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## I. INTRODUCTION

Let A denote the class of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}, \quad a_{k} \geq 0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the open disc $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{U}_{1}$.
Let $S$ be the subclass of $A$ consisting of functions which are univalent in $U$. We denote by $S^{*}, C$,
K and $\mathrm{C}^{*}$ the familiar subclasses of A consisting of functions which are respectively starlike, convex, close-toconvex and quasi-convex in U. Our favorite references of the field are [1, 2, 3] which covers most of the topics in a lucid and economical style. The concept of starlike functions and convex functions were further extended as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{S}^{*}(\alpha)=\left\{f \in \mathrm{~A}: \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)>\alpha, z \in \mathrm{U}\right\}  \tag{1.2}\\
& \mathrm{C}(\alpha)=\left\{f \in \mathrm{~A}: \operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)>\alpha, z \in \mathrm{U}\right\} . \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

We note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \in \mathrm{C}(\alpha) \Leftrightarrow z f^{\prime} \in \mathrm{S}^{*}(\alpha) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{S}^{*}(\alpha)$ and $\mathrm{C}(\alpha)$ are respectively, the classes of starlike of order $\alpha$ and convex of order $\alpha$ in U . (see Robertson [4]).

Similarly, close-to convex functions and quasi-convex functions were further extended as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K}(\alpha, \beta)=\left\{f \in \mathrm{~A}: \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right)>\alpha, g \in \mathrm{~S}^{*}(\beta), z \in \mathrm{U}\right\} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $A_{p}$ be the class of functions analytic in the open unit disc $U=\{z:|z|<1\}$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+p} z^{k+p} \quad(p \geq 1) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{A}_{1}$.
A function $f(z) \in \mathrm{A}_{p}$ is said to be $k$ - uniformly p - valent starlike of order $\delta(-p<\delta<p)$, $k \geq 0$ and $z \in \mathrm{U}$ denoted by $k-U S T(p, \delta)$, if and only if

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-\delta\right\} \geq k\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-p\right|
$$

A function $f(z) \in \mathrm{A}_{p}$ is said to be $k$ - uniformly p - valent convex of order $\delta(-p<\delta<p)$, $k \geq 0$ and $z \in \mathrm{U}$ denoted by $k-U C V(p, \delta)$, if and only if

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\delta\right\} \geq k\left|1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-p\right|
$$

For the functions $f(z)$ of the form (1.6) and $g(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k+p} z^{k+p}$, the Hadamard product (or convolution) of $f$ and $g$ is defined by

$$
(f * g)(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+p} b_{n+p} z^{n+p}
$$

Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be analytic in U . Then we say that the function $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$ in U , if there exists an analytic function $w(z)$ in U such that $|w(z)|<|z|$ and $f(z)=g(w(z))$, denoted by $f(z) \prec g(z)$. If $g(z)$ is univalent in U , then the subordination is equivalent to $f(0)=g(0)$ and $f(\mathrm{U}) \subset g(\mathrm{U})$.

Differentiating both sides of (1.6), $q$ times with respect to $z$

$$
\begin{align*}
& f^{(q)}(z)=\frac{p!}{(p-q)!} z^{p-q}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(k+p)!}{(k+p-q)!} a_{k+p} z^{k+p-q}  \tag{1.7}\\
&\left(p \geq 1 ; q \in \square_{0}=\square \cup 0 ; p>q\right)
\end{align*}
$$

We show by $\mathrm{A}_{p}(q)$ the class of functions of the form (1.7) which are analytic and $p$-valent in U .
Using the Salagean derivative operator [5], recently Halit Orhan [6] defined the function class as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{n} f^{(q)}(z)=\frac{p!}{(p-q)!} z^{p-q}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(k+p-q)^{n}(k+p)!}{(p-q)^{n}(k+p-q)!} a_{k+p} z^{k+p-q} . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathrm{T}_{p}$ denote the subclass of $f \in \mathrm{~A}_{p}$ consisting of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{p}-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+p} z^{k+p} \quad\left(p \geq 1 \quad \text { and } \quad a_{k+p} \geq 0\right) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are $p$-valent in U .

