# Analysis and Design of shopping mall against lateral forces

Sonia Longjam<sup>1</sup>, S. Aravindan<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>(*M.Tech Student, Civil Engineering Department, B.I.S.T./Bharath University, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India)* <sup>2</sup>(Assistant Professor, Civil Engineering Department, B.I.S.T./Bharath University, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India)

**ABSTRACT:** The paper presents the plan, model, analyze and design of a vertical irregular shopping mall structure of G+10 storey and investigate its performance under various lateral loading conditions. The main goal is to assess current Indian Standard design practice and to provide design guidelines using ETABS and to find a detailing strategy which will ensure a sufficient level of safety for various levels of loading demands.

KEYWORDS: RCC structure, multi-storey building, AutoCAD, seismic co-efficient method, ETABS

## I. INTRODUCTION

In metropolitan cities, very limited areas are available and sold at high cost. This paper will help to built buildings within this limited area satisfying each of every need of the people. It is also designed in such a way that it would be economical. The civil engineers have to think of construction of high rise structures, instead of the traditional type of reinforced concrete skeletal structure enclosed by thick walls of bricks or any other construction materials. A civil engineer must be familiar with planning, analysis and design of such structures against various loading such as lateral forces, etc. Hence it was proposed to choose a problem, involving analysis and design of multistoried framed structure as the project work. The proposed site is approximately flat i.e. Tambaram, Chennai. Good soil having sufficient bearing capacity is available at shallow depth. The proposed site accommodates proper important facilities such as 24 hours transport facilities, proper drinking water facilities, communication facilities, electrical facilities, nearest railway station available at Tambaram, underground drainage facilities, etc.

#### II. PLANNING

The proposed ten storied commercial building consist of area of floor is  $1220 \text{ m}^2$  with  $3000\text{m}^2$  plot size. A building should be planned to make it comfortable, economical and to meet all the requirements of the people. The efforts of the planner should be to obtain maximum comfort with limited available resources. The shopping mall to be analyzed and designed as per BIS specifications is plan and drawn using computer-aided drafting software program, AutoCAD, to create precise drawings used in construction and manufacturing.

- 1) In ground and first floor entrance foyer, coffee shop, various shops, escalator, lift, toilet blocks are provided. With entrancefoyer of 25 m<sup>2</sup>, coffee shop 120 m<sup>2</sup>, and 20 shops of 500 m<sup>2</sup>.(fig.1)
- 2) In second and third floor various shops, super market, food court, escalator, lift, toilet blocks are provided with super market and food court of 200 m<sup>2</sup> and shops of 300 m<sup>2</sup>. (fig.2)
- 3) In fourth and fifth floor office with conference hall and store, escalator, lift, toilet blocks are provided with office area about 300 m<sup>2</sup>, conference hall area about 80 m<sup>2</sup>.(fig.3)
- 4) In sixth to tenth floor net point, Table tennis court, Snooker corner, various Shops, Escalator, Lift, Toilet blocks are provided. With Table tennis court and Snooker corner of 150sq.m, net point of 220 m<sup>2</sup>, and shops of 150 m<sup>2</sup>.(fig.4)





Fig.1





The minimum width of staircase should be 0.9m clear of railing and many ranges up to 1.5m. There should be a clear headway of 2.1m above each step and landing. The soil at the site is hard soil having a safe bearing of 200KN/m<sup>2</sup> in Tambaram. Two pipe systems have to be provided to remove and treat the sullage and human excreta, one septic tank were provided in the commercial building for economical and efficient treatment of waste. The quality of water is calculated as per IS 1172-1963. The tank is provided at the terrace of the

building with a capacity of 50000 liters. The water form corporation main line is stored in the ground level sump and pumped to the over head tanks. The electrical installation shall generally be carried out in conformity with the requirements of Indian electricity act 1910 and Indian electricity rule 1956. In all buildings, sufficient automatic fire detecting and alarm facilities shall be provided, where necessary to warn out occupant existence of fire so that they may escape.

## III. MODELLING AND ANALYSIS USING ETABS

The salient features of the G+10 storied building consist of basement floor of 1.20m above the existing ground level and remaining floors with 3.60m ceiling height which is modeled using ETABS.

#### 3.1 Input analysis using ETABS

## a) Material:

Grade of reinforcement: Fe415, Grade of concrete: M25, Density of concrete: 2500Kg/m

b) Loading:

Dead load: Partition wall and other external walls, floor finish etc. as per the provisions of IS: 875-1987(part I)

Superimposed load: As per the provisions of IS: 875-1987(part II), uniformly distributed load of 4.0  $\text{KN/m}^2$ 

Seismic load: Dead load + part of live load = DL+0.5LL

c) Partial safety factors:

Load factors: For dead load= 1.50; for live load= 1.50;

Material safety factor: For reinforcement steel= 0.15; for concrete = 1.50.

