# Management of seed mycoflora of fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* Linn.) by fungicides, antibiotics and sulphadrugs *in vitro*.

Chandra Pal Singh<sup>1</sup> and RK Singh<sup>2</sup>.

1Department of Botany, J V College, Baraut (Baghpat) 2Department of Genetics & Plant Breeding, RSM College Dhampur, Bijnor (UP).

**ABSTRACT:** Seed borne fungi of fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum Linn.) act as basic source for pathogenic diseases of this crop, thus affect the cultivation of crop and causing considerable damage of the leafy vegetable and seeds. Five predominant fungi (Alternaria alternata, Aspergillus flavus, A. niger, Curvularia lunata and Fusarium moniliforme) were encountered during study on fenugreek seeds as the major contaminants due to lack of proper post harvest operations which may cause substantial yield losses. To overcome the contaminations of fenugreek seeds during storage and transport, Five fungicides viz. Bavistin, Blitox, Dithane M-45, Thiram and Vitavax, two antibiotics viz. Griseofulvin and Streptocycline and one sulphadrug viz. Sulphamethoxazole were tested in vitro for their inhibitory effect on the radial growth of above five dominant seed mycoflora of fenugreek. It was observed that the radial growth of seed mycoflora was highly affected by Vitavax, Bavistin and Thiram while other tested fungicides, antibiotics and sulphadrugs were moderately inhibited the radial growth of selected seed mycoflora. Based on the findings, seed treatment of Vitavax, Bavistin and Thiram may be recommended for management of seed mycoflora of fenugreek before storage and sowing.

Key words: Fenugreek, seed mycoflora, in vitro inhibition, fungicides, antibiotics and sulphadrugs.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* Linn. belongs to family Fabaceae. The crop is also known as Methi. The seeds of fenugreek are widely used as spices, condiments and medicines. It is one of the most geographically widespread spices having excellent medicinal properties. The seeds of fenugreek are colonized by a number of fungi during post harvest and under storage conditions. It depletes the marketing value of seeds by changing their physical characteristics and reduces their edible quality by altering the biochemical nutrients of stored seeds. Moreover, the seed mycoflora acts as potential source for a number of seed-borne diseases of this crop (Singh and Singh, 2022). Several fungi colonizing on seeds have been reported to cause as internal and external seed borne diseases (Trigo et al., 1996, Giridhar and Reddy,1999; EI-Nagerabi,2002; Mohamed and Ghoneem, 2002; Gowdar et al., 2007 and Kulshrestha et al., 2014). Therefore, the present study was conducted in vitro to find out the efficacy of fungicides, antibiotics and sulphadrugs in inhibition of radial growth of the pathogenic fungi in order to control the seed borne diseases in field.

## II. MATERIAL AND METHODS

Seeds samples of Fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* Linn.) were collected from local market of Bareilly and harvested seeds were sampled at the time of harvesting from experimental fields in the month of March and experimental work was conducted in Phytopathology lab, Botany department, Bareilly College, Bareilly, to find out appropriate management and control procedure of seed mycoflora of fenugreek. Five selected fungicides, viz. Thiram, Dithane-M-45, Bavistin, Blitox and Vitavax, two antibiotics viz. Griseofulvin and Streptocycline and one sulphadrugs i.e. Sulphamethoxazole were assayed *in vitro* for their inhibitory effect on radial growth of selected fungi. Five concentrations (50, 100, 250, 500 and 1000 ppm) were employed. The radial growth of pathogen was measured on potato dextrose agar medium amended with said concentrations of test compounds (Nene, 1971). Only 20 ml of the medium was poured in each Petri-dish. Inoculation was done with 5mm disc cut from 6 day old culture of test pathogen. Proper replicates and control were prepared for each pathogen and each treatment, separately *in vitro*. The Petri-dishes were incubated at  $25\pm2^{0}$ C. Percentage inhibition was calculated after 6 days using the formula of Vincent (1947).

