Some Results Concerning Nevanlinna Defects of The Euler's Gamma Function.

¹Subhas S. Bhoosnurmath, ²K.S.L.N.Prasad

¹Department of Mathematics, Karnatak University, Dharwad-580003-INDIA ²Associate Professor, Department of Mathematics, Karnatak Arts College, Dharwad-580001, INDIA

ABSTRCT: In this paper we have extended some basic results of Nevanlinna theory to Euler's gamma function which is known to be a meromorphic function.

KEY WORDS: Nevanlinna theory, Euler's gamma function, Nevanlinna defects.

Preliminaries:

By a meromorphic function we shall always mean a transcendental meromorphic function in the plane. If f is a meromorphic function, $a \in \overline{C}$ and r > 0, we use the following notations of frequent use in Nevanlinna

theory with their usual meaning:
$$m(r, a, f) = m\left(r\frac{1}{f-a}\right)$$
,

$$n(r,a,f) = n\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a}\right), \overline{n}(r,a,f), N(r,a,f), \overline{N}(r,a,f), T(r,f),$$

 $\delta(a, f), \Delta(a, f), \Theta(a, f)$ etc..as in [2]

As usual, if $a = \infty$, then by a zero of f-a, we mean a pole of f.

Introduction: The Euler's Gamma function $\Gamma(z)$ is given by

$$\Gamma(z) = z^{-1} e^{-\gamma z} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{z}{k} \right)^{-1} e^{\frac{z}{k}}$$
(1)

Where $\gamma = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (k^{-1} - \log n)$ is Euler's constant.

Clearly $\Gamma(z)$ is meromorphic function with simple poles at $\{-k\}_{k=0}^{+\infty}$ and $\Gamma(z) \neq 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

In [3], Zhuan Ye has proved the following. **Theorem A:** with usual notations,

(1)
$$T(\Gamma, r) = (1 + o((1)) \left(\frac{r}{\pi}\right) \log r$$

(2)
$$\delta(\Gamma, o) = \delta(\Gamma, \infty) = 1, \ \delta(\Gamma, a) = 0 \text{ for } a \neq 0, \infty.$$

We wish to obtain some other results of Nevanlinna theory related to Euler's Gamma function and prove the following result.

Theorem 1 : Suppose $\Gamma(z)$ is the Euler Gamma – function as defined in (1) and let $\Gamma_i(z)$ (i=1, 2,, p) be p ($2 \le p \le \infty$) distinct small meromorphic functions of finite order μ_{r_i} satisfying T(r, Γ_i) = o {T (r, Γ)} (r $\rightarrow \infty$). If p = + ∞ , then for any $\in >0$, there exists a positive integer q such that K(L_q (Γ)) < 1 - $\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \delta(\Gamma_i, \Gamma)$,

Where
$$L_q(\Gamma_q(z)) = \frac{(-1)^p W(\Gamma, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \dots \Gamma_p)}{W(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \dots \Gamma_p)}$$

= $\Gamma^{(p)} + a_{p-1} \Gamma^{(p-1)} + a_{p-2} \Gamma^{(p-2)} + \dots + a_0 \Gamma^{(p-1)}$

We require the following Lemma in our proof. Lemma [1] Let the differential equation $w^{(k)} + a_{k-1} w^{(k-1)} + \ldots + a_0 w = 0$ be satisfied in the complex plane by linearly independent meromorphic functions f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k .

Then the co-efficients a_i(j=0, 1, ..., k-1) are meromorphic in the plane with the property

$$m(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{a}_{j}) = O\left\{ log\left(\max_{i=1,\dots,k} T(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{f}_{i}) \right) \right\}$$

Proof of Theorem :

First we, consider the $p = +\infty$.

Let
$$F(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{1}{\Gamma(z) - \Gamma_i(z)}$$

Then, by an earlier result we know that,

$$m(r, F) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{q} m\left(r, \frac{1}{\Gamma - \Gamma_{i}}\right) - o\{T, r, \Gamma\} \text{ for any positive integer } q < \infty.$$

Now,
$$N(r, a_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} N(r, \Gamma_i^{(p)})$$

