X \rightarrow [0,1]$ Denote The Degree Of Membership And The Degree Of Non Membership Of Each Element $X \in X$ To The Set A , Respectively, And $0 \leq \mu_A(X) + \nu_A(X) \leq 1$ For All $X \in X$.

Notation: For The Sake Of Simplicity, We Shall Use The Symbol $A = \langle \mu_A, \nu_A \rangle$ For The Ifs $A = \{ \langle X, \mu_A(X), \nu_A(X) \rangle / X \in X \}$.

Definition 2.15 [2] Let A Be An Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set Of A Set X . For Each Pair $\langle T, S \rangle \in [0, 1]$, The Set $A_{\langle T, S \rangle} = \{ X \in X : \mu_A(X) \geq T \text{ And } \nu_A(X) \leq S \}$ Is Called The Level Subset Of A .

Definition 2.16 [2] Let A Be An Ifs In X And Let $T \in [0, 1]$. Then The Sets

$$U(\mu_A; T) = \{ X \in X : \mu_A(X) \geq T \} \text{ And } L(\nu_A, T) = \{ X \in X : \nu_A(X) \leq T \}$$

Are Called A μ -Level T -Cut And ν -Level T -Cut Of A , Respectively.

II. Intuitionistic Fuzzy P-Ideals

Definition 3.1 An Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set A In X Is Called An Intuitionistic Fuzzy P- Ideal Of X If For All $X, Y, Z \in X$ We Have

- (1) $\mu_A(0) \geq \mu_A(X)$,
- (2) $\nu_A(0) \leq \nu_A(X)$,
- (3) $\mu_A(X) \geq \text{Min}\{ \mu_A((X * Z) * (Y * Z)), \mu_A(Y) \}$,

$$(4) \nu_A(X) \leq \text{Max}\{\nu_A((X *Z)* (Y *Z)), \nu_A(Y)\}.$$

Example 3.2. Let $X = \{ 0,1,2,3 \}$ With The Following Cayley Table Be A Bci Algebra.

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	3	2
1	1	0	3	2
2	2	2	0	3
3	3	3	2	0

Let $A = \langle \mu_A, \nu_A \rangle$ Be An Ifs In X Defined By

$\mu_A(0) = \mu_A(1) = 0.9, \mu_A(2) = \mu_A(3) = 0.09$ And $\nu_A(0) = \nu_A(1) = 0.09$ And $\nu_A(2) = \nu_A(3) = 0.9$. Then A Is An Intuitionistic Fuzzy P-Ideal Of X .

Proposition 3.3 An Intuitionistic Fuzzy Ideal Of A Bci-Algebra Is An Intuitionistic Fuzzy P-Ideal If And Only If $\mu_A(X) \geq \mu_A(0 * (0 * X))$ And $\nu_A(X) \leq \nu_A(0 * (0 * X))$.

Notation. Let A And B Be Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets In X . By $A \leq B$ We Mean That $\mu_A(X) \leq \mu_B(X)$ And $\nu_A(X) \geq \nu_B(X)$

Theorem 3.4. Let $A = (\mu_A, \nu_A)$ In X Be An Intuitionistic Fuzzy Ideal Of X . If $X \leq Y$, Then $\mu_A(X) \geq \mu_A(Y), \nu_A(X) \leq \nu_A(Y)$, That Is, μ_A Is Order Reversing And ν_A Is Order Preserving.