#### d) Seismic coefficient:

Seismic zone factor= 0.16; soil type= II; Importance factor= 1; Response reduction(R) =5



Fig. 5 Isometric view



Fig. 6 3D Rendered view



Fig. 7 Loading diagram

Fig. 8 Shear forces diagram



Fig. 9 Bending moment diagram



Fig. 1 DL static load



Fig. 11 Max.stress developed (X direction)

Fig. 12 Max.stress developed (Y direction)



Fig. 2 Storey drift

## **IV. DESIGNING**

The entire limit state that are relevant are considered in the design to ensure an adequate degree of safety and serviceability, the structure in general shall be designed on the basis of the most critical state and shall also be checked for other limit states.

#### 4.1 The Indian Standard (IS) code used for the design:

- Minimum design loads for Buildings other than seismic loads
  - a) IS 875 (Part 1): 1987 Dead loads
  - b) IS 875 (Part 2): 1987 Imposed loads
  - c) IS 875 (Part 3): 1987 Wind loads
  - d) IS 875 (Part 5): 1987 Special loads and load combinations

#### Seismic Provisions for buildings

a) IS 1893: 2002 Criteria for earthquake resistance design of structure

b) IS 13920: 1993 Ductile Detailing of Reinforced concrete Structures subjected for Seismic forces- Code of Practice

Building code requirements for Structural Concrete:

- a) IS 456: 2000 Plain and Reinforced Concrete Code of practice
- b) SP 16: Structural use of concrete. Design charts for singly reinforced beams, doubly reinforced beams and columns.
- c) SP 34: Handbook on Concrete Reinforcement & Detailing.

#### 4.2 ETABS design output of beam and column:

Indian IS 45	6-2000 BE	AM SECTION I	ESIGN Type	e: Ordinary F	rame Units: N-mm	(Flexural Details)
Level	: STORY2	L=62	30.000			
Element	: B73	D=61	00.000	B=300.000	bf=300.000	
Section ID	: STDSEC2	ds=0	.000	dct=25.000	dcb=25.000	
Combo ID	: 3	E=25	000.000	fc=25.000	Lt.Wt. Fac.=1	.000
Station Loc	: 225.000	l fy=!	00.000	fys=415.000		
Gamma(Concre	te): 1.50	0				
Gamma(Steel)	: 1.15	0				
LONGITUDINAL	REINFORC	EMENT FOR M	iment and t	DRSION, (Mu3,	Tu)	
		Required	+veMoment	-veMoment	Minimum	
		Rebar	Rebar	Rebar	Rebar	
Top (+	2 AX15)	1220.017	0.000	1220.017	306.000	
Bottom (-	2 Axis)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
Factored For	ces and M	loments				
E F	actored	Factored	Torsion			
	Mu3	Tu	Mt			
-2611	16069.7	67788.400	119626.588			
Design Momen	ts, Mu3					
	Design	Design				
+0	eMoment	-veMoment				
	0 000 0	4005404 0				