 $\leq (p+1) \sum_{i=1}^{p} N(r, \Gamma_i)$
 $= o\{T(r, \Gamma)\}$

and $m(r, a_i) = o\{T(r, \Gamma)\}$, by the above Lemma. Also, We have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{1}{\Gamma - \Gamma_{i}} = \frac{1}{L_{q}(\Gamma)} \sum_{i=1}^{q} \frac{L_{q}(\Gamma)}{\Gamma - \Gamma_{i}}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{1}{L_{q}(\Gamma)} \sum_{i=1}^{q} \frac{L_{q}(\Gamma - \Gamma_{i})}{\Gamma - \Gamma_{i}}$$
and m $\left(r, \sum_{i=1}^{q} \frac{L_{q}(\Gamma - \Gamma_{i})}{\Gamma - \Gamma_{i}}\right) \le \sum_{i=1}^{q} \sum_{j=1}^{p} m\left(r, \frac{(\Gamma - \Gamma_{i}^{(j)})}{\Gamma - \Gamma_{i}}\right)$
$$+ \sum_{t=0}^{p} m(r, a_{t}) + O(1).$$
We have m $\left(r, \sum_{i=1}^{q} \frac{1}{\Gamma}\right) \le m\left(r, \frac{1}{\Gamma}\right) \ge O(T_{i}(r, \Gamma_{i}))$

Hence, We have
$$m\left(r, \sum_{i=1}^{q} \frac{1}{\Gamma - \Gamma_i}\right) \le m\left(r, \frac{1}{L_q(\Gamma)}\right) + o\{T(r, \Gamma)\}$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q} m\left(r, \frac{1}{\Gamma - \Gamma_{i}}\right) \le m\left(r, \frac{1}{L_{q}(\Gamma)}\right) + o\{T(r, \Gamma)\}$$

(. 1

Thus, we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q} \delta(\Gamma_{i}, \Gamma) \leq \lim_{r \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{q} \frac{m\left(r, \frac{1}{\Gamma - \Gamma_{i}}\right)}{T(r, \Gamma)}$$

$$\leq \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{m\left(r, \frac{1}{L_q(\Gamma)}\right)}{T(r, \Gamma)}$$

on the other hand,

$$1 - K(L_q(\Gamma)) = \overline{\lim_{r \to \infty}} \frac{N(r, L_q\Gamma) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{L_q(\Gamma)}\right)}{T(r, \Gamma_q(\Gamma))}$$
$$= \overline{\lim_{r \to \infty}} \frac{m\left(r, \frac{1}{L_q(\Gamma)}\right) - O(1) - N(r, L_q(\Gamma))}{T(r, \Gamma_q(\Gamma))}$$

using the First Fundamental Theorem.

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Hence, } 1 - \text{K}(\text{L}_{q}, (\Gamma)) \geq \displaystyle \underbrace{\lim_{r \to \infty}} \frac{m\left(r, \displaystyle \frac{1}{\text{L}_{q}(\Gamma)}\right) - \text{O}\{\text{T}(r, \Gamma)\}}{\text{T}(r, \text{L}_{q}(\Gamma))} \\ \geq \displaystyle \underbrace{\lim_{r \to \infty}} \frac{m\left(r, \displaystyle \frac{1}{\text{L}_{q}(\Gamma)}\right) - \text{O}\{\text{T}(r, \Gamma)\}}{\text{T}(r, \Gamma)} \\ = \displaystyle \underbrace{\lim_{r \to \infty}} \frac{m\left(r, \displaystyle \frac{1}{\text{L}_{q}(\Gamma)}\right)}{\text{T}(r, \Gamma)} \end{array}$$

1

Further, for any $\in 0$, there exists a positive integer.

$$q_0 (0 < q_0 < +\infty)$$
 and $\{\Gamma_{it}\}_{t=1}^{q_0} \subset \{\Gamma_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$

Such that

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^\infty \delta(\Gamma_i,\Gamma) &< \sum_{t=1}^q \delta(\Gamma_{it},\Gamma) \ . \\ & \sum_{i=1}^\infty \delta(\Gamma_i,\Gamma) \ - \ \epsilon < \sum_{t=1}^q \delta(\Gamma_{it},\Gamma) \end{split}$$

Thus, we have,

$$\leq 1 - K(L_q, (\Gamma)).$$

 $\text{Hence, we have} \quad K(L_{q0}\left(\,\Gamma\,\right)) < 1 \, - \, \sum_{i=1}^\infty \delta(\Gamma_i\,,\Gamma) \, + \, \epsilon \ \text{ for a positive integer } q_0.$

If p is finite, then in the above discussion we may take $q = q_0 = p$. This proves the result.

REFERRENCES

- GUNTER FRANK and SIMON HELLERSTEIN (1986) : 'On the meromorphic solutions of non homogeneous linear differential [1] equation with polynomial co-efficients', Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), 53, 407-428.
- [2] HAYMAN W. K. (1964) : Meromorphic functions, Oxford Univ. Press, London.
- [3] ZHUAN YE (1999) : Note - The Nevanlinna functions of the Riemann Zeta function, Jl. of math. ana. and appl. 233, 425-435.