DOI: 10.35629/ 6734-11087477

$$I = \begin{array}{c} C - T \\ - \cdots - X \ 100 \\ C \end{array}$$

Where

I = Percent inhibition

C = Radial growth in control set (mm)

T = Radial growth in treated set (mm)

The efficacy of tested therapeutants was compared by calculating the amount of material required for the 50% inhibition in radial growth of fungi (ED-50).

#### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Five pre-dominant fungi (*Alternaria alternata, Aspergillus flavus, A. niger, Curvularia lunata and Fusarium moniliforme*) of fenugreek isolated from seeds were selected to evaluate the inhibitory effect of fungicides, antibiotics and sulphadrug on these fungi. Radial growths of the tested seed borne fungi were significantly decreases in different concentrations of therapeutants. This study can be helped for minimizing seed borne disease in fields growing fenugreek crops. Among seed borne pathogenic fungi were studied due to lack of proper management of post harvested seeds preservation techniques. A large percentage of annual yields get damaged by fungal action. Fenugreek seeds could be subjected for contamination with fungi mainly during storage and transport. Improving the conditions of fenugreek under processing, storage and transport and continuous mycological. However, it shows the fungal efficiency in developing association with broad spectrum of fenugreek seeds.

The detailed results are shown in Table-1-3. All the test therapeutants exhibited positive inhibitory effect on selected mycoflora on seeds of fenugreek. Aspergillus niger, A. flavus and A.fumigatus have been recorded as most dominant fungi. During the predominant contaminants seeds are harvested of fenugreek. Then storage of fenugreek seeds with mycoflora are probably regarded as commensally residents on the plant that survived may be responsible for spoilage and degradation of spice and vegetable quality by producing enzymes, mycotoxins and other secondary metabolites. The range of inhibition markedly varied with different therapeutants and concentrations. Maximum inhibition (100%) in radial growth of Alternaria alternata and Curvularia lunata was exhibited by Vitavax, (250ppm and 500ppm), followed by Aspergillus niger, and A. flavus caused by Bavistin (250ppm and 500ppm) and Fusarium moniliforme by Thiram (500pmm). Therapeutic agents like fungicides, antibiotics and sulphadrugs are heterogeneous group of organic compounds. They prevent or delay spore germination of pathogen when present on seed surface resulting in failure of infection. They act directly on the pathogen and control the fungal diseases by the inhibition of protein synthesis, nucleic acid metabolism and cell wall formation in pathogens (Dimand and Horsfall, 1959). Biochemically the fungicides directly inhibit DNA, RNA and protein synthesis of pathogens (Singh, 1983). Several researchers have reported the positive efficacy of fungicides in controlling the fungal pathogens of various crops (Sagar and Hegde, 2006; Purshothaman, 2007; and Idries et al., 2008). Out of two tested antibiotics i.e. Griseofulvin and Streptocycline, Griseofulvin was most effective showing maximum antimycostatic properties. It inhibited the radial growth of all the test pathogens. Antibiotics generally exert adverse effect on the metabolism related to development process of fungi. Most of the antibiotics affect DNA synthesis and thereby hamper the replication system of fungal cells. Effective control of pathogenic fungi by antibiotics has been reported by Singh et al., 2005 and Karande et al., 2007. Sulphadrugs are comparatively less effective against the test pathogens. All the five concentrations of Sulphamethoxazole had little effect on the radial growth of test pathogen in vitro in present investigation. Similar findings are reported by Grover and Joshi, (1962) and Kumar, (1989).

# Table 1: Inhibitory effect of fungicides, antibiotics and sulphadrugs on radial growth of seed mycoflora of fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum Linn.).