Proof. Let $X, Y \in X$ Such That $X \leq Y$. Then $X * Y = 0$ And Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_A(X) &\geq \text{Min}\{ \mu_A(X * Y), \mu_A(Y) \} \\ &= \text{Min}\{ \mu_A(0), \mu_A(Y) \} \\ &= \mu_A(Y) \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_A(X) &\leq \text{Max}\{ \nu_A(X * Y), \nu_A(Y) \} \\ &= \text{Max}\{ \nu_A(0), \nu_A(Y) \} \\ &= \nu_A(Y) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.5. Let $A = (\mu_A, \nu_A)$ In X Be An Intuitionistic Fuzzy Ideal Of X . If $X * Y \leq Z$, Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_A(X) &\geq \text{Min}\{ \mu_A(Y), \mu_A(Z) \} \\ \nu_A(X) &\leq \text{Max}\{ \nu_A(Y), \nu_A(Z) \} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $X, Y, Z \in X$ Such That $X * Y \leq Z$. Then $(X * Y) * Z = 0$ And Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_A(X) &\geq \text{Min}\{ \mu_A(X * Y), \mu_A(Y) \} \\ &\geq \text{Min}\{ \text{Min}\{ \mu_A((X * Y) * Z), \mu_A(Z) \}, \mu_A(Y) \} \\ &= \text{Min}\{ \text{Min}\{ \mu_A(0), \mu_A(Z) \}, \mu_A(Y) \} \\ &= \text{Min}\{ \mu_A(Y), \mu_A(Z) \} \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_A(X) &\leq \text{Max}\{ \nu_A(X * Y), \nu_A(Y) \} \\ &\geq \text{Max}\{ \text{Max}\{ \nu_A((X * Y) * Z), \nu_A(Z) \}, \nu_A(Y) \} \\ &= \text{Max}\{ \text{Max}\{ \nu_A(0), \nu_A(Z) \}, \nu_A(Y) \} \\ &= \text{Max}\{ \nu_A(Y), \nu_A(Z) \} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.6 Let A And B Be Intuitionistic Fuzzy Ideals Of X Such That $A \leq B$ And $\mu_A(0) = \mu_B(0)$ And $\nu_A(0) = \nu_B(0)$. If A Is An Intuitionistic Fuzzy Ideal Of X , Then So Is B .

Proof. By Proposition 3.3, It Is Enough To Show That

$\mu_B(X) \geq \mu_B(0 * (0 * X))$ And $\nu_B(X) \leq \nu_B(0 * (0 * X))$ For Each $X \in X$
 Putting $S = 0 * (0 * X)$, Then $0 * (0 * (X * S)) = (0 * (0 * X)) * (0 * (0 * S)) = (0 * (0 * X)) * (0 * (0 * (0 * (0 * X))))$
 $= (0 * (0 * X)) * (0 * (0 * X)) = 0$ By Properties (7) And (8). Hence

$\mu_A(0 * (0 * (X * S))) = \mu_A(0) = \mu_B(0)$ And $\nu_A(0 * (0 * (X * S))) = \nu_A(0) = \nu_B(0)$. Since A Is An Intuitionistic Fuzzy P-Ideal Of X And Using Proposition 3.3, We Get

$$\mu_A(X * S) \geq \mu_A(0 * (0 * (X * S))) = \mu_B(0) \text{ And } \nu_A(X * S) \leq \nu_A(0 * (0 * (X * S))) = \nu_B(0)$$

Thus $\mu_B(X * S) \geq \mu_A(X * S) \geq \mu_B(0) \geq \mu_B(S)$ And $\nu_B(X * S) \leq \nu_A(X * S) \leq \nu_B(0) \leq \nu_B(S)$.

Since B Is An Intuitionistic Fuzzy Ideal ,We Have

$$\mu_B(X) \geq \text{Min} \{ \mu_B(X*S), \mu_B(S) \} = \mu_B(S) = \mu_B(0*(0*X)) \text{ And}$$

$$\nu_B(X) \leq \text{Max} \{ \nu_B(X*S), \nu_B(S) \} = \nu_B(S) = \nu_B(0*(0*X))$$

So B Is An Intuitionistic Fuzzy P-Ideal Of X.

Theorem 3.7 An Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set A Of A Bci-Algebra X Is An Intuitionistic Fuzzy P-Ideal Of X If And Only If For Each Pair $T, S \in [0, 1]$, The Level Subset

$A_{\langle T, S \rangle} = \{ X \in X : \mu_A(X) \geq T \text{ And } \nu_A(X) \leq S \}$ Is Either Empty Or A P-Ideal Of X.

