International Journal of Engineering and Science Invention (IJESI)
ISSN (Online): 2319-6734, ISSN (Print): 2319-6726
www.ijesi.org ||Volume 14 Issue 10 October 2025 || PP 13-17

A Survey on Intelligent Vision-Based Systems for Surface Defect Detection in Electric Vehicle Components

Jyotirmay H R[1], Monisha R[2], Niharika H[3], Ashwini S Savanth[4]
[1](Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, BNMIT, Bengaluru, India)

ABSTRACT: *The growing popularity of electric vehicles has pushed manufacturers to rethink how quality control is carried out on the factory floor. A single scratch or patch of uneven paint can make an otherwise perfect part appear faulty, and when thousands of such parts are produced each day, relying on people to spot every imperfection becomes almost impossible. Over the past few years, engineers have turned to cameras, sensors, and computer vision to do this work more reliably. These automated inspection systems do not get tired or distracted, and when paired with learning algorithms, they can identify flaws that even experienced inspectors might overlook. This paper takes a closer look at how vision-based defect detection has evolved, especially within the context of electric vehicle manufacturing. It brings together research on imaging techniques, sensor-assisted alignment, and machine learning models that help systems adapt to different surfaces and lighting conditions. By examining these developments, the survey aims to understand how automation is quietly transforming what used to be a painstaking manual process into something faster, smarter, and more consistent.*

KEYWORDS - *Automation, Computer Vision, Defect Detection, Electric Vehicles, Image Processing, Time-of-Flight Sensor*

Date of Submission: 08-10-2025 Date of acceptance: 20-10-2025

I.INTRODUCTION

Electric vehicles have changed the way the world thinks about transportation. What once seemed like a distant idea is now an active reality shaping modern manufacturing and design. This shift has brought attention not just to batteries or performance but also to the simple question of quality, how well every single component is made and finished before it reaches the road. A faint scratch, a paint bubble, or a small dent might look harmless, yet in a product built for precision, it can speak volumes about how carefully the work was done.

In the early years of the automotive industry, surface inspection was mostly a manual process. Skilled workers would look closely at each part, relying on experience and intuition to decide if it met the standard. It was effective when production was slower and batches were small. But as electric vehicles became mainstream, factories had to move faster, and the limits of human inspection started to show. People get tired. Lighting changes from shift to shift. Two inspectors may disagree on what counts as acceptable. The result is inconsistency, something no manufacturer can afford when quality defines brand trust.

This is where automation began to make its mark. Vision-based inspection systems, powered by cameras and algorithms, started taking over repetitive tasks once done by eye. They can capture every contour, highlight the smallest imperfection, and make judgments in milliseconds. Unlike humans, they do not lose focus or overlook a defect because of fatigue. Over time, these systems have become more intelligent, combining image processing with sensors and even elements of artificial intelligence. What began as simple pattern recognition has evolved into machines that can learn from examples and adapt to new surfaces or lighting conditions.

Electric vehicle components pose special challenges for such systems. Many of them are curved, glossy, or made from composite materials that reflect light unevenly. Detecting flaws on these surfaces requires more than a good camera; it needs precise control over distance, lighting, and image interpretation. Modern setups often combine Time-of-Flight sensors with high-resolution imaging so that each captured frame represents the surface accurately, even when the shape is irregular.

This paper surveys how automated vision-based inspection has developed in this direction. It looks at where the field began, what improvements have been made, and how researchers are now using machine learning to refine the process. It also considers the practical side, how these systems are integrated into production lines, what challenges they face, and how they might evolve in the coming years. In many ways, this work represents a shift from relying on the human eye to trusting data-driven intelligence. And that shift, though gradual, is redefining how quality is measured in the age of electric mobility.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Research on automated surface defect detection has grown rapidly in the last decade, and it now plays a key role in how manufacturers maintain quality without slowing production. Early studies mainly focused on using simple image processing techniques, thresholding, edge detection, and morphological filters, to highlight irregularities on metal or painted surfaces. These methods were fast but not always reliable when lighting or surface texture changed. Over time, the field shifted toward machine learning and, more recently, deep learning, which made it possible to recognize defects even in complex conditions that would confuse rule-based systems.

