### The Secular Increase Of Astronomical Unit Due To The Loss Of The Solar Mass

Jihai Zhang<sup>1\*</sup>, Ping Tao<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Canada \*Corresponding Author: Jihai Zhang

**Abstract:** According To Our Published Articles[1][2], This Article Further Derives The Relationships Between The Inward / Outward Speed, The Central Mass, The Raduis And The Cross-Radial Velocity Of A Gravitationally Bound Point And Uses Real Data[3][4]To Verify The Equations With Error Less Than 2.2%. Besides, Using Our Method Of Raw Data Processing, We Find That Our Processed Data Is Consistent With G.A.Krasinsky's Conclusion Of 15(Cm/Year) For The Secular Increase Of Astronomical Unit [3]And Successfully Extracted Real Data From NASA Recently Published Raw Data[4]. Now, Using Our Equations, We Can Accurately Calculate / Theoretically Prove The Secular Increase Of Astronomical Unit Is Due To The Loss Of The Solar Mass To Answer The Questions That Can Not Be Answered By Known Theories[5].

*Keywords:* Gravity, Gravitational Field, Solar System, Universe, Inward / Outward / Speed, Astronomical Unit, Expansion.

\_\_\_\_\_

Date of Submission: 20-04-2018

Date of acceptance: 05-05-2018

### I. Introduction

According To Our Published Articles[1][2], In This Article, We Do The Followings;

1.Deriving Equation (4), The Relationship Between The Inward / Outward Speed, The Central Mass And The Raduis Of A Gravitationally Bound Point.

2.Deriving Equation (5), The Relationship Between The Inward / Outward Speed, The Raduis And The Cross-Radial Velocity Of A Gravitationally Bound Point.

3.Using Our Method Of Raw Data Processing To Extract Real Data From The Raw Data In **G.A.Krasinsky**'s Table 2[3].

4.Comparing The Extracted Real Data With **G.A.Krasinsky**'s Conclusion Of 15(Cm/Year) For The Secular Increase Of Astronomical Unit [3] To Confirm Our Method Of Raw Data Processing Is Credible.

5.Using The Proven Method Of Raw Data Processing To Extract Real Data From NASA's Raw Data In FIG.2[4], To Eliminate Noise.

6.Using The Extracted Credible Data From **NASA**'s Raw Data FIG.2[4] And **G.A.Krasinsky**'s Conclusion Of 15(Cm/Year)[3] To Verify Equations (4) And (5) By Calculating A New Universal Constant Jp.

7.Using Equations (4) And (5) To Create **Table.1** To Demonstrate The Outward Speeds Of The 8 Planets In Our Solar System And To Compare The Calculation Results To Further Confirm Equations (4) And (5).

#### **II.** Derivation

Derivations Are Based On The Following Equations;

$$\frac{M_{1}}{M_{0}} = \frac{R_{0}}{R_{1}} = \frac{V_{1}}{V_{0}}$$

(1)

Where,  $M_0$  Is The Previous Central Mass,  $M_1$  Is The Present Central Mass.  $R_0$  Is The Previous Distance From The Orbiting Point P To The Centre,  $R_1$  Is The Present Distance From The Orbiting Point P To The Centre.  $V_0$  And  $V_1$  Are Cross-Radial Velocities With Distances Of  $R_0$  And  $R_1$  To The Centre Respectively.

Equation (1)[1] Represents The Property Of A Gravitationally Bound Point P In Different Places ( $R_{0,}$   $R_{1}$ ), With Different Cross-Radial Velocities ( $V_{0,}$   $V_{1}$ ), Under Different Central Masses ( $M_{0,}$   $M_{1}$ ).

$$V_{i/o} 1 = \left[\frac{R_0}{R_1}\right]^3 V_{i/o} 0$$
 (2)

Where, Vi/o0 Is The Previous Inward / Outward Speed Of Gravitationally Bound Point P, Vi/o1 Is The Present Inward / Outward Speed Of Gravitationally Bound Point P.  $R_0$  Is The Previous Distance From The Orbiting Point P To The Centre,  $R_1$  Is The Present Distance From The Orbiting Point P To The Centre.