Tuesday or ta	Inhibition in radial growth (mm) (%)											
	Alternaria alternate						Aspergillus flavus					
Treatments	50	100	250	500	1000	ED 50	50	100	250	500	1000	ED 50
	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	level	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	level

DOI: 10.35629/ 6734-11087477

		-					-	-			-	
Thiram	83.3	93.3	96.6	98.6	100.	>50	43.3	51.6	60.0	96.6	100.	57.73
					0						0	
Dithane M-	51.1	66.2	69.8	73.3	88.8	>50	50.0	66.6	71.4	79.0	89.8	>50.00
45												
Bavistin	62.5	78.5	85.0	100.0	100.	>50	62.9	78.4	87.7	97.7	100.	>50.00
					0						0	
Blitox	32.2	41.3	44.0	69.0	78.0	284.08	56.6	66.6	76.0	85.5	95.5	>50.00
Vitavax	87.0	96.6	100.	100.0	100.	>50	47.9	56.8	65.3	75.0	93.2	52.19
			0		0							
Griseofulvin	41.3	58.3	85.0	88.0	95.0	60.53	42.3	54.5	69.0	88.8	100.	59.10
			0								0	
Sulphametho	41.6	50.0	69.0	83.3	90.0	60.09	55.8	63.6	77.3	81.1	86.1	>50.00
xazole												
Streptocycli	36.5	48.8	54.5	63.6	69.0	102.45	10.0	15.5	22.2	33.3	53.8	750.7
ne												
	Treatment Concen		ntration	Treatn	reatment × Tre		Treatment		Concentratio		Treatment ×	
					Concentration				n		Concentration	
S.Em.±	0.55		0.44		1.24		0.56		0.44		1.26	
CD at 5%	1.56		1.24		3.50		1.59		1.25		3.56	
F-value	*		* *		* *			* *				

Management of seed mycoflora of fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum Linn.) by.....

 Table 2: Inhibitory effect of fungicides, antibiotics and sulpha drugs on radial growth of seed mycoflora of fenugreek (Trigonella foenum graecum Linn.).

		Inhibition in radial growth (mm) (%)											
Treatments	Aspe	rgillus i	niger			Curvularia lunata							
	50	100	250	500 ppm	1000	ED 50	50 nnm	100	250	500	1000	ED 50	
	ppm	ppm	ppm		ppm	level	so ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	level	
Thiram	62.2	76.9	92.3	100.0	100.0	>50.00	41.1	55.8	83.3	91.6	100.0	60.82	
Dithane M-45	54.0	68.9	77.3	92.0	100.0	>50.00	25.0	32.1	55.8	69.1	85.2	115.76	
Bavistin	85.7	93.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	>50.00	63.6	76.7	83.3	95.5	100.0	>50	
Blitox	40.0	60.5	76.7	88.3	100.0	62.50	37.5	43.1	63.6	72.7	90.9	116.0	
Vitavax	52.3	68.2	88.3	100.0	100.0	>50.00	72.7	80.3	92.2	100.0	100.0	>50.00	
Griseofulvin	12.6	26.3	32.1	35.7	41.0	<1000	38.4	52.2	69.2	85.5	97.7	65.10	
Sulphametho	10.5	16.2	22.8	28.1	35.7	<1000	16.5	21.3	28.5	35.0	44.5	<1000	
xazole													
Streptocyclin	33.3	64.0	80.0	91.6	100.0	75.07	52.8	71.4	89.5	100.0	100.0	>50.00	
e													
	Treatr	Treatment		Concentration		Treatment $\times$		Treatment		Concentration		Treatment $\times$	
						Concentration						Concentration	
S.Em.±	0.53		0.42		1.19		0.53	0.53		0.42		1.20	
CD at 5%	1.50		1.18		3.36 1.51		1.51	1.51		1.19		3.39	
F-value	*		*		*		*		*		*		

 Table 3: Inhibitory effect of fungicides, antibiotics and sulpha drugs on radial growth of seed mycoflora of fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum graecum* Linn.).