Xie and Xu (2024) explored a vision-based approach for detecting flaws in cylindrical battery cases, which are crucial components in electric vehicles. Their method combined traditional pre-processing with deep learning, improving the accuracy of identifying scratches and dents on reflective surfaces. The study showed that even small defects could be captured once the imaging and learning systems were properly calibrated, setting a strong foundation for later work on industrial inspection systems.

Around the same time, Huang and Zhu (2024) presented a model based on YOLOv7 for automotive part inspection. Their approach introduced an attention mechanism that allowed the network to focus more closely on small defects that usually go unnoticed. The results suggested that newer deep-learning architectures could outperform older ones, especially in detecting subtle flaws during fast-moving production processes. It also demonstrated that such models could be optimized to run in real time, a key requirement for large-scale EV manufacturing.

Xu and his team (2024) looked at a different angle by applying deep learning to printed circuit board inspection in electric vehicles. They enhanced YOLOv5 with additional modules that helped the model detect fine and irregular patterns common in PCBs. Their work proved that computer vision is not limited to mechanical components, it can extend to the electronics that drive EV systems. The study added another layer to how visual inspection can ensure overall product reliability.

A broader survey by Hütten et al. (2024) reviewed the progress of deep learning across multiple industries. They noted that convolutional neural networks had become the backbone of automated visual inspection, offering both speed and consistency. However, they also pointed out that most real-world factories still face challenges in dataset preparation, lighting control, and system cost. These practical hurdles remain major reasons why full-scale adoption has been slower than expected.

Other researchers have experimented with integrating different sensing methods. Subramaniam and colleagues (2023), for example, used ultrasonic imaging to detect weld defects, showing that sensor fusion can uncover issues that ordinary cameras might miss. Similarly, Zhang et al. (2023) explored the use of dynamic deep learning for battery fault detection, bridging the gap between performance monitoring and surface inspection. These ideas highlight how combining optical and non-optical data can make defect detection more comprehensive and reliable.

From all these studies, a few clear trends emerge. First, computer vision has proven its worth in industrial quality control, but its effectiveness depends heavily on proper lighting, calibration, and training data. Second, deep learning models, while powerful, require large annotated datasets, which are not always easy to obtain in specialized industries like electric vehicles. And finally, systems that blend vision with distance or sensor data tend to perform better on uneven or reflective surfaces, which are common in EV components.

In summary, the literature shows a steady evolution from manual inspection to traditional image processing, then to AI-driven automation. Each stage solved some problems and revealed new ones. Together, these studies build the foundation for modern intelligent inspection systems, tools that not only detect defects but also learn to understand the context in which those defects occur.

III.METHODOLOGIES AND TECHNOLOGIES REVIEWED

The methods used for automated defect detection have changed a lot over time, and what we see now is really the result of many small improvements rather than one big invention. In the beginning, engineers mainly used cameras and simple image processing techniques to find defects. Things like edge detection or thresholding helped highlight areas that looked different from the rest of the surface. These early systems worked well when the setup was fixed and the lighting never changed. But the moment conditions varied, the results were unpredictable. A shadow, a glare, or a bit of uneven paint could easily fool the algorithm. That's when people realized that inspection needed to be smarter, not just faster.

