Structural health assessment of RCC building: Case study

Ms. Pranalee R. Bhoitepatil¹, Ms. Tapoti Saha², Ms. Bhakti Rite³, Ms. Gayatri Pawar⁴, Mr.G.C.Sarode⁵.

Civil Engineering, Dr. D. Y. Patil Institute of Technology, Pimpri, Pune India. β^{3} (Department of Civil

 $Engineering, \, Dr. \, D. \, Y. \, Patil \, Institute \, of \, Technology, \, Pimpri, Pune \, India.)^{\sharp} (Department \, of \, Civil \, Engineering, \, Dr.$

D. Y. Patil Institute of Technology, Pimpri, Pune India.)⁶(Department of Civil Engineering, Dr. D. Y. Patil

Institute of Technology, Pimpri, Pune India.)

ABSTRACT: Structural health assessment is anhealth and serviceability checkups of a building, like a doctor examining a patient. It ensures that the building and its premises are safe for human beings and have no risks. It analyses and suggests appropriate repairs which are required for the buildings to perform better in its service life. Today the development of a country and the development of economy is decided on the basis of its infrastructure, therefore a major and huge part of country's revenue is invested in this sector as our priceless lives relay on them. For the proper infrastructure management, condition and serviceability of structure should be regularly checked. Structural health assessment is technique used to determinedeterioration of the membes, stress strain development in members, etc. by using NDT methods. Hence structural audit is important to make the serviceability and scope for future development aspects better. In this paper case study of an RCCBuilding is given with its test results. This test results gives strength of that building. This study is important for checking the existing condition of building.

KEYWORDS-NDT methods, repairs, structural health assessment

Date of Submission: 06-05-2020

Date of Acceptance: 20-05-2020

I. INTRODUCTION

Structural health assessment (SHA) is defined as the process of a damage identification for aerospace, civil, and mechanical engineering infrastructure as well as non engineering structures. Here, damage is defined as changes to the material, geometric properties, including changes to the boundary conditions and system connectivity, which affects adversely on theperformance of structure. Avariety of most effective non-destructive testing equipment's and methods are available for such type of assessment. However, the majority of structural health assessmentrelatedresearch conducted over the last 30 years has attempted to identify damage in structures. The past 10 years have seen a rapid increase in the amount of research related to structural health assessmentas quantified by the papers published on these subjects. Most of the Reinforced Concrete (RC) structures which are in service, are facing aging and deteriorating of various members due to harsh environmental exposure conditions and are damaged by natural causes like earthquakes, hydrologic forces, etc. or man-made collisions, fire, road, etc. Due to this, the structural capacity of an existing structure is typically less than the structural capacity of just-built structure. The Non-Destructive Test (NDT) of concrete in today's scenario has received a great importance and popularity. Commonly used NDE methods are Rebound Hammer Test, Ultra Sonic Pulse Velocity (UPV) Test, chloride test and carbonation test. The NDT adopted in this paper for the assessment of strength of structure are Schmidt's Hammer test. The Schmidt Rebound Hammer (SRH) is useful non-destructive test, which are so familiar Now-a-days and effective for determining the strength.

II. AIM AND OBJECTIVES

It is mandatory for allbuildings are more than15 years old to have compulsory structural audit carried outto determine the existing life of building's structures and its balanced life.According tobylawstructural audit is necessary and binding requirement. Itstates that if the age of building is 15 to 30 years, structural audit must be carried out once in 5 years and for 3 years for building older than 30 years. You can go even earlier for it if structural conditions get bad for safety purpose.According to the study objective of this paper is to identify structural damages area using NDT techniques and to suggest maintenance for it, for saving human life.

III. LITERATURE REVIEW

Er. Nilesh B. Deshmukh, Er. Manesh B. Satpute, Er. Rahul B. Abhale, Er. Akshay B. Varpewritten thatin case of Construction Industry, the life cycle of a structure can be divided by in to four important phases those are as Architectural planning, Structural planning, Construction and Maintenance. Every structure has its own Service life it should stand firmly on its position. But because of giving less importance to the maintenance collapsed mechanism has increased day by day and structure are getting collapsed before its service life is completed which leads to the loss of properties and life of human beings. There for it is suggested that to overcome the failure of structure it is necessary to do the structural audit and find the lacunas in the structure also find out the root causes of faulty mechanisms to avoid future problems.