## II. DEFINITIONS AND PreLIMINARIES

Definition 2.1: For any non-zero complex number $\lambda, 0 \leq \alpha<1$ and $\beta \geq 0$, a function $f \in \mathrm{~A}_{p}$ is said to be in the class $A_{n}^{p, q}(\beta, \lambda, \alpha)$ if and only if it satisfies the following condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\lambda D^{n+1} f^{(q)}(z)}{D^{n} f^{(q)}(z)}-(\lambda-1)\right\}>\beta\left|\frac{\lambda D^{n+1} f^{(q)}(z)}{D^{n} f^{(q)}(z)}-\lambda\right|+\alpha \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.1: By specializing the parameters we get the following well-known results:
(1) If we let $q=0$, then the above inequality (2.1), reduces to

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\lambda D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^{n} f(z)}-(\lambda-1)\right\}>\beta\left|\frac{\lambda D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^{n} f(z)}-\lambda\right|+\alpha .
$$

(2) If we choose $q=0, \lambda=2, p=1$ and $n=1$ in (2.1) then the function class $A_{n}^{p, q}(\beta, \lambda, \alpha)$ reduces to the class $\beta-U C V(\alpha)$ of functions $f \in \mathrm{~A}$ satisfying the condition

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>\beta\left|\frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right|+\alpha
$$

The class $\beta-U C V(\alpha)$ was introduced and studied by R. Bharathi et. al. [7].
Also we define the class $\mathrm{T}_{n}^{p, q}(\beta, \lambda, \alpha)$ by

$$
\mathrm{T}_{n}^{p, q}(\beta, \lambda, \alpha)=A_{n}^{p, q}(\beta, \lambda, \alpha) \cap \mathrm{T}_{p}
$$

Motivated by the concept introduced by Halit Orhan [6] and various authors in [8, 9], we introduce the new subclass of analytic $p$ - valent function using Salagean operators. We obtain coefficient estimates, distortion bounds and radii of starlikeness for the above said function classes.

## III. SUFFICIENT CONDITION

In this section, we find the sufficient condition for our function class $\mathrm{T}_{n}^{p, q}(\beta, \lambda, \alpha)$.
Theorem 3.1: A function $f(z)$ defined by (1.9) is in $\mathrm{T}_{n}^{p, q}(\beta, \lambda, \alpha)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[(1-\alpha)+\lambda k(1+\beta)(p-q)^{-1}\right] \psi(k) a_{k+p}=\frac{(1-\alpha) p!}{(p-q)!}, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi(k)=\frac{(k+p-q)^{n}(k+p)!}{(p-q)^{n}(k+p-q)!}$.
Proof: By definition $f(z) \in \mathrm{T}_{n}{ }^{p, q}(\beta, \lambda, \alpha)$ if and only if the condition (2.1) satisfied. Using the fact that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Re}\{\lambda w-(\lambda-1)\}=\beta|\lambda(w-1)|+\alpha \\
& \quad \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Re}\left\{(\lambda w-(\lambda-1))\left(1+\beta e^{i \theta}\right)-\beta e^{i \theta}\right\}>\alpha, \quad-\pi \leq \theta<\pi \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (3.2), equation (2.1) can be written as

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\left(\frac{\lambda D^{n+1} f^{(q)}(z)}{D^{n} f^{(q)}(z)}-(\lambda-1)\right)\left(1+\beta e^{i \theta}\right)-\beta e^{i \theta}\right\}>\alpha,
$$

equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\left(\frac{\lambda D^{n+1} f^{(q)}(z)-(\lambda-1) D^{n} f^{(q)}(z)}{D^{n} f^{(q)}(z)}\right)\left(1+\beta e^{i \theta}\right)-\frac{\beta e^{i \theta} D^{n} f^{(q)}(z)}{D^{n} f^{(q)}(z)}\right\}>\alpha \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $G(z)=\left[\lambda D^{n+1} f^{(q)}(z)-(\lambda-1) D^{n} f^{(q)}(z)\right]\left(1+\beta e^{i \theta}\right)-\beta e^{i \theta} D^{n} f^{(q)}(z)$ and let $H(z)=D^{n} f^{(q)}(z)$.
Then the above equation is equivalent to

$$
|G(z)+(1-\alpha) H(z)|>|G(z)-(1+\alpha) H(z)|, \quad \text { for } \quad 0 \leq \alpha<1
$$