Proof. (\Rightarrow): Assume That A Is An Intuitionistic Fuzzy P-Ideal Of X And $A_{\langle T, S \rangle} \neq \emptyset$ For Any $T, S \in [0, 1]$. Then $\mu_A(0) \geq \mu_A(X)$ And $\nu_A(0) \leq \nu_A(X)$. Therefore $\mu_A(0) \geq \mu_A(X) \geq T$ And $\nu_A(0) \leq \nu_A(X) \leq S$ For $T, S \in [0, 1]$ Or $\mu_A(0) \geq T$ And $\nu_A(0) \leq S$ Implies

$0 \in A_{\langle T, S \rangle}$. Next, Let $(X*Z)*(Y*Z) \in A_{\langle T, S \rangle}$ And $Y \in A_{\langle T, S \rangle}$. Then

$\mu_A((X*Z)*(Y*Z)) \geq T, \mu_A(Y) \geq T$ And $\nu_A((X*Z)*(Y*Z)) \leq S, \nu_A(Y) \leq S$. Since A Is An Intuitionistic Fuzzy P-Ideal Of X, Therefore For All X, Y, Z In X,

$$\mu_A(X) \geq \text{Min} \{ \mu_A((X*Z)*(Y*Z)), \mu_A(Y) \} \geq T \text{ And } \nu_A(X) \leq \text{Max} \{ \nu_A((X*Z)*(Y*Z)), \nu_A(Y) \} \leq S$$

Or $\mu_A(X) \geq T$ And $\nu_A(X) \leq S$ Implies $X \in A_{\langle T, S \rangle}$. This Proves That The Level Set $A_{\langle T, S \rangle}$ Is A P-Ideal Of X.

(\Leftarrow): Suppose That For Each Pair $T, S \in [0, 1]$, $A_{\langle T, S \rangle}$ Is Either Empty Or A P-Ideal Of X.

For Any $X \in X$, Setting $\mu_A(X) = T$ And $\nu_A(X) = S$, Then $X \in A_{\langle T, S \rangle}$ Since $A_{\langle T, S \rangle} (\neq \emptyset)$ Is A P-Ideal Of X, We Have $0 \in A_{\langle T, S \rangle}$ And Hence $\mu_A(0) \geq T = \mu_A(X)$ And $\nu_A(0) \leq S = \nu_A(X)$. Thus

$\mu_A(0) \geq \mu_A(X)$ And $\nu_A(0) \leq \nu_A(X)$ For All $X \in X$. Now We Prove That

$$\mu_A(X) \geq \text{Min} \{ \mu_A((X*Z)*(Y*Z)), \mu_A(Y) \} \text{ And } \nu_A(X) \leq \text{Max} \{ \nu_A((X*Z)*(Y*Z)), \nu_A(Y) \}.$$

If Not, Then, There Exist $X_0, Y_0, Z_0 \in X$ Such That $\mu_A(X_0) < \text{Min} \{ \mu_A((X_0*Z_0)*(Y_0*Z_0)), \mu_A(Y_0) \}$ And $\nu_A(X_0) > \text{Max} \{ \nu_A((X_0*Z_0)*(Y_0*Z_0)), \nu_A(Y_0) \}$.

Put $T_0 = \frac{1}{2} [\mu_A(X_0) + \text{Min} \{ \mu_A((X_0*Z_0)*(Y_0*Z_0)), \mu_A(Y_0) \}]$ And

$S_0 = \frac{1}{2} [\nu_A(X_0) + \text{Max} \{ \nu_A((X_0*Z_0)*(Y_0*Z_0)), \nu_A(Y_0) \}]$. Then

$\mu_A(X_0) < T_0 < \text{Min} \{ \mu_A((X_0*Z_0)*(Y_0*Z_0)), \mu_A(Y_0) \}$ And

$\nu_A(X_0) > S_0 > \text{Max} \{ \nu_A((X_0*Z_0)*(Y_0*Z_0)), \nu_A(Y_0) \}$. Hence $(X_0*Z_0)*(Y_0*Z_0) \in A_{\langle T_0, S_0 \rangle}$ And

$Y_0 \in A_{\langle T_0, S_0 \rangle}$. But $X_0 \notin A_{\langle T_0, S_0 \rangle}$, Thus $A_{\langle T_0, S_0 \rangle}$ Is Not A P-Ideal Of X. This Contradicts The Hypothesis.