Equation (2)[2] Represents Property Of A Gravitationally Bound Point P In Different Places ( $R_0$ ,  $R_1$ ), With Different Inward / Outward Speed (Vi/o0, Vi/o1), Under Different Central Masses ( $M_0$ ,  $M_1$ ).

$$M = kR V^2 \tag{3}$$

Where, M Is The Central Mass, K Is A Universal Constant (K = 2.50863E-06), V is The Cross-Radial Velocity Of Point P With Distance Of R to The Centre.

Equation (3)[1] Represents Property Of A Gravitationally Bound Point P With The Cross-Radial Velocity V And The Radius R Under The Central Mass M.

### **1.Deriving Equation (4), The Relationship Between The Inward / Outward Speed, The Central Mass And The Raduis Of A Gravitationally Bound Point.**

According To Equations(1)[1] And (2)[2];

$$\frac{M_1}{M_0} = \frac{R_0}{R_1} = \frac{V_1}{V_0}$$
(1)  
$$V_{i/o} 1 = \left[\frac{R_0}{R_1}\right]^3 V_{i/o} 0$$
(2)

$$V_{i/o} 1 = \left[\frac{R_0}{R_1}\right]^3 V_{i/o} 0 = \frac{M_1}{M_0} \left[\frac{R_0}{R_1}\right]^2 V_{i/o} 0$$
(2b)

$$\frac{M_1}{V_{i/o} 1R_1^2} = \frac{M_0}{V_{i/o} 0R_0^2} = Jp$$
(2c)

$$V_{i/o} 0 = \frac{M_0}{JpR_0^2}$$

$$V_{i/o} = \frac{M}{JpR^2}$$
(4)

$$M = JpR^2 V_{i/o} \tag{4a}$$

$$Jp = \frac{m}{V_{i/o}R^2} \tag{4b}$$

Where, M( Earth Mass ) Is The Central Mass,  $Jp(Earth Mass * Year / Km^3)$  Is The New Universal Constant, Vi/o (Km / Year ) Is The Inward / Outward Speed, R(Km) Is The Radius.

According To Equation (4), In A Variable Mass Centre System, The Inward / Outward Speed Vi/o Of A Gravitational Bound Point Will Decrease With The Decrease Of The Central Mass M And The Increase Of The Radius R.

## 2.Deriving Equation (5), The Relationship Between The Inward / Outward Speed, The Raduis And The Cross-Radial Velocity Of A Gravitationally Bound Point.

According To Equations (4a) And (3);

$$M = JpR^{2}V_{i/o}$$
(4a)  

$$M = kRV^{2}$$
(3)  

$$JpR^{2}V_{i/o} = kRV^{2}$$
(5)

Where, K=2.50863E-6(Earth Mass \*  $S^2 / Km^3$ ) Is A Universal Constant, Jp(Earth Mass \* Year /  $Km^3$ ) Is The New Universal Constant, V(Km / S) Is The Cross-Radial Velocity, R(Km) Is The Radius.

According To Equation (5), In A Variable Mass Centre System, The Inward / Outward Speed Vi/o Of A Gravitational Bound Point Will Decrease With The Decrease Of The Cross-Radial Velocity V And The Increase Of The Radius R.

#### **III. Data Analysis**

Because There Is Noise In The Raw Data[3][4], To Eliminate Noise, We First Select The Minimum Value Of The Maximum As The Upper Limit And The Maximum Value Of The Minimum As The Lower Limit, Then, Use The Average Value Of The UpperLimit And LowerLimit As The True Data.