Fig. 3 Flexural detailing of a beam section

Indian IS 456	-200	) BEA	am s	ECT	ION	DE	SIG	N	Type	: 0	Ird	inar	y F	rame	U	nits	: 1	1-mm	(S	hear	Det	ail	5)
Level :	STOP	172			L=	623	0.0	00		-	_				-		-					_	
Element :	B73				D=	600	. 00	0		B=	-30	0.00	0		bf	-300	9.00	90					
Section ID :	STDS	EC2			ds	= 0 .	000			do	:t=	25.0	00		dc	b=25	. 0	10					
Combo ID :	3				E=	256	00.	000		- Fo	:=2	5.00	0		Lt	.Wt.	, Fa	ic.=	1.00	9			
Station Loc :	1381	. 00	3		fy	-50	0.0	00		f	js=l	415.	000										
Gamma(Concret	e): 1	.50	3																				
Gamma(Steel)	- 1	.151	3							-	_				-	-	-			-		_	
SHEAR/TORSION	DESI	GN F	OR	Vu2	an	dТ	u			_		_		_	-	-	-		_	_			
	Reba	r		Reb	ar		De	sia	n	- 1	)es	Lan		Des	ian								
	Asv/	's	A	sut	/s			Ū	u	- [		Ťu			Pu								
	0.63	9		0.0	00	186	515	.54	8 6	778	38.	400		0.	000								
Design Forces																							
Fai	ctore	d	Fac	tor	ed	Equ	iva	len	t														
	. I U	lu 👘			Tu			U	e														
1865	15.54	8 (	5778	8.4	00	186	877	. 08	6														
Design Basis																					_		
Lt.Wt	.Redu	IC	Str	eng	th	S	tre	ngt	h		A	rea											
	Facto	nr 👘			fy			fc	k			Ag											
	1.00	0	41	5.0	00		25	. 00	0 18	00	90.	000		_			-			_			
Concrete Capa	city																						
Con	c.Are	a Te	ensn	.Re	in			As	t A	110	owal	ble	Al	lowa	ble	Cor	ıрFa	icto	r Del	pthFa	actr		Streng
	F	IC	Are	a A	st				& Та	iu_c	:(M	Pa)		Та	u_c	_		)elt;	a		k		Facto
1725	00.0I	90	30	6.0	00		6	.17	7		0.	3 09		9.	3 0 9		1	. 01	5	1.	. 000		1.0
Shear Rebar D	esigr	-																					
	Desig	n	S	tre	SS	Con	C.U	pct	y Up	pr.	.11	nit	Re	barA	rea		2	hea	r	SI	near		Shea
		e		. 1	au		Та	u_c	d T	au	_C,I	nax		As	v/s		L	U			Us		
1868	//.08	6		1.0	83		9	.31	4		3.	160		0.	639	54	210	1.95	/ 13:	2666	.127	18	5877.08
Torsion Reinf	orcer	ent	<u> </u>					<b>C</b> 1							_	0				_			
	кера	r	1 1	ors	100			sn	ear			CO	re			core							
	HSVt/	S			lu	<u> </u>	0.07		VU		_		D1	_	-	d1				_			
	0.00	រប	677	88.	400	1	865	15.	548		- 21	11.6	111		5 11 (1	_ 1101	1	1 1			1		

Fig. 4 Shear detailing of a beam section

Indian IS 456-2000 CO	LUMN SECTION	DESIGN Type: (	Irdinary Frame	Units: N-mm	(Flexural Details)
		1=4800 000			
Element : C12		B=450,000	D=450_000	dc=45,000	
Section ID : STDSEC1		E=25000.000	fc=25.000	Lt.Wt. Fac.	=1.000
Combo ID : 3		fu=500.000	fus=415.000		
Station Loc : 0.000		RLLF=1.000			
Gamma(Concrete): 1.50	<b>G</b>				
Gamma(Steel) : 1.15	0				
AXIAL FORCE & BIAXIAL	MOMENT DESI	GN FOR Pu, Mu2,	Mu3		
	Rebar	Rebar Des	ign Design	Design	
	Area	8	Pu Mu2	Mu3	
	7812.482	3.8583127080	745249929741.7	-150466253	
Factored Biaxial Momen	nts				
	Non-Sway Mns	Sway Facto Ms	ned Mu		
Major Bending(M3)	-15987191	0.000-1598719	1.0		
Minor Bending(M2)	54302057	0.000 5430209	7.2		
stenuerness crrects a	nu minimum B. FodMomont Fr	Idxidi Huments	isl odditionsl	Minimum	Minimum
	Mu1	Mu2 Mor	ent Moment	Moment Fr	centrctu
Major Bending(M3)	-15987191125	61271 89 -639487	6 4134479961 7	0 000	0 000
Minor Bending(M2)	54302057 -	4406586121720822	.89195627684.5	0.000	0.000
Biaxial Lengths and L	ength Factor	5			
	K				
	Factor				
Major Bending(M3)	1.481	4200.000			
Minow Donding (MO)	4 707				