Therefore A Is An Intuitionistic Fuzzy P-Ideal Of X.

Theorem 3.8. Let I Be A P-Ideal Of X. Then There Exists An Intuitionistic Fuzzy P-Ideal A Of X Such That $A_{\langle T, S \rangle} = I$, For Some $T, S \in [0, 1]$

Proof. Define A Such That $\mu_A(X) = \begin{cases} T & X \in I, \\ 0 & X \notin I, \end{cases}$ And $\nu_A(X) = \begin{cases} S & X \in I, \\ 1 & X \notin I. \end{cases}$

Where T, S Are Fixed Numbers In $[0, 1]$. We Show That A Is An Intuitionistic P-Ideal Of X. Since I

Is A P-Ideal Of X, If $0*(0*X) \in I$, Then $X \in I$. Hence $\mu_A(0*(0*X)) = \mu_A(X) = T$ And

$\nu_A(0*(0*X)) = \nu_A(X) = S$. If $0*(0*X) \notin I, X \in I$, Then $\mu_A(X) = T > 0 = \mu_A(0*(0*X))$ And

$\nu_A(X) = S < 1 = \nu_A(0*(0*X))$. If $0*(0*X) \notin I, X \notin I$, Then $\mu_A(X) = 0 = \mu_A(0*(0*X))$ And

$\nu_A(X) = 1 = \nu_A(0*(0*X))$. Therefore $\mu_A(X) \geq \mu_A(0*(0*X))$ And $\nu_A(X) \leq \nu_A(0*(0*X))$.

This Means That A Satisfies $\mu_A(X) \geq \text{Min} \{ \mu_A((X*Z)*(Y*Z)), \mu_A(Y) \}$ And

$\nu_A(X) \leq \text{Max} \{ \nu_A((X*Z)*(Y*Z)), \nu_A(Y) \}$. Since $0 \in I, \mu_A(0) = T \geq \mu_A(X)$ And

$\nu_A(0) = S \leq \nu_A(X)$ For All $X \in X$. So A Is An Intuitionistic Fuzzy Ideal Of X. It Is Clear That

$A_{\langle T, S \rangle} = I$ And So The Result Follows.

III. Intuitionistic Fuzzy H-Ideals

Definition 4.1 An Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set A In X Is Called An Intuitionistic Fuzzy H- Ideal Of X If For All $X, Y, Z \in X$ We Have

$$(3) \mu_A(0) \geq \mu_A(X),$$

$$(4) \nu_A(0) \leq \nu_A(X),$$

$$(3) \mu_A(X*Z) \geq \text{Min} \{ \mu_A(X*(Y*Z)), \mu_A(Y) \},$$

$$(4) \nu_A(X*Z) \leq \text{Max} \{ \nu_A(X*(Y*Z)), \nu_A(Y) \}$$

Clearly $Z=0$ Gives A An Intuitionistic Fuzzy Ideal.

Example 4.2. Let $X = \{ 0, L, M, N, P, Q \}$ With The Following Cayley Table Be A Bci Algebra.

*	0	L	M	N	P	Q
0	0	0	0	N	N	N
L	L	0	L	P	N	P
M	M	M	0	Q	Q	N
N	N	N	N	0	0	0
P	P	N	P	L	0	L
Q	Q	Q	N	M	M	0

Let $A = \langle \mu_A, \nu_A \rangle$ Be An Ifs In X Defined By

$\mu_A(0) = 0.9, \mu_A(L) = 0.5, \mu_A(M) = \mu_A(Q) = \mu_A(P) = \mu_A(N) = 0.09$ And $\nu_A(0) = 0.09, \nu_A(L) = 0.5, \nu_A(M) = \nu_A(Q) = \nu_A(P) = \nu_A(N) = 0.09$ Then A Is An Intuitionistic Fuzzy H-Ideal Of X .

Proposition 4.3. An Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set A Of A Bci-Algebra X Is A H-Ideal Of X If And Only If For Each Pair $T, S \in [0, 1], A_{\langle T, S \rangle}$ Is Either Empty Or A H-Ideal Of X .

