

On A Curvature Inheritance in A R-□ Recurrent Finsler Space

S.K.TIWARI¹ AND SWATI SRIVASTAVA²

Department of Mathematics
 K.S.Saket P.G.College Ayodhya, India

Abstract: Singh S.P. [1] discussed curvature inheritance in a Finsler space and established necessary condition for existence of some transformation in a Finsler Space. Further, Mishra C.K. , Yadav D.D.S. [2] discussed the fundamental properties of Projective Curvature Inheritance in an NP- F_n space. The objective of this paper is to discuss the existence of curvature inheritance in a R-□ recurrent Finsler Space. Certain useful results have been obtained in this paper.

Keywords: Affine Motion, Curvature Inheritance, Finsler Space, skew symmetric Finsler space , symmetric Finsler space.

Classification: 53B40, 53C60

Date of Submission: 18-03-2023

Date of Acceptance: 03-04-2023

I. Preliminaries

We consider an n- dimensional Finsler space[3] having $2n$ line elements (x^i, \dot{x}^i) ($i= 1,2,3,\dots,n$) equipped with non – symmetric connection Γ_{jk}^i . The non – symmetric connection Γ_{jk}^i is based on non – symmetric Fundamental metric tensor

$$g_{ij}(x^i, \dot{x}^i) \neq g_{ji}(x^i, \dot{x}^i)$$

We assume that Γ_{jk}^i are homogenous of degree zero in its directional arguments \dot{x}^i . Γ_{jk}^i can be written as below[4] :-

$$(1.1) \quad \Gamma_{jk}^i(x^i, \dot{x}^i) = M_{jk}^i(x^i, \dot{x}^i) + \frac{1}{2} N_{jk}^i(x^i, \dot{x}^i)$$

where M_{jk}^i and $\frac{1}{2} N_{jk}^i$ denotes symmetric and skew symmetric parts of Γ_{jk}^i respectively.

The covariant derivative of a tensor field $T_j^i(x^i, \dot{x}^i)$ with respect to x^k are defined in two ways:-

$$(1.2) \quad T_{j|k}^i = \partial_k T_j^i - (\partial_m T_j^i) \Gamma_{pk}^m \dot{x}^p + T_j^m \Gamma_{mk}^i - T_m^i \Gamma_{jk}^m$$

and

$$(1.3) \quad T_{j\bar{k}}^i = \partial_k T_j^i - (\partial_m T_j^i) \bar{\Gamma}_{pk}^m \dot{x}^p + T_j^m \bar{\Gamma}_{mk}^i - T_m^i \bar{\Gamma}_{jk}^m,$$

where another connection $\bar{\Gamma}_{jk}^i(x^i, \dot{x}^i)$ [6] defined as below:-

$$(1.4) \quad \bar{\Gamma}_{jk}^i(x^i, \dot{x}^i) = M_{jk}^i(x^i, \dot{x}^i) - \frac{1}{2} N_{jk}^i(x^i, \dot{x}^i),$$

From (1.1) and (1.4),it is clearly seen that

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{jk}^i = \Gamma_{kj}^i$$

The commutation formula involving R-□ covariant derivative (1.2) is given by

$$(1.5) \quad T_{j\bar{k}}^i - T_{j\bar{k}}^i = -(\partial_m T_j^i) R_{shk}^m \dot{x}^s + T_j^m R_{jhk}^m + (T_j^i)_{|m} N_{kh}^m,$$

where curvature tensor R_{ijk}^h given as

$$(1.6) \quad R_{ijk}^h = \partial_k \Gamma_{ij}^h - \partial_j \Gamma_{ik}^h + (\partial_m \Gamma_{ik}^h) \Gamma_{sj}^m \dot{x}^s - (\partial_m \Gamma_{ij}^h) \Gamma_{sk}^m \dot{x}^s + \Gamma_{ij}^p \Gamma_{pk}^h - \Gamma_{ik}^p \Gamma_{pj}^h$$

Let us consider an infinitesimal point transformation

$$(1.7) \quad \bar{x}^i = x^i + v^i(x) dt,$$

where $v^i(x)$ is any vector field and dt is an infinitesimal point constant.