# 3.Using Our Method Of Raw Data Processing To Extract Real Data From The Raw Data In G.A.Krasinsky's Table 2[3].

To Verify This Method, According To **G.A.Krasinsky**'s Raw Data Table 2[3]: (21.5, 9.7, 7.9, 9.7, 14.4, 61.0, 21.3), We Eliminate The Maximum Value 61.0 And The Minimum Value 7.9,

Avreage value = 
$$\frac{21.5 + 9.7}{2} = 15.6(m/cy) = 15.6(cm/y)$$

4.Comparing The Extracted Real Data With G.A.Krasinsky's Conclusion Of 15(Cm/Year) For The Secular Increase Of Astronomical Unit [3] To Confirm Our Method Of Raw Data Processing Is Credible.

Because The Average Value Is Consistent With**G.A.Krasinsky**'s Conclusion Of15(Cm/Year)[3] And Within Its Range 15+/-4(Cm/Year), Our Method Of Raw Data Processing Is Proven Credible.

### 5.Using The Proved Method Of Raw Data Processing To Extract Real Data From NASA's Raw Data In FIG.2[4], To Eliminate Noise.

According To NASA's Fig 2[4], The Minimum Value Of The Maximum (Point: AU=0.34) Is 1.5(M/Year) And The Maximum Value Of The Minimum Is 0.5(M/Year):

Avreage value = 
$$\frac{1.5 + 0.5}{2} = 1(m/y) = 100(cm/y)$$

### 6.Using The Extracted Credible Data From NASA's Raw Data FIG.2[4] And G.A.Krasinsky's Conclusion Of 15(Cm/Year)[3] To Verify Equations (4) And (5) By Calculating A New Universal Constant Jp.

Verifying Equation (4):

$$Jp = \frac{M}{V_{i/a}R^2} \tag{4b}$$

According To Earth's Data:  $M = M_{sun} = 333000$ (Earth Mass), R = 149598023(Km), Vi/o = 15(Cm / Year)[3],

$$Jp = \frac{M}{V_{i/o}R^2} = \frac{333000}{(15E - 5) \cdot (149.598023E + 6)^2} = 9.919762311E - 8(Earth mass \cdot y / km^3)$$

According To Mercury's Data:  $M = M_{sun} = 333000$ (Earth Mass), R = 57.91E+6(Km), Vi/o = 100(Cm / Year),

$$Jp = \frac{M}{V_{i/o}R^2} = \frac{333000}{(100E - 5) \cdot (57.91E + 6)^2} = 9.928722316E - 8(Earth mass \cdot y / km^3)$$
  
Average Jp = 9.924742314E-8(Earth Mass \* Y / Km<sup>3</sup>)

Because The Difference Between These Two Calculations Is 0.1%, Equation (4) Is Proven Correct.

Verifying Equation (5):

$$V_{i/o} = \frac{k}{Jp} \frac{V^2}{R}$$
(5)

According To Earth's Data: K =2.50863E-6(Earth Mass \*  $S^2 / Km^3$ ), R = 149598023(Km), V = 29.78(Km / S),

$$V_{i/o} = \frac{k}{Jp} \frac{V^2}{R} = 25.27652528 \cdot \frac{29.78^2}{149.598023E + 6} = 1.498E - 4(km/y) = 14.98(cm/y)$$

According To Mercury's Data:  $K = 2.50863E-6(Earth Mass * S^2 / Km^3)$ , R = 57.91E+6(Km), V = 47.36(Km / S),

$$V_{i/o} = \frac{k}{Jp} \frac{V^2}{R} = 25.27652528 \cdot \frac{47.36^2}{57.91E + 6} = 9.79E - 4(km/y) = 97.9(cm/y)$$

Comparing With Vi/o = 15(Cm / Year)[3] And Vi/o = 100(Cm / Year)[4], The Maximum Difference Is 2.1%, Equation(5) Is Proven Correct.

7.Using Equations (4) And (5) To Create Table.1 To Demonstrate The Outward Speeds Of The 8 Planets In Our Solar System And To Compare The Calculation Results To Further Confirm Equations (4) And (5).