Theorem 4.4. Let A Be An Intuitionistic Fuzzy Ideal Of X . Then The Following Are Equivalent

(i). A Is An Intuitionistic Fuzzy H-Ideal,

(ii). $\mu_A((X * Y) * Z) \geq \mu_A(X * (Y * Z))$ And $\nu_A((X * Y) * Z) \leq \nu_A(X * (Y * Z))$

For All $X, Y, Z \in X$,

(iii). $\mu_A(X * Y) \geq \mu_A(X * (0 * Y))$ And $\nu_A(X * Y) \leq \nu_A(X * (0 * Y))$

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Since A Is An Intuitionistic Fuzzy H-Ideal Of X , We Have

$\mu_A((X * Y) * Z) \geq \text{Min} \{ \mu_A((X * Y) * (0 * Z)), \mu_A(0) \} = \mu_A((X * Y) * (0 * Z))$ And

$\nu_A((X * Y) * Z) \leq \text{Max} \{ \nu_A((X * Y) * (0 * Z)), \nu_A(0) \} = \nu_A((X * Y) * (0 * Z))$

On The Other Hand $(X * Y) * (0 * Z) = (X * Y) * ((Y * Z) * Y) \leq X * (Y * Z)$, Thus

$\mu_A(X * (Y * Z)) \leq \mu_A((X * Y) * (0 * Z))$ And $\nu_A(X * (Y * Z)) \geq \nu_A((X * Y) * (0 * Z))$

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Letting $Y=0$ And $Z=Y$ In $\mu_A(X * Z) \geq \text{Min} \{ \mu_A((X * (Y * Z))), \mu_A(Y) \}$,

$\nu_A(X * Z) \leq \text{Max} \{ \nu_A((X * (Y * Z))), \nu_A(Y) \}$

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) Since $(X * (0 * Y)) * (X * (Z * Y)) \leq (X * Y) * (0 * Y) \leq Z$, By Proposition 3.3 We Have

$\mu_A(X * (0 * Y)) \geq \text{Min} \{ \mu_A(X * (Z * Y)), \mu_A(Z) \}$ And

$\nu_A(X * (0 * Y)) \leq \text{Max} \{ \nu_A(X * (Z * Y)), \nu_A(Z) \}$

Therefore A Is An Intuitionistic Fuzzy H-Ideal Of X .

Theorem 4.5 Let A Be An Intuitionistic Fuzzy Ideal Of Bci-Algebra X . If $\mu_A(X * Y) \geq \mu_A(X)$ And $\nu_A(X * Y) \leq \nu_A(X)$ For All $X, Y \in X$, Then A Is A H-Ideal Of X .

Proof. $\text{Min} \{ \mu_A(X * (Y * Z)), \mu_A(Y) \} \leq \text{Min} \{ \mu_A(X * Z) * (Y * Z), \mu_A(Y * Z) \} \leq \mu_A(X * Z)$ And

$\text{Max} \{ \nu_A(X * (Y * Z)), \nu_A(Y) \} \geq \text{Max} \{ \nu_A(X * Z) * (Y * Z), \nu_A(Y * Z) \} \geq \nu_A(X * Z)$ For All

$X, Y, Z \in X$

Definition 4.6[9] A Fuzzy Set μ In X Is Said To Be A Fuzzy Subalgebra Of X If

$\mu_A(X * Y) \geq \text{Min} \{ \mu_A(X), \mu_A(Y) \}$ For All $X, Y \in X$.

Definition 4.7 A Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set A In X Is Said To Be A Intuitionistic Fuzzy Subalgebra Of X If

$\mu_A(X * Y) \geq \text{Min} \{ \mu_A(X), \mu_A(Y) \}$ And

$\nu_A(X * Y) \leq \text{Max} \{ \nu_A(X), \nu_A(Y) \}$ For All $X, Y \in X$.

Theorem 4.8 An Intuitionistic Fuzzy H-Ideal Of X Is An Intuitionistic Fuzzy Subalgebra Of X .