## Fig. 5 Flexural detailing of a column section

Indian IS 456	5-2000	COL	JMN SECT	TION DE	SIGN	Туре	: Ordi	nary	Frame	Un	its:	N-m	m (S	hea	r Deta	ails)
Level :	STOR	Y3		L=	4800.	000					_					
Element :	C12			B=	450.0	00	D=	450.0	000		dc=4	5.00	0			
Section ID :	STDS	EC1		E=	25000	. 000	fc	=25.0	900		Lt.W	t.F.	ac.=1	. 00	9	
Combo ID :	3			Fy	=500.	000	€ų	s=41!	5.000							
Station Loc :	. 0.00	0		RĹ	LF=1.	000										
Gamma(Concret Gamma(Steel)	e): 1 : 1	.500 .150														
SHEAR DESIGN	FOR V	2,03								_						
			Rebar	- D	esign		Design		Shea	r 📃	S	hear		Sh	ear	
			Asv/s	5	Vu		Pu		U	C		Vs			Vn	
Major Shea	ır(V2)		0.499	679	7.253	31270	80.745	2288	369.84	0 7	2900	. 000	3017	69.	840	
Minor Shea	ır(V3)		0.499	2342	0.933	31270	80.745	2288	369.84	0 7	2988	. 888	3017	69.	840	
Design Forces	5									_				-		
			Factored	i Fac	tored											
Major Shea	r(U2)		υι 6797.253	1 3312708	Pu 0.745											
Minor Shea	r(V3)	2	3420.933	33127 08	0.745											
Design Basis					_											
		S	hr Reduc	: Str	ength	St	rength		Are	a						
			Factor	-	fy		Fck		A	q						
			1.000	3 41	5.000		25.000	202	500.00	0	_					
Concrete Shea	nr Cap	acit	,													
		C	onc.Area	a	Ast	A11	owable	A13	Lowabl	e Co	mpFa	ctor	Dept	:hFa	ctr	Stren
			Ac	:	8	Tau_	c(MPa)		Tau_	C	D	elta			k	Fact
Major Shea	r(V2)	18	2250.000	3	2.143		0.837		0.83	7	1	.500		1.	000	1.0
Minor Shea	ir(V3)	18	2250.000	3	2.143		0.837		0.83	1	1	.500		1.	688	1.0
Shear Rebar D	esign															
			Desigr	1 S	tress	Conc	.Cpcty	Uppi	^.Limi	t R	ebar	Area				
			υι		Tau		Tau_cd	Та	ı_c,ma	×	A	sv/s				
Major Shea	r(V2)		5797.253	3   [	0.037		1.256		3.10	9		. 499				
Minor Shea	er ( 113 )	2	2420 023		0 120				0 4 0			1.00		1		

Fig. 6 Shear detailing of a column section

## 4.3 Manual design result of the parts of the structures with reference to the Indian Standard codes

The following AutoCAD drawings show the manual design result of a particular two way slab, beam, column, foundation and staircase of the shopping mall



Reinforcement details of Two way slab

Fig. 7 Design of two way slab



## Reinforcement details of beam

Fig. 8 Design of beam







REINFORCEMENT DETAILS OF ISOLATED FOOTING

Fig. 10 Design of foundation

REINFORCEMENT DETAILS OF STAIRCASE

Fig. 11 Design of staircase

## V. CONCLUSION

The plan using AutoCAD, modeling of the structure, analysis by seismic coefficient method and design of some selective parts of the shopping mall using ETABS and manual design calculation satisfying the necessary requirements as per BIS specification as well as various Indian standard code specifications have been presented above.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Takeda T., M.A.Sozen and N.N.Nielsen, (1970). "Reinforced concrete response to simulated earthquakes." Journal of Structural Division, A.S.C.E 96(ST12), 2557-2573.
- [2] Priestley M.J.N. and M.J.Kowalaky, (December, 2000). "Direct Displacement-Based seismic Design of Concrete Buildings."Bulletin, New Zealand National Society for Earthquake Engineering, 33(4), 421-444.
- [3] Magdy A. Tayel and Khaled M. Heiza (March, 2012) "Comparative Study of The Effects of Wind and Earthquake Loads on High-rise Buildings" Concrete research letter, Vol. 3(1).
- [4] Kevadkar M.D and P.B. Kodag, (May-June, 2013). "Lateral Load Analysis of R.C.C." International Journal of Modern Engineering Research (IJMER), Vol.3, Issue.3, 1428-1434
- [5] Chandurkar P.P and P. S. Pajgade(May June 2013). "Seismic Analysis of RCC Building with and Without Shear Wall" International Journal of Modern Engineering Research (IJMER) Vol. 3, Issue. 3, pp-1805-1810
- [6] Amar M Rahman, A.J.Carr and Peter J Moss, (2000). "Structural pounding of adjacent multi-storey structures considering soil flexibility effects." 12<sup>th</sup> World Conference on earthquake engineering, Article no. 1175.
- [7] Epackachi S.,O. Esmaili, M. Samadzad and S.R. Mirghaderi (October 12-17, 2008). "Study of Structural RC Shear Wall System in a 56-Story RC Tall Building" 14<sup>th</sup> World Conference on Earthquake Engineering, Beijing, China
- [8] M.J.Pender, L.M. Wotherspoon and J.C.W.Toh, (2008). "Foundation stiffness estimates and earthquake resistant structural design." 14<sup>th</sup> World Conference on earthquake engineering, Beijing, China.
- [9] Chopra A.K. (1995). "Dynamics of structures: theory and applications to earthquake engineering." Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- [10] SN Sinha (2002) "Design of Reinforced concrete." Tata McGraw Hill, New Delhi, India
- [11] Ramamrutham and R. Narayan (9th edition, 2013). "Theory of structures" Dhahpat Rai & sons publishers, India