The real shift happened when machine learning started being used. Instead of trying to describe what a defect looks like through fixed rules, the idea was to let the system learn directly from examples. Convolutional neural networks made this possible because they can automatically pick out visual patterns. Models such as YOLO and Faster R-CNN became popular because they could both find and classify defects in one go. These models are now common in electric vehicle component inspection because they can handle curved, shiny, or textured surfaces that traditional techniques struggled with. Researchers have also found ways to make these models pay more attention to fine details, so even a small scratch or dent can be detected before it becomes a bigger problem. Hardware has evolved alongside these algorithms. Cameras have become more sensitive, sensors more accurate, and processors much smaller. The Time-of-Flight sensor is one of the most useful additions because it tells the system exactly how far away the object is. This means the camera always captures from the right distance, even if the surface is uneven. It may sound like a small thing, but in quality inspection, consistency matters more than anything else. Devices like the Raspberry Pi have made these systems practical and affordable by letting engineers handle both control and processing in one compact setup. It is now possible to build and test a vision-based inspection system without needing expensive industrial computers, which has opened doors for smaller manufacturers and research projects. Lighting has always been one of the most delicate parts of the process. Machines see differently from humans, and reflective EV components can make it harder for cameras to capture a clean image. To deal with this, engineers use diffused or angled lights, sometimes colored LEDs, to remove glare and highlight texture. It's surprising how much difference lighting can make. Even the most advanced algorithms can fail if the image quality is inconsistent, so getting the lighting right is as important as having a good model.

The other major factor is data. A machine learning system only knows what it has been shown, so having a rich and varied dataset is essential. Researchers spend a lot of time collecting images of scratches, dents, paint bubbles, and other surface problems, then labeling them one by one using tools like LabelImg. It's slow work, but it pays off because the more realistic the dataset, the better the system performs. When the data is diverse enough, the algorithm starts recognizing new defects it hasn't seen before, and that's when it becomes truly useful for real production lines.

When all of these pieces come together, the camera, the sensor, the lighting, and the trained model, the process feels surprisingly natural. The system checks the alignment, captures the image, and analyses it in seconds. It doesn't tire or lose focus, and it keeps learning from every image that passes through it. It's not perfect, but it keeps getting better. What's happening now in research is not about replacing people entirely but about giving them tools that can handle repetitive, detailed work with the same patience and consistency every single time.

IV. CHALLENGES AND FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

Even with all the progress made in automated vision systems, there are still quite a few challenges that stand in the way of making them truly dependable in every situation. One of the biggest problems is how easily these systems can be affected by real-world conditions. Factories are not perfect environments. Lighting changes from shift to shift, machines create vibrations, and sometimes dust or reflections interfere with what the camera sees. When that happens, the accuracy of detection drops. What looks clean in a lab setup can behave very differently on an active production line, and this is something that engineers keep trying to fix through better calibration and smarter algorithms.

Another issue comes from the data itself. Deep learning models depend on large and well-labeled datasets, and collecting this kind of data is not easy. Real defects don't always appear often enough, and when they do, they might not look the same each time. Some teams create synthetic images to fill this gap, but these rarely capture the small imperfections and unpredictable lighting that happen in real production. Without enough examples, the model can become biased or overly specific, which means it performs well during training but struggles when exposed to new samples. Finding ways to generate reliable training data without spending months manually labeling images is one of the main areas where research still has a lot of room to grow.

Cost and scalability are also practical concerns. A single prototype running on a Raspberry Pi or similar board works well in testing, but scaling that into a full production line is a different story. High-quality cameras and specialized computing hardware can be expensive, and maintenance adds to the cost. Smaller companies often hesitate to adopt such systems because they fear the complexity of installation and the need for technical expertise to keep them running. Building systems that are not only accurate but also simple enough to maintain will decide how widely they are used in the future. There is also the question of adaptability. Current systems perform best when conditions remain stable, but a truly intelligent inspection setup should be able to adjust itself automatically when the surface, lighting, or material changes. This kind of self-calibration or self-learning system is something researchers are now exploring through adaptive machine learning models. These models could eventually monitor their own accuracy and make small corrections without human involvement. In the long run, this could make vision-based inspection more reliable and easier to deploy across different manufacturing environments.