For $G(z)$ and $H(z)$ as above, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|G(z)+(1-\alpha) H(z)| & =\left\lvert\, \frac{(2-\alpha) p!}{(p-q)!} z^{p-q}-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[(2-\alpha)+\lambda k(p-q)^{-1}\right] \psi(k) a_{k+p} z^{k+p-q}\right. \\
& -\lambda \beta e^{i \theta} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k(p-q)^{-1} \psi(k) a_{k+p} z^{k+p-q} \mid \\
& \geq \frac{(2-\alpha) p!}{(p-q)!}|z|^{p-q}-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[(2-\alpha)+\lambda k(1+\beta)(p-q)^{-1}\right] \psi(k) a_{k+p}|z|^{k+p-q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
|G(z)-(1+\alpha) H(z)|<\frac{\alpha p!}{(p-q)!}|z|^{p-q}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[\alpha-\lambda k(1+\beta)(p-q)^{-1}\right] \psi(k) a_{k+p}|z|^{k+p-q}
$$

Now

$$
|G(z)+(1-\alpha) H(z)|-|G(z)-(1+\alpha) H(z)|
$$

$$
\geq \frac{2(1-\alpha) p!}{(p-q)!}|z|^{p-q}-2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[(1-\alpha)+\lambda k(1+\beta)(p-q)^{-1}\right] \psi(k) a_{k+p}|z|^{k+p-q} \geq 0 .
$$

Or equivalently,

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[(1-\alpha)+\lambda k(1+\beta)(p-q)^{-1}\right] \psi(k) a_{k+p} \leq \frac{(1-\alpha) p!}{(p-q)!}
$$

which is the required assertion of the Theorem 3.1.
On the otherhand, we must have

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\left(\frac{\lambda D^{n+1} f^{(q)}(z)}{D^{n} f^{(q)}(z)}-(\lambda-1)\right)\left(1+\beta e^{i \theta}\right)-\beta e^{i \theta}\right\}>\alpha, \quad-\pi \leq \theta<\pi
$$

Upon choosing the values of $z$ on the positive real axis where $0 \leq|z|=r<1$, the above inequality reduces to

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\frac{(1-\alpha) p!}{(p-q)!}-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda k+(1-\alpha)}{(p-q)} \psi(k) a_{k+p} r^{k}-\lambda \beta e^{i \theta} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k(p-q)^{-1} \psi(k) a_{k+p} r^{k}}{\frac{p!}{(p-q)!}-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \psi(k) a_{k+p} r^{k}}\right\} \geq 0 .
$$

Since $\operatorname{Re}\left(-e^{i \theta}\right) \geq-\left|e^{i \theta}\right|=-1$, then the above inequality gives

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\frac{(1-\alpha) p!}{(p-q)!}-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda k+(1-\alpha)}{(p-q)} \psi(k) a_{k+p} r^{k}+\lambda \beta e^{i \theta} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k(p-q)^{-1} \psi(k) a_{k+p} r^{k}}{\frac{p!}{(p-q)!}-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \psi(k) a_{k+p} r^{k}}\right\} \geq 0 .
$$

Letting $r \rightarrow 1^{-}$, we get the desired result.
Finally, the function $f(z)$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{p}-\frac{(1-\alpha) p!}{(p-q)!\left[(1-\alpha)+\lambda k(1+\beta)(p-q)^{-1}\right] \psi(k)} z^{p+1} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an extremal function for the assertion of the Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.2: If $f(z) \in \mathrm{T}_{n}^{p, q}(\beta, \lambda, \alpha)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k+p} \leq \frac{(1-\alpha) p!}{(p-q)!\left[(1-\alpha)+\lambda k(1+\beta)(p-q)^{-1}\right] \psi(k)} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality being attained for the function $f(z)$ given by (3.4).