In view of transformation (1.7), The Lie-Derivative of tensor field $T_j^i(x^i, \dot{x}^i)$ and connection coefficient Γ_{jk}^i are given by [4]

$$(1.8) \quad D_L T_j^i = T_j^i \uparrow_h v^h - T_j^h v \uparrow_h + T_h^i v \uparrow_j + \partial_h T_j^i v \uparrow_s \dot{x}^s,$$

$$(1.9) \quad D_L \Gamma_{jk}^i = v \uparrow_j \uparrow_k + R_{jkh}^i v^h + \partial_r \Gamma_{jk}^i v \uparrow_s \dot{x}^s.$$

The curvature tensor R_{hjk}^i satisfies the following two identities, called Bianchi's identities[5]

$$(1.10) \quad R_{ljk}^i \uparrow_h + R_{hlk}^i \uparrow_j + R_{hjl}^i \uparrow_k = -(R_{jk}^m \partial_m \Gamma_{hl}^i + R_{lk}^m \partial_m \Gamma_{hj}^i + R_{jl}^m \partial_m \Gamma_{hk}^i),$$

$$(1.11) \quad R_{hjk}^i + R_{jkh}^i + R_{khj}^i = N_{hj}^i \uparrow_k + N_{jk}^i \uparrow_h + N_{kh}^i \uparrow_j,$$

We have the following commutation formulae for Lie- Derivative of Mixed tensor T_{jk}^i and connection coefficient Γ_{jk}^i as

$$(1.12) \quad (D_L T_{jk}^i) \uparrow_l - D_L (T_{jk}^i \uparrow_l) = T_{sk}^i (D_L \Gamma_{jl}^s) + T_{js}^i (D_L \Gamma_{kl}^s) - T_{jk}^s (D_L T_{sl}^i) + \partial_B T_{jk}^i (D_L \Gamma_{rl}^s) \dot{x}^r, \\ (D_L \Gamma_{jh}^i) \uparrow_k - (D_L \Gamma_{kh}^i) \uparrow_j = D_L R_{hjk}^i + \partial_r \Gamma_{hj}^i (D_L \Gamma_{ks}^r) \dot{x}^s - \partial_r \Gamma_{hk}^i (D_L \Gamma_{js}^r) \dot{x}^s.$$

Definition(1.1) – An n-dimensional Finsler space F_n is said to be R- \square recurrent Finsler space if its curvature tensor R_{hjk}^i satisfies the relation

$$R_{hjk}^i \uparrow_s = \beta_s R_{hjk}^i,$$

where $\beta_s(x)$ denotes a non zero covariant recurrent vector field. we shall denote it F_n^* throughout the paper.[4]

Definition(1.2)- R^* – curvature inheritance is defined as an infinitesimal transformation with respect to which the Lie- Derivative of curvature tensor satisfies the following relation:

$$\mathcal{L}_v R_{jkh}^i = \alpha(x) R_{jkh}^i, [1]$$

where $\alpha(x)$ is non zero scalar function.

II. Curvature inheritance in a R- \square recurrent finsler space

Definition(2.1):- Curvature inheritance in a R- \square recurrent finsler space is defined as infinitesimal transformation given by (1.7) with respect to which Lie- derivative of curvature tensor R_{hjk}^i satisfies the relation

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{L}_v R_{hjk}^i = \alpha(x) R_{hjk}^i,$$

where $\alpha(x)$ is a non-zero scalar function.

In view of result (1.8), The Lie-derivative of R_{hjk}^i is given by

$$(2.2) \quad D_L R_{hjk}^i = R_{hjk}^i \uparrow_l v^l - R_{hjk}^l v \uparrow_l + R_{ljk}^i v \uparrow_h + R_{hlk}^i v \uparrow_j + R_{hjl}^i v \uparrow_k + \partial_l R_{hjk}^i v \uparrow_s \dot{x}^s,$$

If a R- \square recurrent Finsler space admits a curvature inheritance in (2.1), then (2.2) becomes

$$(2.3) \quad \alpha(x) R_{hjk}^i = \beta_l R_{hjk}^i - R_{hjk}^l v \uparrow_l + R_{ljk}^i v \uparrow_h + R_{hlk}^i v \uparrow_j + R_{hjl}^i v \uparrow_k + \partial_l R_{hjk}^i v \uparrow_s \dot{x}^s,$$

where

$$v \uparrow_j = \lambda \delta_j^i$$

Consider the infinitesimal point transformation given by(1.7) takes the con-circular transformation as

$$(2.4) \quad \bar{x}^i = x^i + v^i(x) dt, \quad v \uparrow_j = \lambda \delta_j^i,$$

where, λ is non zero constant.(2.4) in(2.3),

$$\alpha(x) R_{hjk}^i = \beta_s R_{hjk}^i - R_{hjk}^l (\lambda \delta_l^i) + R_{ljk}^i (\lambda \delta_h^l) + R_{hlk}^i (\lambda \delta_j^l) + R_{hjl}^i (\lambda \delta_k^l) + \partial_l R_{hjk}^i (\lambda \delta_s^l) \dot{x}^s \\ (2.5) \quad (\alpha(x) - \beta_s) R_{hjk}^i = -\lambda R_{hjk}^i + \lambda R_{hjk}^i + \lambda R_{hjk}^i + \lambda R_{hjk}^i + \lambda \partial_s R_{hjk}^i \dot{x}^s,$$

Or

$$(\alpha(x) - \beta_s) R_{hjk}^i = 2\lambda R_{hjk}^i + \lambda \partial_s R_{hjk}^i \dot{x}^s,$$