	Inhibition in radial growth (mm) (%)								
Treatments	Fusarium moniliforme								
	50 ppm	100 ppm	250 ppm	500 ppm	1000 ppm	ED 50 level			

DOI: 10.35629/ 6734-11087477

Thiram	60.0	71.0	88.0	100.0	100.0	>50.00	
Dithane M-45	31.8	55.4	63.6	72.66	79.5	78.61	
Bavistin	58.0	73.3	84.1	91.8	100.0	>50.00	
Blitox	48.3	60.0	86.0	91.5	100.0	51.75	
Vitavax	56.0	65.5	82.4	100.0	100.0	>50.00	
Griseofulvin	40.6	78.1	82.0	90.0	100.0	60.32	
Sulphamethoxazole	14.4	16.3	28.1	33.3	48.8	<1000	
Streptocycline	45.5	67.7	74.1	83.8	100.0	54.94	
	Treatment		Concentratio	on	Treatment × Concentration		
S.Em.±	0.59		0.46		1.32		
CD at 5%	1.66		1.31		3.72		
F-value	*		*		*		

#### REFERENCES

- (1) Diamond, A.E. and Horsfall, J.G. (1959). Plant chemotherapy. A. Rev. Pl. Physiol. 10: 257.
- (2) EI-Nagerabi, S.A.F. (2002). Determination of seed-borne fungi and detection of aflatoxins in Sudanese fenugreek seeds. *Phytoparasitica* **30**(1): 61-66.
- (3) Giridhar, P. and Reddy, S.M. (1999). Effect of some food preservatives on seed mycoflora of fenugreek. Seed Research 25(1): 92-93.
- (4) Gowdar, S.B., Babu, H.N.R., Reddy, N.A., Rajeshwari, N. and Krishnappa, M. (2007). Seed-borne mycoflora associated with sunflower seeds. *Res. on Crops.* 8(2): 469-473.
- (5) Grover, R.K. and Joshi, L.M. (1962). Effect of some sulphadrugs on wheat rust. Indian Phytopath. 15: 264-268.
- (6) Idries, M., Ali, S., Ali, Q., Ahub, M. and Khan, A.U. (2008). Seed borne diseases of wheat and their control. Pakistan J. Phytopath. 20(2): 266-269.
- (7) Karande, M.G., Raut, S.P. and Gowande, A.D. (2007). Efficacy of fungicides bio-organic and plant extracts against *Coletotrichum gloesporiodes* and *Fusarium oxysporum. Ann. Pl. Protec. Sci.* 15(1): 167-168.
- (8) Kulshrestha, P., Singh, C., Gupta, A., Mahajan, S., and Sharm, R.(2014) Mycoflora Associated with Spices . Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci 3(5): 741-746.
- (9) Kumar, P. (1989). Studies on fungal diseases of *Sesamum indicum* Linn. with species reference to their epidemiology and control. Ph.D. Thesis. M.J.P. Rohilkhand University Bareilly.
- (10) Mohamed, E. and Ghoneem, K.M. (2002). An improved method of seed health testing for detecting the lurked seed borne fungi of fenugreek. *Pakistan J. Pl. Path.* 1(1): 11-13.
- (11) Nene, Y.L. (1971). Evaluation of fungicides in plant disease control. Oxford IBH, New Delhi. PP. 280-296.
- (12) Purushothaman, S.M. (2007). Effect of different fungicides on seed mycoflora of cowpea. J. Arid Legumes. 4(2): 100-101.
- (13) Sagar, S.D. and Hegde, Y.R. (2006). Management of seed mycoflora of rice by different seed dressing fungicides. Int. J. Pl. Sci. Muzaffarnagar. 1(2): 197-199.
- (14) Singh, N., Jain, S.C. and Kumari, L. (2005). *Fusarium* sp. associated with fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* Linn.) seeds, their pathogenicity and control. *Udyanika J. Horticulture Sci.* 11(2): 100-102.
- (15) Singh, C.P. and Singh, R.K. (2022) Effect of dominant seed mycoflora on seed germination and seedling rot diseases of fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* Linn.) in Rohilkhand. *International journal of Engineering and science Invention*. **11(3):** 77-79.
- (16) Trigo, M.F.O.O., Trigo, L.F.N. and Pierobom, C.R. (1996). Associated fungi in coriander seeds. *Rio Grande do Sul Revista Brasileira de Sementes*. 19(2): 214-218.
- (17) Vincent, J. M. (1947). Distortion of fungal hyphae in the presence of certain inhibitors. Nature. 159: 850.

DOI: 10.35629/ 6734-11087477

www.ijesi.org

77/page