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Abstract: In this paper, the model deals with competition in populations which diffuse in a circular bounded area. Migration of interacting marine animal species in ocean is considered. Pseudo Analytic Finite Partition Method (PAFPM) has been employed to find out the approximate solution of the dispersion problem in the non-homogeneous region. A two dimensional circular region is considered. For angular direction the Fourier series has been used assuming angular uniformity in each part. After numerical verification graphs are plotted between the angle \( \theta \) and population density of species for constant time.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Migration of interacting marine animal species in ocean is considered in this paper. This situation may occur in rivers or oceans areas of arbitrary geometrical shapes. Pseudo Analytic Finite Partition Method (PAFPM) has been employed to find out the approximate solution of the dispersion problem in the non-homogeneous region. A two dimensional circular region is considered. In this region, the environmental properties vary in radial direction and therefore, it is partitioned into annular sub regions to apply Ritz Finite Element Method. For angular direction the Fourier series has been used assuming angular uniformity in each part. In its normal use, the word migration or diffusion means to move from one place to another. When applied to animals it has a special meaning: a migration is a coming and going with the seasons, with a ‘once-a-year’ implication, and the most obvious examples are provided by birds. The local seasonal movements are merely change of ground at a particular time of the year. Some of these movements maybe very small, others larger while still confines within one geographical area. An example of the British Isles is the autumn migration of the Starlings to the south and west, and their return to the north and east in the following spring. The second group of movements is more extensive and classed as dispersals or wanderings. Only the breeding area is well defined and the movement is, ideally, an even and outward spread from this centre.

In particular, the pattern of fish migration is thought to confirm to that shown in figure 1. The young stages leave the spawning grounds at A for the nursery grounds at B; from the nursery grounds the juveniles recruit to the adult stock on their feeding grounds at C; and the mature and ripening fish move from the feeding grounds back to the spawning grounds at A. Then as spents, they return to the feeding grounds. The migration pattern is believed to be related to that of currents. The young stages drift with the current to the nursery ground; the spawning migrate from B to C against the current, and the spents return to the feeding ground with the current. The really spectacular migrations of mature adult fish are for spawning and for feeding. They breed in one area, but grow up and feed in another. Examples are provided by the cod of the Arcto-Norwegian stock and the European eel. In summer months the Arcto-Norwegian cod feed in area roughly bounded by spitsbergen, Bear Island, Novaya Zemlya, and the Murman coast. In October and November the older fish start to move southwards after the arctic night has set in and four months later, in February and March, The European eel is believed to spawn somewhere between the Bermudas and the Bahamas in an area corresponding more or less with the Sargasso sea, where the water is warm and of relatively high salinity. The larval eels, known as
leptocephali, are carried towards the European coastline where as elvers, now about two and half years old, they enter rivers. Ten or more years later, as fully grown eels, they leave freshwater and are thought to make the return journey of over 3,000 miles back to their birthplace, to spawn and to die.

Here we take a competition model, in which two competitive population species diffuse between circular patches in a given area. The system of non-linear partial differential equations for the above case is

\[
\frac{\partial N_{i1}}{\partial t} = N_{i1}(a_{i1} - b_{i1}N_{i1} - c_{i1}N_{i2}) + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r D_{i1} \frac{\partial N_{i1}}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( D_{i1} \frac{\partial N_{i1}}{\partial \theta} \right)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial N_{i2}}{\partial t} = N_{i2}(a_{i2} - b_{i2}N_{i1} - c_{i2}N_{i2}) + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r D_{i2} \frac{\partial N_{i2}}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( D_{i2} \frac{\partial N_{i2}}{\partial \theta} \right)
\]

(1)

Here

\( N_{i1} \) = Density of first species population

\( N_{i2} \) = Density of second species population

\( a_{i1} \) = Intrinsic birth rate of first species population

\( a_{i2} \) = Intrinsic birth rate of second species population

\( b_{i1}, b_{i2} \) = Intraspecific interaction coefficients

\( c_{i1}, c_{i2} \) = Interspecific interaction coefficients

\( D_{i1}, D_{i2}, D_{21}, D_{22} \) = Diffusion coefficients

Each of the above quantity is pertaining to the \( i^{th} \) patch, \( (i=1,2) \)

Equilibrium points of the equations (1) are

\[
E_i \left( 0, 0 \right) \text{ and } E_2 \left( \frac{a_{i1} c_{i2} - a_{i2} b_{i1}}{c_{i1} c_{i2} - b_{i1} b_{i2}}, \frac{a_{i2} b_{i1} - a_{i1} c_{i2}}{c_{i1} c_{i2} - b_{i1} b_{i2}} \right)
\]