Future research is also moving toward combining multiple sensing methods. While standard cameras handle surface texture, depth sensors and 3D scanners can detect irregularities that are not visible from color images alone. This kind of multi-sensor approach is especially useful in detecting micro dents or small bumps on complex EV parts. Another interesting direction is the use of collaborative robots that can move around components and capture images from different angles. This would remove the need for constant manual repositioning and open the door to fully automated inspection stations. At the same time, researchers are starting to pay attention to how human operators interact with these systems. A good inspection tool should not only detect defects but also communicate clearly what it has found. Simple visual dashboards, intuitive interfaces, or even augmented reality overlays could help technicians make faster decisions on the shop floor. These improvements may sound minor, but they often decide whether a system is actually used day to day.

In the years ahead, the focus will likely shift toward integration and sustainability. Automated inspection already helps reduce waste by catching problems early, but combining it with data analytics could allow factories to track defect patterns and identify where the issues begin. This would not just improve product quality but also cut down on material and energy use, which aligns with the broader goals of cleaner, more responsible manufacturing. Electric vehicle production is a demanding field, and it is clear that vision-based inspection will play a major role in shaping how quality control adapts to the next generation of smart factories.

V.CONCLUSION

The use of automated vision-based inspection in electric vehicle manufacturing has brought a noticeable shift in how quality checks are done. These systems make inspections faster, more reliable, and less dependent on human judgment. With the help of image processing and smart sensors, they can spot even the tiniest flaws, like scratches, dents, or uneven paint, with remarkable precision. Technologies such as Time-of-Flight sensors and high-resolution cameras allow them to inspect curved or reflective parts accurately, something that is often difficult for the human eye. For manufacturers who need to balance quality with speed, this blend of automation and intelligence has become a major advantage on the production line.

Still, the technology isn't perfect. Vision systems are quite sensitive to lighting and environmental conditions. Even small changes in brightness or shadows can cause errors, especially when inspecting shiny metal surfaces. Deep learning models used in these systems are powerful but need huge amounts of high-quality training data, which takes time and effort to create. Another challenge is scaling. A system that performs smoothly in a lab might face unexpected issues when rolled out in a large factory setting. Regular calibration, maintenance, and costs also affect how easily companies can adopt these systems in the long run.

Even with these limitations, the potential applications are wide. Similar inspection systems are being developed for aerospace, electronics, and general manufacturing, anywhere precision and surface quality matter. As research continues, combining 3D imaging, multiple sensors, and adaptive machine learning could help detect not just visible flaws but also hidden defects in real time. This would make it possible to predict problems before they occur, moving production closer to the goal of zero-defect manufacturing, where inspection becomes a continuous and intelligent part of the process rather than a final step.

Ultimately, automated vision-based inspection doesn't replace human skill, it enhances it. It adds consistency, speed, and accuracy, allowing people to focus on decision-making rather than repetitive checking. As advancements in lighting, data management, and sensor design continue, these systems are likely to become standard in modern factories. More than just a technical upgrade, they represent a step toward a smarter, more sustainable way of producing goods, where every inspection helps the system learn and improve.

REFERENCES

[1]. Y. Xie, X. Xu, Machine vision-based detection of surface defects in cylindrical battery cases, Journal of Energy Storage, 101(6), 2024, 113949.
[2]. H. Huang, K. Zhu, Automotive parts defect detection based on YOLOv7, Electronics, 13(10), 2024, 1817.
[3]. H. Xu, Y. Wang, S. Li, Advancements in electric vehicle PCB inspection, Electronics, 15(1), 2024, 15.
[4]. N. Hütten, M. Fischer, T. Schmidt, Deep learning for automated visual inspection in manufacturing and maintenance: a survey, Applied System Innovation, 7(1), 2024, 11.
[5]. J. Zhang, L. He, R. Chen, Realistic fault detection of lithium-ion batteries via dynamical deep learning, Nature Communications, 14, 2023, 41226.
[6]. P. Subramaniam, R. Kumar, A. Menon, Weld defect detection using ultrasonic TOFD imaging with PyTorch deep learning, Proc. SPIE Conf. on Sensing and Imaging Technologies, 12970, 2023, 129702V.
[7]. X. Li, W. Zhao, T. Zhang, Surface defect detection model for aero-engine components based on improved YOLOv5, Applied Sciences, 12(14), 2022, 7235.