Due to Homogeneity property of curvature tensor R_{hjk}^i , we have,

$$(2.6) \quad \partial_s R_{hjk}^i \dot{x}^s = 0.$$

Due to (2.6), (2.5) reduces to,

$$(2.7) \quad (\alpha(x) - \beta_s - 2\lambda) R_{hjk}^i = 0$$

For non-flat space,

$$R_{hjk}^i \neq 0.$$

So we must have,
 $(\alpha(x) - \beta_s - 2\lambda) = 0$
 $\alpha(x) = \beta_s + 2\lambda$

Thus, We have following theorem,

Theorem(2.1) – If the R-□ recurrent Finsler space admits the curvature inheritance with con-circular form then scalar function $\alpha(x)$ is given by (2.7).

Theorem(2.2) – If the R-□ recurrent finsler space admits the curvature inheritance with con-circular form with scalar function $\alpha(x) = 0$ then, covariant recurrent vector becomes,

$$\beta_s = -2\lambda.$$

III. Recurrent form in a R-□ recurrent finsler space

Definition (3.1)- If the covariant derivative of the vector field $v^i(x)$ satisfies the relation:

$$(3.1) \quad v^i_{|j} = \phi_j v^i,$$

where $\phi_j(x)$ denotes an arbitrary covariant vector. The vector field satisfying (3.1) is called a recurrent field.

Consider the infinitesimal point transformation given by(1.7) takes the following form

$$(3.2) \quad \bar{x}^i = x^i + v^i(x)dt, \quad v^i_{|j} = \phi_j v^i,$$

Such a transformation is called recurrent transformation. In view of recurrent transformation(3.2) and (1.14), (2.2) reduces to:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_v R^i_{hjk} &= \beta_l v^l R^i_{hjk} + R^i_{ljk} (\phi_h v^l) + R^i_{hlk} (\phi_j v^l) + R^i_{hjl} (\phi_k v^l) - R^l_{hjk} (\phi_l v^i) + \partial_l R^i_{hjk} (\phi_s v^l) \dot{x}^s \\ &= \beta_l v^l R^i_{hjk} + [R^i_{ljk} \phi_h + R^i_{hlk} \phi_j + R^i_{hjl} \phi_k] v^l - R^l_{hjk} \phi_l v^i + \partial_l R^i_{hjk} (\phi_s v^l) \dot{x}^s \\ &= \beta_l v^l R^i_{hjk} + (R^i_{ljk} \uparrow_h + R^i_{hlk} \uparrow_j + R^i_{hjl} \uparrow_k + \partial_l R^i_{hjk} \uparrow_s \dot{x}^s) v^l - R^l_{hjk} \phi_l v^i \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.3) \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_v R^i_{hjk} \neq 0.$$

Thus, We have

Theorem(3.1)- In R-□ Recurrent Finsler space, recurrent form (3.2) admits curvature inheritance.

If R-□ recurrent Finsler space admits curvature inheritance subject to recurrent form given by (3.2), then (3.3) reduces to

$$(3.4) \quad (\alpha - \beta_l v^l) R^i_{hjk} = (R^i_{ljk} \uparrow_h + R^i_{hlk} \uparrow_j + R^i_{hjl} \uparrow_k + \partial_l R^i_{hjk} \uparrow_s \dot{x}^s) v^l - R^l_{hjk} \phi_l v^i$$

Thus, We have

Theorem(3.2)- If R-□ recurrent finsler space admits curvature inheritance subject to recurrent transformation given by (3.2) , then results (3.4) holds good.

References

- [1]. Singh,S.P. :-On the curvature inheritance Finsler space II, Tensor,N.S.65 (2004) , 179-185 .
- [2]. Mishra,C.K.and Yadav,D.D.S.:-Projective curvature inheritance in an NP-F_n Diff. Geom.Dyn.Syst.9(2007), 111-121.
- [3]. Rund,H.:- The differential geometry of Finsler space, springer verlag, Berlin(1959).
- [4]. Pandey,H.D. and Tiwari,S.K. :- Generalised affine motion in R-□ recurrent Finsler space. Ganita, Vol.44, No.1,1993.
- [5]. Dubey,V.J. and Singh,D.D. :-Decomposition in a R-□recurrent Finsler space of second order with non-symmetric connection. Istanbul Univ, Fen.Fak.Mec.Seri A,47 (1983-1986), 91-96.
- [6]. Kaul, S.K.and Mishra, R.S.:- Generalised veblen's identities. Tensor,8, 159-164.

S.K.TIWARI, et. al. "On A Curvature Inheritance in A R-□ Recurrent Finsler Space." *International Journal of Engineering Science Invention (IJESI)*, Vol. 12(4), 2023, PP 11-13. Journal DOI- 10.35629/6734