## IV. Growth and Distortion Theorems

Theorem 4.1: Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.9) be in the class $\mathrm{T}_{n}{ }^{p, q}(\beta, \lambda, \alpha)$. Then for $|z|=r$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& r^{p}-\frac{(1-\alpha) p!}{(p-q)!\left[(1-\alpha)+\lambda(1+\beta)(p-q)^{-1}\right] \psi(1)} r^{p+1} \leq|f(z)| \leq  \tag{4.1}\\
& r^{p}+\frac{(1-\alpha) p!}{(p-q)!\left[(1-\alpha)+\lambda(1+\beta)(p-q)^{-1}\right] \psi(1)} r^{p+1}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof: Given that $f(z)$ in $\mathrm{T}_{n}^{p, q}(\beta, \lambda, \alpha)$, from the equation (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[(1-\alpha)+\lambda(1+\beta)(p-q)^{-1}\right] \psi(1) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+p} } & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[(1-\alpha)+\lambda k(1+\beta)(p-q)^{-1}\right] \psi(k) a_{k+p}  \tag{4.2}\\
& \leq \frac{(1-\alpha) p!}{(p-q)!}
\end{align*}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+p} \leq \frac{(1-\alpha) p!}{(p-q)!\left[(1-\alpha)+\lambda(1+\beta)(p-q)^{-1}\right] \psi(1)} a_{k+p} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (1.9) and (4.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
|f(z)| & \leq|z|^{p}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+p}|z|^{k+p} \\
& \leq r^{p}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+p} r^{k+p}  \tag{4.4}\\
& \leq r^{p}+\frac{(1-\alpha) p!}{(p-q)!\left[(1-\alpha)+\lambda(1+\beta)(p-q)^{-1}\right] \psi(1)} r^{p+1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(z)| \geq r^{p}-\frac{(1-\alpha) p!}{(p-q)!\left[(1-\alpha)+\lambda(1+\beta)(p-q)^{-1}\right] \psi(1)} r^{p+1} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which proves the assertion (4.1).
Theorem 4.2: Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.9) be in the class $\mathrm{T}_{n}{ }^{p, q}(\beta, \lambda, \alpha)$. Then for $|z|=r$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
p r^{p-1}-\frac{(1-\alpha) p!(1+p)}{(p-q)!\left[(1-\alpha)+\lambda(1+\beta)(p-q)^{-1}\right] \psi(1)} & r^{p} \leq\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \\
p r^{p-1}+\frac{(1-\alpha) p!(1+p)}{(p-q)!\left[(1-\alpha)+\lambda(1+\beta)(p-q)^{-1}\right] \psi(1)} & r^{p} . \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof: We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z)=p z^{p-1}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(k+p) a_{k+p} z^{k+p-1} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| & \leq p|z|^{p-1}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(k+p) a_{k+p}|z|^{k+p-1} \\
& \leq p r^{p-1}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(k+p) a_{k+p} r^{k+p-1}  \tag{4.8}\\
& \leq p r^{p-1}+\frac{(1-\alpha) p!(1+p)}{(p-q)!\left[(1-\alpha)+\lambda(1+\beta)(p-q)^{-1}\right] \psi(1)} r^{p} .
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, we get,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq p r^{p-1}-\frac{(1-\alpha) p!(1+p)}{(p-q)!\left[(1-\alpha)+\lambda(1+\beta)(p-q)^{-1}\right] \psi(1)} r^{p} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.2.

If we let $n=0$ in the above results, we get the following corollary:
Corollary 4.3: If $f \in \mathrm{~T}_{0}^{p, q}(\beta, \lambda, \alpha)$, then for $|z|=r$,

$$
r^{p}-\frac{(1-\alpha)(p-q)(p-q+1)}{[(1-\alpha)(p-q)+\lambda(1+\beta)](p+1)} r^{p+1} \leq|f(z)| \leq r^{p}+\frac{(1-\alpha)(p-q)(p-q+1)}{[(1-\alpha)(p-q)+\lambda(1+\beta)](p+1)} r^{p+1}
$$

and

$$
p r^{p-1}-\frac{(1-\alpha)(p-q)(p-q+1)}{[(1-\alpha)(p-q)+\lambda(1+\beta)]} r^{p} \leq\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq p r^{p-1}+\frac{(1-\alpha)(p-q)(p-q+1)}{[(1-\alpha)(p-q)+\lambda(1+\beta)]} r^{p} .
$$