We take small perturbations \( u_{1i} \) and \( u_{2i} \) near the non zero equilibrium point i.e.

\[
N_{i1} = N_{i1}' + u_{1i}
\]

\[
N_{i2} = N_{i2}' + u_{2i}
\]

(2)

Where \( |u_{1i}| \ll 1, |u_{2i}| \ll 1, i=1,2 \)

Then the system of equations (1) becomes

\[
\frac{\partial u_{1i}}{\partial t} = u_{1i} \left( a_{i1} - 2b_{i1}N_{i1}' - c_{i1}N_{i2}' \right) - c_{i1}N_{i1}'u_{1i} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r D_{i1} \frac{\partial u_{1i}}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( D_{i1} \frac{\partial u_{1i}}{\partial \theta} \right)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial u_{2i}}{\partial t} = u_{2i} \left( a_{i2} - 2b_{i2}N_{i1}' - c_{i2}N_{i2}' \right) - c_{i2}N_{i2}'u_{2i} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r D_{i2} \frac{\partial u_{2i}}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( D_{i2} \frac{\partial u_{2i}}{\partial \theta} \right)
\]

(3)

The initial conditions are taken as

\[
u_{1i}(r_0, \theta) = G_{1i}(\theta), u_{2i}(r_0, \theta) = G_{2i}(\theta)
\]

(4)

where \( G_{1i} \) and \( G_{2i} \) are suitable functions. This gives initial population distribution close to the innermost boundary.

Interface conditions at \( r = r_1 \) are assumed to be

\[
D_{1} \left| \frac{\partial u_{1i}}{\partial r} \right|_{r=r_1} = -D_{2} \left| \frac{\partial u_{1i}}{\partial r} \right|_{r=r_1}
\]

(5)

where \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \) denote region-I and region-II respectively. These conditions ensure no disappearance, no return and no stop of the animal species at the barriers.

Boundary conditions associated with the system of equations are,

\[
\frac{\partial u_{1i}}{\partial r} \mid_{r=r_2} = 0 = \frac{\partial u_{2i}}{\partial r} \mid_{r=r_2} = -\frac{\partial u_{2i}}{\partial r} \mid_{r=r_2}
\]

(6)

This implies that the innermost and outermost boundaries are sealed or prohibited for the animals to cross over.

**Solution:**

To solve this model, we apply the Finite Element Method in radial direction. Comparing system of equations (3) with Euler -Lagrange’s equation, we get
\[ I_{i} = \int_{r_{i-1}}^{r_{i}} F_{i} \, dr \]  

(7)

where

\[ F_{i} = A_{i} u_{i}^{2} r + B_{i} u_{i}^{2} r + C_{i} u_{i} u_{2} r + D_{i} r \left( u_{i}^{2} r + E_{i} r \left( u_{i}^{2} r \right) \right)^{2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \frac{D_{i} u_{i}}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) u_{i}^{2} r - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \frac{D_{i} u_{2}}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) u_{2} r, \]  

(8)

Where

\[ u_{i} = \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial r} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial r}, \quad i=1,2 \]

Here

\[ A_{i} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \left( u_{i} - 2 b_{i} N_{i}^{*} + c_{i} N_{i}^{*} \right) \right) \]

\[ B_{i} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \left( u_{i} - 2 b_{i} N_{i}^{*} + c_{i} N_{i}^{*} \right) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \left( u_{i} - 2 b_{i} N_{i}^{*} + c_{i} N_{i}^{*} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \]

\[ C_{i} = c_{i} N_{i}^{*} + c_{i} N_{i}^{*} \frac{D_{i}}{2} + E_{i} = \frac{D_{i} u_{i}}{2} \]  

(9)

We take linear shape function as

\[ u_{i1} = A_{i1} + B_{i1} r, \quad r_{0} \leq r \leq r_{1} \]

\[ u_{21} = A_{21} + B_{21} r, \quad r_{1} \leq r \leq r_{2} \quad i=1,2 \]  

(10)

\[ u_{i1} = u_{11} \text{ at } r = r_{i-1} \]

\[ u_{i1} = u_{11} \text{ at } r = r_{i} \]  

(11)

\[ u_{21} = u_{22} \text{ at } r = r_{i-1} \]

\[ u_{21} = u_{22} \text{ at } r = r_{i} \]  