Sachin RambhauShelke, Prof.DarshanaAinchwar briefed that structures are assemblies of load carrying members capable of safely transferring the superimposed loads to the foundations. Their main and most looked after property is the strength of the material that they are made of. Concrete, as we all know, is an integral material used for construction purposes. Thus, strength of concrete used, is required to be 'known' before starting with any kind of analysis. Apart from requiring regular maintenance, many structures require extensive Repair, Rehabilitation &Retrofitting. Over a period of time, as these structures become older, we find in them certain degradation or deteriorated structures can be rehabilitated and retrofitted by using various types of admixtures & modern repair materials. The paper brings out the present state of concrete structures & the major areas where improvement is needed during its service life stage for sustainable development & also the method of carrying out Repair, Rehabilitation &Retrofitting. This has been brought in details in the paper along with Case studies, where the Author of the paper was directly involved in planning and execution of the jobs.

Sanket Sanjay Suryawanshi, Vaibhav Vishnu Vishe, Deepak, ReetikaSharan.stated that Civil engineering is the foundations of all structure which made human life easy. Structure can be of any kind like residential building or non-commercial buildings or historical or ancient monuments had huge impact on human life because of their long-life span which made them efficient structure, but this effectiveness of present structures can't be seen anywhere. Structures are getting older or weak before their design period. This project is about trying to root out faulty mechanism in structure so as to overcome the failure of structure.

IV. METHODOLOGY AND OBSERVATIONS

In the present study, one RCC building is considered. Structureaway from the coastal environment. Following are some important factors of this study:

- 1. Identifying various Non-Destructive Test Methods to be carried out on the building.
- 2. Assessing the amount of damage occurred.
- **3**. Identification of the possible causes leading to the damage of the structure.

Following NDT Test conducted to assess the structure

Rebound Hammer Test:

This test is conducted using Schmidt hammers for concrete as per IS 13311 part II. The interpretation of rebound hammer results is carried out based on the guide lines given in BS: 188-6089 since IS 13311 part II remains silent in this aspect.

	0	
Name of the building	Ashirwad Housing Society	
Address	Behind Sant Tukaram police station, Sant Tukaram Nagar,	
	Pimpri, Pune, Maharashtra.	
Name	Ashirwad Housing Society	
Mode of use	Residential	
Type of structure	RCC Frame structure	
No. of stories	Two	
No. of staircase	Zero	
No. of lifts	Zero	
Previous structural audit	This is first Structural Audit	
Shape in plan	Symmetrical	
Floor height	3.2 m	
External walls	Brick	
Internal walls	Internal walls Brick	
Balconies	Balconies Zero	
Lofts	Above toilet bath	
Mode of survey	Visual inspection using scale, tape.	

	Table 1:	Information	about	Building
--	----------	-------------	-------	----------

Area inspected	External building faces, terrace etc.
Building inspection	Internal observations are subject to following constraints:
	due to storage of goods some cracks were not visible
Unit locked	None
Survey disallowed in units	None
Additional information	None

Table 2: Rebound number & compressive strength of structural member

Sr. no.	Area	Cracks in	Rebound hammer no.	Compressive Strength
				N/mm ²
1	Living room	Beam B_1	23	16
		\mathbf{B}_2	19	10
		Column C ₁	24	18
2	Kitchen	Column C ₂	20	<10
		C_3	18	13
3	Bedroom1	Column C ₄	22	<10
4	Bedroom	Beam B ₁₁	19	10
		Column C ₆	21	10

Table 3: Rebound number & compressive strength of structural member for internal faces:

Sr. no.	Area	Cracks in	Rebound hammer no.	Compressive strength N/mm ²
1	Living room	Beam b ₁	25	15
		B_2	15	<10
		B ₃	18	<10
		Column C ₁	24	19
		C ₂	23	19
2	Kitchen	Beam B ₂	21	<10
		B_3	17	<10
		B_4	18	12
		B ₅	20	<10
		Column C ₂	17	<10
		C ₃	17	<10
3	Bedroom1	Beam B ₅	26	10
		B ₆	26	10
		B ₇	16	<10
		B_8	21	12
		Column C ₄	18	<10
		C ₉	19	<10
4	Bedroom 2	Beam B ₃	17	<10
		B ₉	11	<10
		B ₁₀	24	10
		B ₁₁	12	<10
		Column C ₈	16	<10
		C_9	15	<10

Table 4: Result and observation

Sr. no.	Observation	Description
1	Distress in RCC	Corrosion of reinforcement in chajja and column
2	Leakage of water	Leakage of water due to lack of chajja at hall was observed.
3	Plaster	Due to environmental effect, spalling of concrete has occurred at allwalls.
4	Miscellaneous	Lack of regular maintenance of building was observed in building
5	Structural repair and RCC	For beams, columns bond coat and polymer modified mortar for RCC memberare required.