If we let $q=0$ in the above corollary, we get
Corollary 4.4: If $f \in \mathrm{~T}_{0}{ }^{p, 0}(\beta, \lambda, \alpha)$, then for $|z|=r$,

$$
r^{p}-\frac{(1-\alpha) p}{[(1-\alpha) p+\lambda(1+\beta)]} r^{p+1} \leq|f(z)| \leq r^{p}+\frac{(1-\alpha) p}{[(1-\alpha) p+\lambda(1+\beta)]} r^{p+1}
$$

and

$$
p r^{p-1}-\frac{(1-\alpha) p(p+1)}{[(1-\alpha) p+\lambda(1+\beta)]} r^{p} \leq\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq p r^{p-1}+\frac{(1-\alpha) p(p+1)}{[(1-\alpha) p+\lambda(1+\beta)]} r^{p}
$$

## V. Radii Of Starlikeness, Convexity And Close-To-Convexity

In this section, radii of close - to- convexity, starlikeness and convexity for the function class $\mathrm{T}_{n}^{p, q}(\beta, \lambda, \alpha)$ are discussed.
The real number

$$
r_{\rho}^{*}(f)=\sup \left\{r>0 \mid \operatorname{Re}(k(z))>\rho \text { forall } z \in \mathrm{U}_{r}\right\}
$$

is called the radius of starlikeness of order $\rho$ of the function $f$ when $k(z)=\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}$. Note that $r_{\rho}^{*}(f)=r_{0}^{*}(f)$ is in fact the largest radius such that the image region $f\left(\mathrm{U}_{r}^{*}(f)\right)$ is a starlike domain with respect to the origin. Similar definition is used to define radius of convexity and close to convexity by equivalently replacing $k(z)$ with $1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}$ and $\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{\mathrm{g}^{\prime}(z)}$ respectively. For the study of various radius problems, we refer to $[10,11,12,13$, and 14].

Theorem 5.1: Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.9) be in the class $\mathrm{T}_{n}^{p, q}(\beta, \lambda, \alpha)$. Then $f(z)$ is $p$ - valent starlike of order $\sigma(0 \leq \sigma<1)$ in $|z|<R_{1}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{1}=\inf _{k \geq 1}\left\{\frac{\left[(1-\alpha)+\lambda k(1+\beta)(p-q)^{-1}\right](p-q)!\psi(k)}{(1-\alpha) p!} \times\left(\frac{p-\sigma}{k+p-\sigma}\right)\right\}^{\frac{1}{k}} \quad(z \in \mathrm{U}) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\psi(k)=\frac{(k+p-q)^{n}(k+p)!}{(p-q)^{n}(k+p-q)!}$.
Proof: Given $f \in \mathrm{~T}_{n}^{p, q}(\beta, \lambda, \alpha)$ and $f$ is starlike of order $\sigma$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-p\right|<p-\sigma \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the left hand side of (5.2), we have

$$
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-p\right|=\left|\frac{p z^{p}-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(k+p) a_{k+p} z^{k+p}}{z^{p}-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+p} z^{k+p}}-p\right| \leq \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k a_{k+p}|z|^{k}}{1-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+p}|z|^{k}} .
$$

The last expression is less than $(p-\sigma)$ if

$$
\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k a_{k+p}|z|^{k}}{1-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+p}|z|^{k}}<p-\sigma
$$

which implies

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k+p-\sigma}{p-\sigma} a_{k+p}|z|^{k}<1
$$

Using the fact that, $f \in \mathrm{~T}_{n}^{p, q}(\beta, \lambda, \alpha)$ if and only if

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left[(1-\alpha)+\lambda k(1+\beta)(p-q)^{-1}\right](p-q)!\psi(k)}{(1-\alpha) p!} a_{k+p} \leq 1
$$