(12)

Using these values, we get

\[ A_{i1} = \frac{u_{11} - u_{i1}^{(i-1)}}{r_{i} - r_{i-1}} \]

\[ B_{i1} = \frac{u_{i1} - u_{i1}^{(i-1)}}{r_{i} - r_{i-1}} \]  

(13)

\[ A_{21} = \frac{u_{22} - u_{22}^{(i-1)}}{r_{r} - r_{i-1}} \]

\[ B_{21} = \frac{u_{21} - u_{22}^{(i-1)}}{r_{r} - r_{i-1}} \]  

(14)

Substituting above values in equations (8), we get

\[ I_{i} = A_{1} \left[ A_{1}^{2} \alpha_{11} + B_{1}^{2} \alpha_{21} + 2 A_{1} B_{1} \alpha_{31} \right] + B_{1} \left[ A_{2}^{2} \alpha_{12} + B_{2}^{2} \alpha_{22} + 2 A_{2} B_{2} \alpha_{32} \right] \]

\[ + C_{1} \left[ A_{1}^{2} \alpha_{11} + (A_{1} B_{1} + A_{2} B_{2}) \alpha_{12} + B_{1}^{2} \alpha_{21} + 2 A_{1} B_{1} \alpha_{31} \right] + D_{1} B_{1}^{2} \alpha_{11} + E_{1} B_{1}^{2} \alpha_{11} \]

\[ - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \frac{D_{1} u_{1}}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) \left( A_{1}^{2} \alpha_{11} + B_{1}^{2} \alpha_{21} + 2 A_{1} B_{1} \alpha_{31} \right) \]

\[ - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \frac{D_{1} u_{2}}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) \left( A_{1}^{2} \alpha_{11} + B_{1}^{2} \alpha_{21} + 2 A_{1} B_{1} \alpha_{31} \right) \]  

(15)

where

\[ I = I_{1} + I_{2} \]  

(16)

Now differentiating I with respect to \( u_{11} \) and \( u_{22} \) and putting

\[ \frac{\partial I}{\partial u_{11}} = \frac{\partial I}{\partial u_{22}} = 0 \]

We get the system of differential equations to solve the above system of we use Fourier series we get

\[ u_{110} = a_{10} + \sum (a_{1n} \cos n \theta + b_{1n} \sin n \theta) \]

\[ u_{111} = a_{10} + \sum (a_{1n} \cos n \theta + b_{1n} \sin n \theta) \]

\[ u_{112} = a_{10} + \sum (a_{1n} \cos n \theta + b_{1n} \sin n \theta) \]

\[ u_{220} = a_{20} + \sum (a_{2n} \cos n \theta + b_{2n} \sin n \theta) \]

\[ u_{221} = a_{20} + \sum (a_{2n} \cos n \theta + b_{2n} \sin n \theta) \]

\[ u_{222} = a_{20} + \sum (a_{2n} \cos n \theta + b_{2n} \sin n \theta) \]  

(17)

where all the summations are taken from \( n = 1 \) to \( n = \infty \).

However, assuming fast convergence of the series on the right we terminate the series after three terms.

Substituting these values in equations and comparing constant terms and coefficients of \( \cos \theta \) and \( \sin \theta \), we arrive at
\[ P_2 a_{20} + P_3 a_{20}' = -[P_{11} a_{10} + P_{12} a_{30} + P_{13} a_{10}' + P_{14} a_{10}] \]
\[ P_{23} a_{20} + P_{33} a_{20}' = -[P_{21} a_{20} + P_{22} a_{30} + P_{23} a_{20} + P_{24} a_{20}'] \]
\[ (p_{12} - 2 q_{12}) a_{20} + (p_{11} - q_{11}) a_{10}' = -(p_{11} - q_{11}) a_{10}' + (p_{12} - 2 q_{12}) a_{20} \]
\[ (p_{22} - 2 q_{22}) a_{20} + (p_{21} - q_{22}) a_{10}' = -(p_{21} - q_{22}) a_{10}' + (p_{22} - 2 q_{22}) a_{20} \]
\[ (p_{12} - 2 q_{12}) a_{20} + (p_{11} - q_{11}) a_{10}' = -(p_{11} - q_{11}) a_{10}' + (p_{12} - 2 q_{12}) a_{20} \]
\[ (p_{22} - 2 q_{22}) a_{20} + (p_{21} - q_{22}) a_{10}' = -(p_{21} - q_{22}) a_{10}' + (p_{22} - 2 q_{22}) a_{20} \]