			Table.1			
Planet	V <sub>0</sub> (Km/S)	R <sub>0</sub> (Km)	$V_{i/o} = \frac{M}{JpR^2}$	$V_{i/o} = \frac{k}{Jp} \frac{V^2}{R}$	Error	
			( <i>cm</i> / <i>y</i> )	(cm / y)		
Neptune	5.43	4.50E+09	0.0166	0.0166	1.55E-03	
Uranus	6.8	2.87E+09	0.0407	0.0407	-6.08E-04	
Saturn	9.68	1.43E+09	0.163	0.165	-1.19E-02	
Jupiter	13.06	7.79E+08	0.554	0.554	-4.06E-04	
Mars	24.07	2.28E+08	6.46	6.43	5.23E-03	
Earth	29.78	1.50E+08	15	15	5.35E-04	
Venus	35.02	1.08E+08	28.7	28.6	2.49E-04	
Mercury	47.36	5.79E+07	100	97.9	2.17E-02	

Where, M=333000, K =2.50863E-6, Jp = 9.924742314E-8, Maximum Error Is 2.17%.

According To Table.1, Equations (4) and (5) Have The Same Calculation Results As That Of Equation (16)[2] In Table 2[2]. Therefore, Equation (16)[2] Is Also Proven Correct.

#### **IV.** Conclusion

Equations (4) And (5) Are Newly Proven Physical Laws, Have The Same Physical Meanings As Those Shown In Table 1[2] In Our Previous Article[2], Can Be Used To Theoretically Explain / Accurately Calculate The Secular Increase Of Astronomical Unit Is Due To The Loss Of The Solar Mass As Well As The Expansion Of The Solar System. These Findings Answer Questions That Cannot Be Answered By Known Theories[5] And Are Meaningful For Understanding The Expansion And Contraction Of The Universe.

Other Findings Include The New Universal Constant Jp And The Method Of Raw Data Processing, Which Is Proven Simple, Reasonable, Creditable And Useful.

#### Reference

[1]	Jihai Zhang & Ping Tao, Relationships Between Two Gravitationally-Bound Points In Single Or Multiple Systems In The Universe, J
	Phys Astr, Vol: 5(4)(2017).
	Http://Www.Tsijournals.Com/Articles/Relationships-Between-Two-Gravitationallybound-Points-In-Single-Or-Multiple-Systems-Interventionallybound-Points-In-Single-Or-Multiple-Systems-Interventionallybound-Points-In-Single-Or-Multiple-Systems-Interventionallybound-Points-Interv

- <u>-The-Universe.Pdf.</u>
   [2] Jihai Zhang & Ping Tao, The Inward / Outward Speed Relationship Between Gravitationally Bound Points, Fundamental Journal Of Modern Physics, Vol: 11(1)(2018).
- Http://Frdint.Com/The\_Inward\_Outward.Pdf
- [3] G.A.Krasinsky & V.A.Brumberg 2004, Secular Increase Of Astronomical Unit From Analysis Of The Major Planet Motions, And Its Interpretation, Celestial Mechanics And Dynamical Astronomy 90 : 267-288. <u>Https://Link.Springer.Com/Article/10.1007%2Fs10569-004-0633-Z</u>
- [4] Antonio Genova 2018, Solar System Expansion And Strong Equivalence Principle As Seen By The NASA MESSENGER Mission, Nature Communications.<u>Https://Www.Nature.Com/Articles/S41467-017-02558-1.Pdf</u>
- [5] L. Acedo 2018, Anonnalous Post-Newtonian Term And The Secular Increase Of Astronomical Unit. <u>Https://Arxiv.Org/Pdf/1401.4056.Pdf</u>

Jihai Zhang "The Secular Increase Of Astronomical Unit Due To The Loss Of The Solar Mass "International Journal of Engineering Science Invention (IJESI), vol. 07, no. 05, 2018, pp 56-59