We can say that (5.2) is true if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{k+p-\sigma}{p-\sigma}|z|^{k} \leq \frac{\left[(1-\alpha)+\lambda k(1+\beta)(p-q)^{-1}\right](p-q)!\psi(k)}{(1-\alpha) p!} \\
& \Rightarrow|z|^{k} \leq \frac{\left[(1-\alpha)+\lambda k(1+\beta)(p-q)^{-1}\right](p-q)!\psi(k)}{(1-\alpha) p!}\left(\frac{p-\sigma}{k+p-\sigma}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The last inequality leads us immediately to the disc $|z|<R_{1}$, where $R_{1}$ is given by (5.1).

Theorem 5.2: Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.9) be in the class $\mathrm{T}_{n}^{p, q}(\beta, \lambda, \alpha)$. Then $f(z)$ is $p$ - valent close - to - convex of order $\sigma(0 \leq \sigma<1)$ in $|z|<R_{2}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{2}=\inf _{k \geq 1}\left\{\frac{\left[(1-\alpha)+\lambda k(1+\beta)(p-q)^{-1}\right](p-q)!\psi(k)}{(1-\alpha) p!} \times\left(\frac{p-\sigma}{k+p}\right)\right\}^{\frac{1}{k}} \quad(z \in \mathrm{U}) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\psi(k)=\frac{(k+p-q)^{n}(k+p)!}{(p-q)^{n}(k+p-q)!}$.
Proof: Given $f \in \mathrm{~T}_{n}^{p, q}(\beta, \lambda, \alpha)$ and $f$ is $p$-valent close - to - convex of order $\sigma$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{z^{p-1}}-p\right|<p-\sigma \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the left hand side of (5.4), we have

$$
\left|\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{z^{p-1}}-p\right|=\left|\frac{p z^{p-1}-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(k+p) a_{k+p} z^{k+p-1}}{z^{p-1}}-p\right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(k+p) a_{k+p}|z|^{k}
$$

The last expression is less than $(p-\sigma)$ if

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(k+p) a_{k+p}|z|^{k}<p-\sigma
$$

which implies

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k+p}{p-\sigma} a_{k+p}|z|^{k}<1 .
$$

Using the fact that, $f \in \mathrm{~T}_{n}^{p, q}(\beta, \lambda, \alpha)$ if and only if

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left[(1-\alpha)+\lambda k(1+\beta)(p-q)^{-1}\right](p-q)!\psi(k)}{(1-\alpha) p!} a_{k+p} \leq 1
$$

We can say that (5.4) is true if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{k+p}{p-\sigma}|z|^{k} \leq \frac{\left[(1-\alpha)+\lambda k(1+\beta)(p-q)^{-1}\right](p-q)!\psi(k)}{(1-\alpha) p!} \\
& \Rightarrow|z|^{k} \leq \frac{\left[(1-\alpha)+\lambda k(1+\beta)(p-q)^{-1}\right](p-q)!\psi(k)}{(1-\alpha) p!}\left(\frac{p-\sigma}{k+p}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The last inequality leads us immediately to the disc $|z|<R_{2}$, where $R_{2}$ is given by (5.3).

Theorem 5.3: Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.9) be in the class $\mathrm{T}_{n}^{p, q}(\beta, \lambda, \alpha)$. Then $f(z)$ is $p$ - valent convex of order $\sigma(0 \leq \sigma<1)$ in $|z|<R_{3}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{3}=\inf _{k \geq 1}\left\{\frac{\left[(1-\alpha)+\lambda k(1+\beta)(p-q)^{-1}\right](p-q)!\psi(k)}{(1-\alpha) p!} \times\left(\frac{p(p-\sigma)}{(k+p)(k+p-\sigma)}\right)\right\}^{\frac{1}{k}} \quad(z \in \mathrm{U}), \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\psi(k)=\frac{(k+p-q)^{n}(k+p)!}{(p-q)^{n}(k+p-q)!}$.
Proof: To prove (5.5), it is sufficient to show that

$$
\left|\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)-1\right| \leq 1-\sigma .
$$

The proof is omitted, since we use a similar proof of Theorem 5.2.
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