Proof. If A Is An Intuitionistic Fuzzy H-Ideal, Then

$\mu_A(X * Z) \geq \text{Min} \{ \mu_A(X * (Y * Z)), \mu_A(Y) \}$ And

$\nu_A(X * Z) \leq \text{Max} \{ \nu_A(X * (Y * Z)), \nu_A(Y) \}$

Putting $Z=Y$, Then $\mu_A(X * Y) \geq \text{Min} \{ \mu_A(X), \mu_A(Y) \}$ And

$\nu_A(X * Y) \leq \text{Max} \{ \nu_A(X), \nu_A(Y) \}$

This Shows That A Is An Intuitionistic Fuzzy Subalgebra.

Theorem 4.9. Let A And B Be Intuitionistic Fuzzy Ideals Of A Bci-Algebra X Such That

$A \leq B$ And $\mu_A(0) = \mu_B(0)$ And $\nu_A(0) = \nu_B(0)$. If A Is An Intuitionistic Fuzzy H-Ideal Of X , Then So Is B .

Proof. By Theorem 4.4 (iii) It Is Enough To Show That $\mu_B(X * Y) \geq \mu_B(X * (0 * Y))$ And

$\nu_B(X * Y) \leq \nu_B(X * (0 * Y))$ For Each $X, Y \in X$. Putting $S = X * (0 * Y)$, We Have $(X * S) * (0 * Y) = 0$

Hence $\mu_A((X*S)*(0*Y)) = \mu_A(0) = \mu_B(0)$ And $\nu_A((X*S)*(0*Y)) = \nu_A(0) = \nu_B(0)$. By Theorem 4.4 (iii) ,Since A Is An Intuitionistic Fuzzy H-Ideal Of X, $\mu_A((X*S)*Y) \geq \mu_A((X*S)*(0*Y)) = \mu_B(0)$ And $\nu_A((X*S)*Y) \leq \nu_A((X*S)*(0*Y)) = \nu_B(0)$. Thus $\mu_B((X*S)*Y) \geq \mu_A((X*S)*(0*Y)) \geq \mu_B(0) \geq \mu_B(S)$ And $\nu_B((X*S)*Y) \leq \nu_A((X*S)*(0*Y)) \leq \nu_B(0) \leq \nu_B(S)$. Since B Is An Intuitionistic Fuzzy Ideal ,We Have $\mu_B(X*Y) \geq \text{Min}\{ \mu_B((X*Y)*S) , \mu_B(S) \} = \mu_B(S) = \mu_B(X*(0*Y))$ And $\nu_B(X*Y) \leq \text{Max}\{ \nu_B((X*Y)*S) , \nu_B(S) \} = \nu_B(S) = \nu_B(X*(0*Y))$ And The Result Follows.

Proposition 4.10 An Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set A Of A Bci-Algebra X Is A Closed Intuitionistic Fuzzy Ideal Of X If And Only If For Every $T, S \in [0, 1]$, $A_{\langle T, S \rangle}$ Is Either Empty Or A Closed Ideal Of X.

Theorem 4.11 Let I Be A H-Ideal Of A Bci-Algebra X. Then There Exists An Intuitionistic Fuzzy H-Ideal A Of X Such That $A_{\langle T, S \rangle} = I$ For Some $T, S \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. Define An Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set A By

$$\mu_A(X) = \begin{cases} T & X \in I \\ 0 & X \notin I \end{cases} \quad \text{And} \quad \nu_A(X) = \begin{cases} S & X \in I \\ 1 & X \notin I \end{cases}$$

With $T + S \leq 1$

We Will Show That A Is Intuitionistic Fuzzy H-Ideal Of X .Since I Is A H-Ideal Of X ,If

$X*(Y*Z) \in I, Y \in I$, Then $X*Z \in I$. Hence $\mu_A(X*(Y*Z)) = \mu_A(Y) = \mu_A(X*Z) = T$ And

$\nu_A(X*(Y*Z)) = \nu_A(Y) = \nu_A(X*Z) = S$. If $X*(Y*Z) \notin I, Y \notin I, X*Z \in I$, Then

$\mu_A(X*Z) = T > 0 = \mu_A(X*(Y*Z)) = \mu_A(Y)$ And $\nu_A(X*Z) = S < 1 = \nu_A(X*(Y*Z)) = \nu_A(Y)$