Since on inner boundary
\[ u_{11}(r, \theta) = G_{11}(\theta) \]
\[ u_{21}(r, \theta) = G_{21}(\theta) \]

Parabolic variation of perturbation along the circular boundary has been taken in the form
\[ G_{1 j}(\theta) = A_j + B_j \theta + C_j \theta^2 \]

Then, we get
\[ A_j = a_{j0} \cdot B_j = 2(a_{j0} - a_{j1})/\pi \cdot C_j = (a_{j0} - a_{j1})/\pi^2 \cdot j = 1, 2 \]

By Fourier series, we get
\[ a_{j0} = \left(2a_{j0} + 4a_{j1}\right)/3, \quad a_{j1} = 4(a_{j0} - a_{j1})/n\pi^2, \quad b_{j1} = 0 \]

and
\[ a_{j0}' = \left(2a_{j0} + 4a_{j1}'\right)/3, \quad a_{j1}' = 4(a_{j0} - a_{j1}')/n\pi^2, \quad b_{j1}' = 0 \]

Now substituting these values and taking Laplace transform of above system of equations, we get
\[ (\gamma_{11} p + \gamma_{12} \bar{a}_{20} + \gamma_{13} \bar{a}_{20}') = \frac{1}{p} \gamma_{14} + \gamma_{13} a_{20} (0) \]
\[ (\gamma_{23} \bar{a}_{20} + (\gamma_{22} p + \gamma_{23}) \bar{a}_{20}') = \frac{1}{p} \gamma_{24} + \gamma_{23} a_{20} (0) \]
\[ (\gamma_{31} p + \gamma_{32}) \bar{a}_{20} + \gamma_{33} \bar{a}_{20}' = \frac{1}{p} \gamma_{34} + \gamma_{33} a_{20} (0) \]
\[ \gamma_{41} \bar{a}_{20} + (\gamma_{42} p + \gamma_{43}) \bar{a}_{20}' = \frac{1}{p} \gamma_{44} + \gamma_{43} a_{20} (0) \]
\[ (\gamma_{51} p + \gamma_{52}) \bar{a}_{20} + \gamma_{53} b_{20} = \frac{1}{p} \gamma_{54} + \gamma_{53} b_{20} (0) \]
\[ \gamma_{61} \bar{b}_{20} + (\gamma_{62} p + \gamma_{63}) \bar{b}_{20}' = \frac{1}{p} \gamma_{64} + \gamma_{63} b_{20} (0) \]

where all \( \bar{a}_{20} \) and \( \bar{b}_{20} \) are Laplace transforms of the corresponding \( a_{20} \) and \( b_{20} \) and \( a_{20}' \) and \( b_{20}' \) are initial values.

Solving these algebraic equations, we get the values of \( \bar{a}_{20}, \bar{b}_{20}, \bar{a}_{20}', \bar{b}_{20}' \), and taking inverse Laplace transform of these we can get the values of \( a_{20}, a_{20}', b_{20}, b_{20}' \) and \( b_{20}' \).

Substituting these values in the above equation, we can get the \( a_{11} \) and \( a_{21} \).

**Numerical Computation:**

We make use of the following values of parameters and constants
\[ a_{11} = 5, \quad a_{12} = 4, \quad a_{21} = 3, \quad a_{22} = 4, \quad c_{11} = 5, \quad c_{12} = 6, \quad b_{11} = 3, \quad b_{12} = 6, \quad c_{21} = 6, \quad c_{22} = 5, \quad d_{11} = 2 \]
\[ d_{12} = 3, \quad d_{21} = 2, \quad d_{22} = 3, \quad c_{11} = 2, \quad d_{11} = 1, \quad d_{22} = 8, \quad d_{21} = 8, \quad c_{12} = .9, \quad r_{0} = 10, \quad r_{1} = 20, \quad r_{2} = 30, \quad a_{2200} = .2 \]
\[ a_{220} = .3, \quad a_{220} = .2, \quad a_{220} = .3, \quad b_{220} = .2, \quad b_{220} = .3, \quad u_{11} = .1, \quad u_{11} = 1 \]

www.ijesi.org
II. DISCUSSION

Graphs are plotted between $\theta$ and population density of species for constant time. Graphs show that density of both species decreases with $\theta$ at any time in the first half part after that density of both species increases with $\theta$ at any time in the second half part in the first and second patch. Graphs also show that the density of both increases with time in the first and second patch.
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