V. CONCLUSION

A case study gives the effective knowledge about any study. Above mentioned case study is very useful for checking the area of damage and deteriorated parts of building. Hence structural health assessment highlights following points,

- 1. The behavior of concrete at high temperatures is influenced by various factors including the rate of temperature rise or fall and the type of aggregate.
- 2. For any structure it is necessary to carry out structural audit at least once in five year.
- 3. For structure older than 15 years structural audit should be carried out once in fiveyears.
- 4. Reinforcement provided is in very bad condition and lost its strength due to corrosion and there is reduction in the area of the reinforcement resulting on deflection due to their own weight therefore unsafe to carry intended load.
- 5. From above observation we conclude that even though heavy reinforcement is provided for the structural memberfor all structural members the structure may fail without any prior indication.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Sanket Sanjay Suryawanshi, Vaibhav Vishnu Vishe, Deepak PremchandSah, ReetikaSharan, "Structural audit of RCC building", International journal of advance research, ideas and innovations in technology, Volume 4, Issue 2 2018.
- [2]. Ahmed Lasisi 1, ObanisholaSadiq 2 and Ibrahim Balogun 2, "Combined Use of Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity and Rebound Hammer for StructuralHealth Assessment of Reinforced Concrete Structures", January 2019.
- Dharma Raj Dhakal1, Keshab Neupane1, Chirayu Thapa1 & G. V. Ramanjaneyulu2, "Different Techniques Of Structural Health [3]. Assessment", International Journal of Civil, Structural, Environmental and Infrastructure Engineering Research and Development (IJCSEIERD), ISSN 2249-6866Vol. 3, Issue 2, Jun 2013.
- Er. Nilesh B. DeSHAukh1, Er. Manesh B. Satpute2, Er. Rahul B. Abhale3, Er. Akshay B. Varpe4, "STRUCTURAL AUDIT A [4]. CASE STUDY OF EDUCATIONAL BUILDING", International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET), Volume: 06 Issue: 02 | Feb 2019.
- Saiesh.L.Naik 1, BasavrajSaunshi 2,"STRUCTURAL AUDIT OF RCC BUILDING", International Research Journal of Engineering [5]. and Technology (IRJET), Volume: 04 Issue: 05 | May -2017. 1Asst. Prof.KhanJunaid, 2 Shaikh Md. Shakib, 3 Shaikh Mohd. Kasheef, "A BRIEF REVIEW ON HEALTH ASSESSMENT OF
- [6]. STRUCTURES", November 2017, Volume 4, Issue 11.
- M. A. D"Cruz, "Visual Defect Survey: Case Study of RCC Structure", Volume III, Issue VI, June 2014. [7].
- [8]. J. M. Sadamate1, Dr. G. A. Hinge2ME (CM) Student1, Vice-Principal2, "Structural Auditing with a Case Study".
- Abhinandan Kale1, Mahesh Gond2, Pallavi Kharat3, "STRUCTURAL AUDIT FOR AN EDUCATION BUILDING", Vol-3 Issue-3 [9]. 2017.
- Yash Agarwall, Prem Upadhyay2, Rohan Majgankar3, Shubham Gaikwad4, Vijay Wairagade5, "LITERATURE REVIEW ON [10]. STRUCTURAL AUDIT OF VARIOUS STRUCTURES", International Journal of Management, Technology And Engineering, Volume 8, Issue IX, SEPTEMBER/2018.
- [11]. Sachin RambhauShelke, Prof.DarshanaAinchwar, "Structural Health Assessment and Audit, Repair and Rehabilitation of Building in Construction Industry", International Journal of Recent Research in Science, Engineering and Technology, Vol. 4, Issue 3, March 2018
- [12]. Pranjali V Kulkarni#1, Sachin Admane#2,"RECCOMANDATION FOR SYSTEMATIC AND PRECISE FRAMEWORK OF STRUCTURALAUDIT OF RESIDENTIAL BUILDING", International Journal of Emerging Trends in Engineering and Development, Issue 5, Vol. 3 (April. -May. 2015).
- Sachin Rambhaushelke, Prof. Darshana Ainchwar, "Structural Health Assessment, Audit, Repair and Rehabilitation of Building in [13]. Construction Industry", International Journal of Engineering Technology Science and Research (IJETSR), Volume 5, Issue 3 March 2018.

Ms. Pranalee R. Bhoitepatil, et. al. "Structural health assessment of RCC building: Case study." International Journal of Engineering Science Invention (IJESI), Vol. 09(05), 2020, PP 30-33.