If $X*(Y*Z) \notin I, Y \notin I, X*Z \notin I$, Then $\mu_A(X*Z) = 0 = \mu_A(X*(Y*Z)) = \mu_A(Y)$ And

$\nu_A(X*Z) = 0 = \nu_A(X*(Y*Z)) = \nu_A(Y)$. Therefore $\mu_A(X*Z) \geq \text{Min}\{ \mu_A(X*(Y*Z)) , \mu_A(Y) \}$ And

$\nu_A(X*Z) \leq \text{Max}\{ \nu_A(X*(Y*Z)) , \nu_A(Y) \}$

Since $0 \in I, \mu_A(0) = T \geq \mu_A(X)$ And $\nu_A(0) = S \leq \nu_A(X)$ For All $X \in X$. So A Is An Intuitionistic Fuzzy H-Ideal. It Is Clear That $A_{\langle T, S \rangle} = I$.

Theorem 4.12 Let X Be A Bci-Algebra .Then The Following Are Equivalent :

(i) Every H-Ideal Of X Is Closed.

(ii) Every Intuitionistic Fuzzy H-Ideal Of X Is A Closed Fuzzy Ideal Of X.

Proof. Let A Be An Intuitionistic Fuzzy H-Ideal Of X. Then By Proposition 4.3

$A_{\langle T, S \rangle}$ Is A H-Ideal Of X. Thus $A_{\langle T, S \rangle}$ Is A Closed Ideal Of X. Hence By Proposition 4.10

$A_{\langle T, S \rangle}$ Is A Closed Intuitionistic Fuzzy Ideal Of X. Conversely Assume That I Is A H-Ideal

Of X. By Theorem 4.11 There Exists An Intuitionistic Fuzzy H-Ideal A Of X Such That

$A_{\langle T, S \rangle} = I$ For Some $T, S \in [0, 1]$. Since A Is An Intuitionistic Fuzzy H-Ideal Of X ,A Is A Closed Intuitionistic Fuzzy Ideal Of X. Hence By Proposition 4.8 , $A_{\langle T, S \rangle}$ Is A Closed Ideal Of X. So I Is A Closed Ideal Of X.

References

- [1]. K.T. Atanassov , Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, Fuzzy Sets And Systems 20(1) (1986), 87-96. .
- [2]. K.T. Atanassov , New Operations Defined Over Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, Fuzzy Sets And Systems 61(1994), 137-142.
- [3]. K.Iseki, On Bci-Algebras, Seminar Notes 8(Presently Kobe J. Math) (1980) 125-130.
- [4]. Y. B.Jun , Closed Fuzzy Ideals In Bci-Algebras, Math. Japonica 38(1)(1993),199-202.
- [5]. Y. B.Jun , A Note On Nil Radicals In Bci-Algebras , Math. Japonica 38(6)(1993),1017-1021.
- [6]. Y. B. Jun And J. Meng ,Fuzzy P-Ideals In Bci-Algebras, Math. Japonica 40(2)(1994),271-282.
- [7]. H.M. Khalid And B. Ahamad, Fuzzy H-Ideals In Bci-Algebras, Fuzzy Sets And Systems 101(1999) 153-158.
- [8]. A.Kordi And A.Moussavi, On Fuzzy Ideals Of Bci-Algebras, Pu.Ma. Vol18(2007) No3-4 Pp.301-310.
- [9]. Y.L. Liu And J. Meng ,Fuzzy Ideals In Bci-Algebras ,Fuzzy Sets And Systems 123(2001) ,227-237.
- [10]. J. Meng And X.Guo ,On Fuzzy Ideals Of Bck/Bci -Algebras, Fuzzy Sets And Systems 149(3)(2005) ,509-525.
- [11]. L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy Sets, Information And Control, 8 (1965) 338-353.
- [12]. X. Zhang And J. Hao , On P-Ideals Of A Bci-Algebras ,Preprint

Dr.R.Jayasudha "On Intuitionistic Fuzzy P-Ideals and H-Ideals in Bci-Algebras" International Journal of Engineering Science Invention (IJESI), vol. 07, no. 03, 2018, pp56-61